Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ALINA BOYDEN and SHANNON ANDREWS,

Plaintiffs,

V.

Case No. 17-CV-264

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYEE TRUST FUNDS, et al.

Defendants.

Deposition of LAWRENCE S. MAYER

Laguna Niguel, California

Friday, June 15, 2018 - 9:17 a.m.

Reported By:

Patricia Y. Schuler

Job no: 21911

	Page 2		Page 4
1		1	I-N-D-E-X
1	Denosition of LAWDENCE C MAYED tolton on hehelf	1 2	WITNESS: EXAMINATION
2	Deposition of LAWRENCE S. MAYER, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff at 23175 Avenida De La Carlota	3	LAWRENCE S. MAYER
		4	MR. KNIGHT 5
4	Laguna Hills, California 92653, beginning at 9:17 a.m.	5	EVILDITO
5	and ending at 3:51 p.m., on June 15, 2018, before	6 7	E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S PLAINTIFFS' PAGE
6	PATRICIA Y. SCHULER, Certified Shorthand Reporter	8	Exhibit 1 Expert report of Dr. Lawrence S. 7
7	No. 11949.	9	Mayer submitted on behalf of the
8		10	state defendants
9		11 12	Exhibit 2 Curriculum Vitae of Lawrence S. 7
10		13	Mayer
11		14	Exhibit 3 Article entitled "Johns Hopkins 7
12		15	Medicine's Commitment to the LGBT
13		1,6	Community"
14		16	Exhibit 4 Brief of Amici Curiae 102
15		17	Exhibit 4 Brief of Affile Curiae 102
16			Exhibit 5 The New Atlantis - Special Report 134
17		18	- Sexuality and Gender
18		19	Exhibit 6 Department of Health and Human 150 Services Departmental Appeals
19		20	Board - NCD 140.3, Transsexual
20			Surgery, May 30, 2014
21		21	
22		22	Exhibit 7 Expert witness report of 176
23		23	Stephanie Budge, Ph.D.
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	Page 3 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	1	Page 5
1 2		1 2	Page 5
			Page 5
2	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC.	2	Page 5 LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018
2 3	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ.	2	
2 3 4	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC.	2 3 4 5 6	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600	2 3 4 5	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m. LAWRENCE S. MAYER,
2 3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS: ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601	2 3 4 5 6	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600	2 3 4 5 6 7	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m. LAWRENCE S. MAYER,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS: ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ. 17 West Main Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could you go ahead and give your full name and spell it for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ. 17 West Main Street P.O Box 7857	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could you go ahead and give your full name and spell it for the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ. 17 West Main Street P.O Box 7857 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could you go ahead and give your full name and spell it for the record. A. It is Lawrence Stephen Mayer. Lawrence is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ. 17 West Main Street P.O Box 7857	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could you go ahead and give your full name and spell it for the record. A. It is Lawrence Stephen Mayer. Lawrence is with a W, Stephen with P-H, Mayer is M-A-Y-E-R.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ. 17 West Main Street P.O Box 7857 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could you go ahead and give your full name and spell it for the record.  A. It is Lawrence Stephen Mayer. Lawrence is with a W, Stephen with P-H, Mayer is M-A-Y-E-R. MR. KNIGHT: And before you go any further, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ. 17 West Main Street P.O Box 7857 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could you go ahead and give your full name and spell it for the record.  A. It is Lawrence Stephen Mayer. Lawrence is with a W, Stephen with P-H, Mayer is M-A-Y-E-R. MR. KNIGHT: And before you go any further, I want to get on the record that I have a colleague who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ. 17 West Main Street P.O Box 7857 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could you go ahead and give your full name and spell it for the record.  A. It is Lawrence Stephen Mayer. Lawrence is with a W, Stephen with P-H, Mayer is M-A-Y-E-R. MR. KNIGHT: And before you go any further, I want to get on the record that I have a colleague who is on the line, Leslie Cooper is another attorney with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ. 17 West Main Street P.O Box 7857 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could you go ahead and give your full name and spell it for the record.  A. It is Lawrence Stephen Mayer. Lawrence is with a W, Stephen with P-H, Mayer is M-A-Y-E-R. MR. KNIGHT: And before you go any further, I want to get on the record that I have a colleague who is on the line, Leslie Cooper is another attorney with the ACLU.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:  FOR PLAINTIFFS:  ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: JOHN KNIGHT, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 jknight@aclu-il.org  FOR DEFENDANTS: STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: STEVEN C. KILPATRICK, ESQ. 17 West Main Street P.O Box 7857 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JUNE 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.  LAWRENCE S. MAYER, having been administered an oath, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION BY MR. KNIGHT: Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and we are here for your deposition today. You have been named as an expert in this case. Could you go ahead and give your full name and spell it for the record. A. It is Lawrence Stephen Mayer. Lawrence is with a W, Stephen with P-H, Mayer is M-A-Y-E-R. MR. KNIGHT: And before you go any further, I want to get on the record that I have a colleague who is on the line, Leslie Cooper is another attorney with

	Page 6		Page 8
1	Q. I'd like to talk first about your education,	1	What is this? Yes, sir. I think this is correct.
2	Dr. Mayer. So you have a psychology degree, and you	2	
3	got that in 1967?	3	
4	A. I have an undergraduate degree yes, sir,	4	• • •
5	in psychology, and premed, yes, sir.	5	
6	Q. And you went to medical school	6	<del>-</del>
7	A. Yes.	7	
8	Q then, at Ohio State; is that right?	8	- •
9	A. Yes, sir.	9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10	Q. Did you receive a degree from there?	10	
11	A. No, sir.	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$	•
12		$ _{12}^{11}$	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	
13	degree?		-
14	<ul><li>A. Yes, sir.</li><li>Q. Where was that from?</li></ul>	14	J 1 J
15		15	
16	A. London, England, at Guy's Medical School.	16	1
17	Q. And was that an MD?	17	1 7 7 6
18	A. Well, it is the equivalent of an MD, so it's	18	
19	called an MD, but the actual degree is an MB. It's a	19	1
20	Bachelor of Medicine. It's a quite different system.	20	1
21	Q. Did you at some point receive an MD?	21	*
22	A. I received the English MD. I've received the	22	3
23	degree that qualifies you to practice medicine. The MD	23	1
24	is an American degree. I did not receive an American	24	
25	MD; that is correct.	25	Q. And what kind of clinical experience did you
	Page 7		Page 9
1	Q. So it's the MB that you received, but not an	1	$\mathcal{E}$
2	MD?	2	
3	A. There is no MD.	3	
4	Q. Got you.	4	8
5	Are you licensed to practice medicine?	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	A. No, sir, I have never practiced medicine.	6	, 11
7	MR. KNIGHT: And why don't I why don't we	7	1 5
8	go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 1. I think we're	8	11 10
9	just doing this in order for the deposition.	9	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
10	(Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)	10	•
11	(Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.)	11	
12	(Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)	12	
13	BY MR. KNIGHT:	13	
14	Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm showing you what is marked	14	1 , 3 , 51
15	deposition Exhibit 1. Can you identify that?	15	•
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	, , ,
17	Q. This is your report with some appendixes to	17	1 &
18	it. So this is a report in this matter, in the Boyden	18	1
19	case?	19	
20	A. It appears to be, yes, sir.	20	
21	Q. Well, I would like to turn to, first of all,	21	
22	to your professional vitae, which is appendix B.	22	1
23	So is this a complete and accurate	23	
24	professional vitae for you?	24	1
25	A. I believe so, yes, sir. Oh, let me see here.	25	medicine. I saw a very limited number of patients, and

Page 10 Page 12 they were primarily psychiatric patients. 1 not a large number, but I don't think I can be precise 1 2 2 Q. I understand. And were any of those there. 3 3 patients, patients, I guess -- what -- let me back up. O. Overall it would have been a small number So what year was this that you did this year 4 4 then? 5 of clinical experience at the British -- I'm sorry, the 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 6 British health service? Q. Even then? 7 A. Yes. 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 It was '69 and '70 is the academic year, I 8 Q. So you don't have a license, then, to provide 9 9 clinical treatment to a patient at this stage? 10 10 Q. And during that time period, did you work A. That is correct. with anyone who identified with gender issues or what 11 11 Q. Do you have any kind of license to practice 12 has come to be known as gender dysphoria? Or do you 12 medicine? 13 recall? 13 A. I have never practiced medicine. At one time 14 A. Well, it was a different time. I think that 14 I qualified under the English, the British health if you mean were there people struggling, if I can use 15 service, but we're talking about 50 years ago. I have 15 some of the old terms like transvestites, people like 16 no license. I've never applied for a license to 16 17 that who are concerned about identifying with the 17 practice other than my educational license. 18 18 opposite sex, yes, there were patients. Q. And so other than that one year, you have 19 But this was -- I didn't do in-depth 19 never practiced psychiatry then? 20 psychoanalysis of people, so I would say my experience 20 A. That is right. To be clear, I supervise 21 was rather limited. I'm a little worried because when 21 residents in teaching hospitals, so I have supervision 22 you say, like transgender, I don't know if, in the 22 still. But I have no direct clinical practice where I 23 '60s, I knew what that term meant. But there was 23 am the attending physician. I just want to be 2.4 certainly no focus on that. 24 absolutely precise with you. 25 25 Q. Do you recall whether -- I mean, do you Q. Right. And when you talk about Page 11 Page 13 1 recall any specific patient or how many patients you 1 "supervision," what kind of supervision are we talking 2 might have seen with gender issues at that time period? 2 about? 3 A. Well, could gender issues be anyone 3 A. Well, it would usually be on the team 4 struggling with being a little boy or a little girl, 4 rounding, and my role would be the clinical 5 for example? Or do you mean something more profound? 5 epidemiologist trying to determine how can results in 6 I want to be precise. 6 the epidemiological literature be used in analysis of 7 Q. Right. I think it can be as general as that. 7 specific patients. So my role there would be to at 8 Someone struggling with -- but I guess what really I'm 8 least understand what is going on, then to reflect on 9 asking you about is someone who is coming to you 9 it. I taught a journal club. We would talk about identifying, explaining that, while they have been 10 10 these cases. I just want to point out I do have 11 identified as male, they don't believe they are, in 11 relatively hands-on relationship with patients, but not 12 fact, male. 12 as a treating -- not as a treating physician, never as 13 13 A. That's what I -- I think I'm to the narrow a treating physician. 14 sense and the wide sense, that's the narrow sense. I 14 Q. What time period did you have this 15 definitely didn't see anything like that I can 15 relationship with -- supervisory relationship? 16 remember. It has been many years, but not that I 16 A. Well, I had it at Hopkins -- I'm going to say 17 remember. 17 for the last 25 years. I'll just take a guess. 25 18 Q. More broadly, in terms of the way you're 18 19 talking about it, do you recall how many patients you 19 Q. But you were saying you were rounding with 20 20 would have seen? students in seeing patients and addressing what 21 A. Well, struggling with their sexual identity 21 exactly? 22 is pretty common both for kids and also for people 22 A. Well, you say rounding patients. We have 23 facing kind of mid-age, mid-age crisis. So 23 conference for the patient, we have a mortality

conference. I taught at a medical school, and part of

that is rounding. These aren't students in your sense,

24

25

24

25

specifically, you have to go back to time. Remember

how primitive our medicines were and all of that. It's

Page 14

they are residents, and there is a team -- if you've ever been in a hospital -- and the people would have different people.

Oftentimes I would be on that team to represent research, in particular, how does one use whoever doing brain research, scanning research about transgenders, how do they use that in facing this patient. That is clinical epidemiology. Takes the general statistical framework and applies it to an individual patient.

- Q. And when you were -- were you actually seeing the patient or were you rounding simply with residents who had seen the patients?
- A. Both. I'd see patients every -- probably every week at least one patient. I wouldn't see -- I'm not doing that anymore, but I saw patients regularly.
- Q. But you were not providing clinical advice with respect to those patients?
- A. That's care. If you notice, I'm very careful not to comment on any clinical issue. I will try to represent myself as a research physician, I'm not one. There is a more of a track for that in Europe than there is in this country, but we have more and more "mud-fuds," (phonetic) we call them. That's MD/Ph.D., coming out. They are academic physicians and not

Q. And the period of time you were at Johns Hopkins was when, exactly?

A. I went in '89. I was there until last year. I'm a little weak on dates, forgive me. I'm going to do my best. But I think I was there until 2000, would be '16. That is right. I think that's right, yes.

Page 16

Page 17

- Q. Over the period of time you were rounding at Johns Hopkins, how many of the patients presented with gender dysphoria?
- A. I don't remember any specifically. I say that because I was part of an Alzheimer's group, a dementia group. So it was primarily people that had neuropsychiatric disorders. There may have been some in the general clinic or presentation, but I don't remember specifically, other than a grand rounds presentation. There was a gender identity program at Hopkins. I do remember a grand rounds presentation.
- Q. Let me make sure I understand. So you were primarily rounding Johns Hopkins with respect to Alzheimer's?
- A. I would say the majority of patients I rounded on -- Hopkins is very large in psychiatry. There are hundreds and hundreds of doctors, so it is split up by subspecialty. There is a special group that does gender identity. There is a group that does

Page 15

clinicians.

- Q. But I --
- A. Sorry about that.
- Q. You told me several things, and I just want to make sure that I understand what the bottom line is.

You are not providing clinical advice to patients?

A. Oh, no, I would not provide any clinical advice, no, sir.

By the way, I also did this, if you're interested, in toxicology and cardiology with two other areas, because it is similar. I didn't want you to attach it too close to the psychiatry.

- Q. Well, that is a good point. When you talk about rounding, what are the areas that you covered?
- A. Well, rounding would primarily be in psychiatry, and then it would be toxicology, internal medicine, and cardiology.
- Q. And how much of that was psychiatry? You said you did this for a period of 25 years.
- A. At Johns Hopkins, it was almost all psychiatry. In Phoenix it would be -- Phoenix would be mostly nonpsychiatry. It would be areas focused on subspecialties in medicine such as toxicology, cardiology.

memory, Alzheimer's, dementia. I would say the psychological characters of aging, that's the group I worked with, yes, sir.

- Q. So you worked with an Alzheimer group, not the gender identity group while you were at Johns Hopkins?
- A. That is correct. I did my gender identity research, but that was in the School of Public Health, which is separate.
- Q. So you don't recall, then, doing rounding about anyone who presented with gender dysphoria at Johns Hopkins at this point?
- A. Well, we would have to get into exactly what you mean by gender dysphoric, because it is used all sorts of different ways. But in general, we rounded on in service, in bed, in service patients. I don't remember any with a diagnosis of gender dysphoria. There may have been some. Again, it has been 20 years. You know, I don't have a perfect memory. I don't remember any.
- Q. And then you talked about that you did some work at the School of Public Health?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And over what period of time was that?
  - A. It would be the same. It was the same work.

Page 18 Page 20 1 The work shifted from the School of Public Health to 1 Q. Transgender people? 2 the School of Medicine, so it would have been to '89 to 2 MR. KILPATRICK: Objection; vague. 3 3 2016. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I didn't understand 4 Q. So you were working in both departments 4 the sentence. It was a noun, transgender people. 5 during that time period? 5 BY MR. KNIGHT: 6 6 A. Yes, sir, very closely connected, yes. The Q. It was part of the previous question. Have 7 Psychiatric research is a Department of Mental Health 7 you published any research or other articles regarding 8 in the School of Public Health. The psychiatric care 8 transgender people? 9 9 is in the School of Medicine. A. No, sir. 10 Q. Have you had any education or training 10 Q. Have you published any research or other 11 related to gender dysphoria or gender discordance? 11 articles addressing gender discordance? 12 A. Well, you'd have to tell me exactly what you A. You will have to be precise, but in the most 12 13 mean by that. 13 general term, I have not. 14 Q. Are you familiar with the diagnosis in the 14 Q. Have you given any presentations about gender DSM for gender dysphoria? 15 15 dysphoria, transgender people, or related issues? A. No, sir. I'm sorry. You said, symposiums, 16 A. Yes, sir. 16 17 Q. So that is what I'm asking about. 17 is that what you said? Q. Presentations? 18 A. I've not had any clinical updates of any 18 19 kind, including gender dysphoria. 19 A. No, sir. 20 Q. And what do you mean by clinical updates? 20 Q. You have a number of scholarly publications 21 A. Well, I thought that is what you were talking 21 listed in your CV. What are those addressing if you 22 about, a continuing education program, something where 22 can speak generally? 23 you go and study about these issues specifically for 23 A. I'm primarily interested in the use of 24 clinicians. If not, I don't know what you mean. 2.4 statistics in epidemiology in analysis of complex 25 25 medical issues, where the biology is complex. There Q. Well, I'm talking about any training. And so Page 19 Page 21 1 if you're saying that would be the relevant training, 1 are real world implications like policy implications. 2 then that is my question. 2 The data is complex. And I'm primarily interested in 3 A. I've had no specific training in dealing with 3 the use of models, cross discipline. I've always been 4 gender dysphoria, no, sir. 4 interested in the use of how our models transferred 5 Q. Okay. And --5 from one discipline to another. So it would be across 6 A. I don't know where that training would have 6 a broad spectrum. The commonness of every paper 7 been up until the last few years, but I have not had 7 includes statistics and data. 8 any since then. 8 Q. Have those papers focused on specific kinds 9 Q. And I just want to make sure, my question was 9 of medical conditions? 10 broad, and I think you talked about clinical updates, 10 A. I have written quite a few papers on dementia. I would say that is the No. 1 condition. To 11 and I'm just talking about anytime since medical 11 12 12 school, have you had that kind of training? me, as a biostatistician, methodology is like the tail 13 13 A. Well, the problem is, I'm a professor, so I on the dog. So it depends to me who the dog is. 14 go to training every day with the students, so I'm in 14 They're the ones who really drive the work. A good 15 their training. And so it's a little hard. It's not 15 methodologist is a team player, brings strength to the like a clinician that goes back to a program or 16 16 17 something. Since I'm training with the kids every day. 17 So my topics have often wandered across 18 But specifically, did I role in a program? No. There 18 disciplines, but my interests have stayed very focused. 19 was no problem I was enrolled in. But I read five, six 19 Also the limitations of inference from observational 20 20 hours a day, I probably spend almost that with my data, very interested in that. 21 Q. Have you written other articles about the 21 students. 22 22 Q. Other than the two articles that you wrote in efficacy of surgical treatment? 23 the New Atlantis, have you published any research or 23 A. I have written on ethnic disparities in other articles addressing gender dysphoria? 24 surgical treatment. And I have done analysis as part 24 25 A. No. sir. 25 of a team of the efficacy. When I ran research for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 22 Banner Health System, I did a lot of that type of evaluation. How much of it fell into articles that would probably be used? Just as an example in an article, it has never been a primary focus. For example, I did work on the silicone breast implant litigation, so that was evaluation of a whole surgical procedure. Q. Can you point me to that article, please? A. I'm sorry, I thought you said where I prepared a paper or did research. I don't publish on 10 the things I did my expert witnessing on. So I don't 11 12 believe -- there is a paper on surgical disparities. 13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Q. And really I'm not asking about disparities, I'm asking about efficacy and safety of surgery.

A. Well, that comes into it. If you have different procedures used, let's say, on black women and white women, one of the questions is, what is the efficacy? Is there some reason for that to be the case? So efficacy is then. If you mean have I ever evaluated the efficacy of a procedure, I'm not a surgeon. How would I do that?

So I have not published anything on efficacy of surgery in that sense.

Q. So if you have never treated patients with gender dysphoria, what gives you the expertise to offer

A. I think it would be Paul McHugh approached me. I'm going to say -- I'm going to guess it was 2014.

Q. And why did Mr. McHugh -- or Dr. McHugh approach you?

A. Actually, a colleague first approached me, my colleague in psychiatry, the chair in psychiatry at the time, Lyketsos. He approached me and said there was a paper that could be quite controversial that Paul McHugh was producing, and could I help him improve the scientific standards of the paper. He was concerned that the paper could be an embarrassment to Johns Hopkins or the department. And so he asked me to look into it, and I went to a colleague who was the deputy director for Paul McHugh of the McHugh Center, and she asked me if I would actually help him write it. But it was in the 16th draft, I believe, when I came aboard, so he had been doing this for many, many years.

Q. So why did you choose to take this on?

A. Well, I chose to take it on because Paul McHugh is one of the great psychiatrists of the 20th Century, certainly extreme in some of his views, and some of his view bother me, bother me a great deal. But I thought it was an honor to try to work for the great man, or with the great man. And if I can

Page 23

Page 25

Page 24

opinions regarding their treatment?

A. Say that again.

- Q. If you have not treated patients with gender dysphoria, what makes you an expert regarding their treatment?
- A. I'm not an expert regarding the clinical treatment of gender dysphoria. I'm not an expert in
- Q. Well, what is your expertise related to gender dysphoria?
- A. My expertise is to review the literature and say, what does biology have to say, and to review these different models of the relationship between gender and sex, and try to figure out what the data -- what the best data says is typical of what I do in my own research and in these projects. Half the projects I do aren't for litigation, and this is typically what I do. But if you ask me if Mr. Smith should have transgender surgery, I'm not an expert on that.
- Q. Do you keep up with the scientific literature regarding gender dysphoria?
- A. The best I can -- it's immense literature, but to the best I can, I do, yes, sir.
- Q. When did you first begin to review literature regarding -- in this area regarding gender dysphoria?

increase the scientific rigor of the paper -- and the paper has been well-received in terms of content -that I would get aboard and just try to help him.

So the choice of the papers to review, the actual review was mine. I did the extensive review of the papers. So I did the scientific work; he did more the application to clinical work.

Q. You said that some of his views concern you or bother you. What views are those?

A. Well, I don't want to say what he thinks, but he's made statements that I would consider anti-gay, anti-transgender. And sometimes he has strong opinions, but he could influence people more if he wasn't so extreme. People told me he could use words like gender pretender. Or he's made analogies to anorexia. And I don't think those are very helpful. I also think they can be mean-spirited, quite frankly.

Q. So you started reviewing the literature in 2016 because you took on this project working with Dr. McHugh, and you're saying you have continued doing so since then?

MR. KILPATRICK: I will object. I believe he said 2014.

BY MR. KNIGHT:

Q. I'm sorry. What was the year that you

Page 28 Page 26 1 started looking into the literature? 1 A. Yes, sir. 2 A. I think it was 2014. The paper, I think, was 2 Q. Which ones have dealt with gender dysphoria? 3 published in '16, the Mayer/McHugh monograph. 3 A. Well, see, I really don't know what you mean 4 Q. Are you familiar with our experts in this 4 by "dealt with gender dysphoria," but I have been an 5 case, Dr. Budge and Dr. Schechter? 5 expert -- could that be any case in which gender 6 6 A. I don't know them personally, no, sir. identity has come up as an issue? Could that be an 7 Q. Well, what do you think about them and their 7 answer? Because you said in general about gender 8 dysphoria. I don't know if the bathroom case is about expertise? 8 9 A. Well, take Dr. Schechter. I know more of 9 gender dysphoria. 10 10 him. He's supposed to be an excellent plastic surgeon. Q. Well, I know you were an expert hired in the 11 He does a lot of the -- what I'll call the gender 11 Carcaño case. 12 reassignment. I don't want to get lost in terminology. 12 A. I don't know what that is. 13 I never try to be offensive to anybody, but gender 13 Q. That was a case in North Carolina. 14 reassignment, has a good reputation. He's been a very 14 A. Carcaño. Sorry. 15 15 Q. This is the case involving a law passed in active advocate. He's a clinician, not a scientist. 16 He doesn't know how to do citations properly, drove me 16 the state of North Carolina. 17 crazy. But I think he is probably a very good plastic 17 A. Are we talking about the bathroom bill case? 18 surgeon. I have no reason to doubt that. 18 I just want to be precise. 19 Budge is a counseling psychologist, and she 19 O. I think bathrooms were a part of that case. 20 makes some amazing claims or pronouncements, but I have 20 A. Okay. I remember a case I did. Yeah, I 21 21 less respect for her ability. She comes across as not believe you asked me about gender dysphoria. I don't know if that case is about gender dysphoria. I thought 22 very knowledgeable about biology and the sciences. And 22 23 I think she makes some statements that are unfortunate, 23 it was called the bathroom case. 24 they don't clarify anything. But both passionate 2.4 Q. Let me ask more broadly. Have you worked on 25 advocates for the patient. 25 any cases relating to transgender people or gender Page 27 Page 29 dysphoria? 1 Q. Do you believe experts in the medical field 1 2 should be advocates for their patients? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 A. Well, that's a complicated question. To the 3 O. And so we've talked about the Carcaño case, 4 degree to which they are experts, experts usually are 4 or the case in North Carolina. 5 not the attending physician. So it would be 5 A. The bathroom case. I'm going to call it the 6 independent medical exam and all that, then they should 6 bathroom case, because I don't know that name. 7 not be advocates for the patient specifically, but many 7 O. Okay. The bathroom case. 8 of the patients who are both and treating and 8 A. Yes, sir. Q. Any other cases? 9 presenting -- I mean, physicians who are both treating 9 and presenting experts are advocates for their 10 10 A. Well, what about the little girl that wants 11 patients. 11 to use the restroom of her assigned gender in Virginia? 12 Q. And shouldn't they be? Isn't that Virginia? 12 13 A. I think that's a very interesting question 13 Q. Are we talking about the Gavin Grimm case? 14 among legal scholars. Some might say it dilutes their 14 And Mr. Grimm is a boy. 15 independence as experts, other people might say it 15 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 16 makes them more familiar with the specific case. 16 Q. And were you an expert in that case? 17 I think it really depends, though, whether 17 A. I'm sorry. I don't know what the definition 18 you separate it -- general causation from individual 18 is of expert. I wrote something in that case, yes, 19 causation. In general causation, you know, does this 19 sir. exposure cause this injury is probably not attractive. 20 20 Q. And there you're talking about you wrote --But an individual causation, was this Ms. Smith damaged 21 21 you were a writer of an amicus brief; is that right? 22 by that exposure, then I think it's probably good. A. Yes, sir. 22 23 It's an interesting bifurcation. 23 Q. Any other cases in which you have worked on, Q. You have been an expert witness in some other 24 24 worked or provided testimony related to transgender 25 cases? 25 people or gender dysphoria?

Page 30 Page 32 1 A. I don't remember as I sit here. I think that 1 don't want to talk about. I'd have to go get 2 is it, yes, sir. 2 permission to talk about. But this comes under the 3 3 sexual tourism. Under the sexual tourism law, people Q. Why don't you take a look at what I've shown 4 you, and I think you still have in front of you, your 4 who go abroad for the purpose of having sex that would 5 report. And there's a list of cases there, appendix A? 5 be illegal in the United States, can be prosecuted for 6 6 A. Yes, sir. that action, even if that conduct were not illegal in 7 Q. So there are cases there showing court 7 the other country. 8 appearances and depositions. 8 So what happened was the Department of 9 A. Yes, sir. 9 Justice used the sexual tourism to crack down on gay 10 Q. Do any of these cases involve transgender 10 people that have these sexual tours to Southeast Asia. 11 people or gender dysphoria? And I worked on that case for quite a while. 11 12 12 A. No, sir. Q. Any other cases that specifically involved 13 13 Q. So this is another list of cases. There is gays? 14 some overlap, but this is the list of cases from the 14 A. No, certainly I've always been a supporter of 15 case in North Carolina that we talked about. 15 gay adoption, strong supporter of gay adoptions, but 16 So do any of these cases involve transgender 16 I've never been in a case of it. 17 people or gender dysphoria? 17 Q. Do you consider yourself to be an expert in 18 18 A. When you say "involved transgender people," I gender dysphoria? 19 don't remember if the people like Robert Anthony Norman 19 A. You would have to say what you mean. Usually 20 might have been transgender, but you mean is it an 20 when you talk like that, people will mean the 21 21 issue of the case, right? diagnosis, treatment, prognosis, and I'm not an expert 22 22 Q. That is what I mean. in those things, no. 23 A. Let me just look quickly. So one is an 23 Q. Are you an expert in anything related to 24 accident. That's a phony doctor. That's a novocaine. 24 gender dysphoria? 25 No, no, none of them involve that. 25 A. I would say by now I'm probably an expert in Page 31 Page 33 1 Q. Have you worked on cases involving 1 the epidemiology of gender dysphoria, having reviewed a 2 2 tremendous amount of literature on what the science has homosexuality, gay people, or same sex couples, or 3 marriage of same sex couples? 3 to say. I would say that is my expertise of anything 4 A. Yes, sir. 4 is what does science really have to say about this 5 5 issue? What is it that we have to decide outside of Q. What case is that? 6 A. I have listed here appearances in 6 science? 7 7 depositions. They represent about half of my Q. Would you agree that the scientific community 8 consulting, because about half the time I am asked to 8 does not yet know what causes someone to have a gender 9 consult, even on cases where I'm not necessarily a 9 identity that's difference from their sex assigned at 10 10 listed expert or I don't even know the specific case, birth? 11 if I know the case, I put it down here. But there were 11 A. I don't know what sex assigned at birth 12 12 means. There's only one sex, and rather than getting two cases that were quite well-known. One was the --13 13 lost in nomenclature or terminology, your sex is your and I'll just describe it to you. It was the case 14 involving whether porn stars should have to wear 14 sex. So if you want to say that they are struggling 15 15 condoms in adult filmmaking. And this is quite with the gender they're assigned at birth, the gender controversial and eventually lead to the proposition, 16 corresponding to their sex, I can understand. But this 16 17 which I believe passed, that porn stars or adult film 17 concept of sex assigned at birth, I'm not sure what 18 actors and actresses in Los Angeles County have to wear 18 anybody is talking about. And that seems to be a 19 condoms. And my role in that was testifying for gay 19 keynote problem, focal point. 20 20 people, that they were being singled out unfairly for Q. Can you answer my question? 21 21 certain actions, and that the statistics that were A. Could you repeat the question, sir? 22 22 Q. My question is whether you agree that the provided did not apply to them. This became very 23 controversial because Hopkins itself was on the other 23 scientific community does not yet know what causes 24 someone to have a gender identity that is different 24 side of this case.

25

from their sex assigned at birth?

25

The second case is a criminal case that I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

A. I don't know what sex assigned at birth 1 2

Page 34

means, I'm sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- O. Well, let me explain to you that sex assigned at birth is the terminology for the sex that a doctor says someone is when they are born. That is what I mean by sex assigned at birth.
- A. And when is another sex, sir? I agree that's sex -- that's the only sex. It's the sex from the role in the reproductive system. You are a boy, whether -a doctor could declare you a mongoose. A boy is a boy. Biologically now, speaking, a boy is a boy. We know what that means. And so I have to be, as a scientist, given that, and then go from there.
- Q. You must understand that you're not -- not everyone agrees with you with respect to this narrow definition of sex; would you agree?
- A. No, sir. I have found no credible scientist that doesn't believe that sex, overwhelmingly is defined by our chromosomes. Actually, by every cell in our body. Boys have boy cells and girls have girl cells. So the idea that a doctor just picks your sex at birth, what if you're a little boy, and picks you're a little girl?

Second of all, it's this idea that you're born with some kind of identity. I don't know what said that surgery was a major treatment for the dysphoric part of being transgender. That may be true, but where is the evidence? I couldn't find any evidence. I searched and searched.

Page 36

Q. I'm not sure that I understand what you just told me. The -- so you would agree with me, then, that the AMA recognizes the WPATH standards as the appropriate standards for treating transgender people who need medical care?

A. Well, this is really complicated, for transgender people who need medical care. I actually don't even understand that, because it has never been clear to me whether to be gender dysphoria you have to be transgender. If you are the WPATH, it appears to say in order to be treated, you have to be transgender, in transition, and struggling with dysphoria. You're not functioning well in the world.

Why do you have to be transgender in the sense that gender dysphoria you see often among kids that are really struggling with their sex and their feelings of, let's say, not being a little boy when, in fact, they are biologically a little boy. Why, then, do they have to be transgender? But if you say to me it is a effective treatment for gender dysphoria, even if they're just gender nonconforming or gender curious,

Page 35

Page 37

- that even means. How can you be born with an identity? So I'm trying to answer the question, but I have to understand the question to answer it.
- Q. You're familiar with the WPATH standards of care?
  - A. No, sir.

(Reporter clarification.)

## BY MR. KNIGHT:

- O. You understand that these standards are recognized as the standards for treatment by the AMA, the American Medical Association?
- A. Standards of care for treatment of what? I'm sorry, sir.
  - Q. For treatment of gender dysphoria.
- A. Well, we have to be a little careful, because when you break those down, some of them are about access to care, some are about treatment, and some are about treatment for gender identity disorder, which, of course, doesn't exist. It is no longer a disorder. So we have to be very careful. Because when I read WPATH, it appears to me that they are giving indications of how to treat transgenders, because they talk a great deal about the transitioning process.

transgender. If they're saying that -- let's say they

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 So you are treating people for being 24

- then the question is, what is the evidence? Because the AMA offers practical guidance. And the focus, I thought, of their guidance was that transgender patients should be given equal access to care. Doctors needed to learn how to treat transgender patients, and I supported that very much, sir.
- O. The AMA has said specifically that "An established body of medical research demonstrates the effectiveness and medical necessity of mental health care, hormone therapy, and sex reassignment surgery as forms of therapeutic treatment for many people diagnosed with gender identity disorder."
- A. I agree they say that; we don't have gender identity disorder. Because this is very important: part of the treatment for gender identity disorder was to treat people for being transgender. Now, we fought hard. I supported the fight that it, just like gay, it shouldn't be a diagnosis. Being transgender should not be a diagnosis. These are perfectly healthy human beings, and society needs to accept these human beings.

So the fact of the matter is, we don't treat gender identity disorder, we treat gender dysphoria. And contrary to what Budge says, the criteria you start with aren't the critical criteria. It's the dysphoria that is. So if you are saying to me, is there -- and

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 38 Page 40

you're asking me is there a controlled clinical trial that shows a hormone therapy, butt lifts, facial feminization, or any of these actually reduces the incidence or prevalence of gender dysphoria, I could not find that paper.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. The diagnoses for the condition of gender identity disorder are very similar to the diagnoses for gender dysphoria, are they are not?
- A. No. They are absolutely different because it takes out being transgender. We fought hard for this. Transgender is not part of the diagnosis any longer. So gender identity disorder was anybody struggling with their identity. Why do they have a disorder? Why should a woman who identifies -- let's say she's biologically a woman in my terminology -- identifies with being a man, a sincere persistent identification, why shouldn't she be treated with respect. What does it have to do with whether or not she's transgendered or not. The social stigma is a real problem.
- Q. So you are not understanding that the AMA supports -- or you don't agree? Is that what you are saying? You don't agree that the AMA supports these kinds of medical treatments for persons who are transgender, whether they have gender identity disorder or gender dysphoria?

A. Wait a second. Gender dysphoria is your inability to function. In this case, your inability to function. We have to make you functional. We're trying to make you functional. In this case, your lack of being able to function is a distress, but it doesn't have to be a contradiction. You can feel like you're part man, part woman, you're struggling with your gender. Who are you as a human being? That is what we have to cure and help. The fact of the matter is these transgender conditions, the conditions are conditions we look for to see whether this person is struggling with their gender identity. That is exactly right. But the condition that we treat is gender dysphoria.

And let me go back to the AMA for a second. I just want to make one comment. There is nothing that the AMA has endorsed more than antidepression drugs, the SSRIs, the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. These operate about 5 percent better than placebo. 5 percent better. But placebo interacts very, very well.

So the question is to me, the AMA has made a lot of mistakes in things it has recommended, but if they are meaning that this is a way to treat the severe disruption of life caused by gender dysphoria, I agree. But we need to have a study of that, a precise,

Page 39

A. Why should a transgender person be treated? What are you treating them for? We fought to get -the same with gay. Gay is a diagnosis we fought for 20 years to get rid of that diagnosis. Being transgender is not a condition that needs to be treated. And I see in Budge a contradiction. On one hand is saying, well, this is a normal part of development. On the other hand, they need to transition.

Why they are transitioning is to appear differently, if you're talking about surgery, for example, facial feminization, but the fact is appearing different has nothing to do with what they think about themselves. If they sincerely identify with being a member of the opposite biological sex, then what are they guilty of? What are they diagnosed as?

- Q. They're diagnosed --
- A. They're viable people.
- Q. Isn't the gender dysphoria diagnosis a diagnosis that relates to the clinical distress that results from the difference between the -- your, as you call it, your sex, which I would call your sex assigned at birth and your gender identity, your understanding that there is -- your dysphoria about that incongruence? Isn't that what gender dysphoria is?

controlled clinical trial. And one of the things I looked at was a base of people who are transgender who don't have gender dysphoria. There can be perfectly well-adjusted people who are transgender, but it should be well-adjusted.

Page 41

- Q. So the AMA supports treatment through hormone therapy and surgery for the gender dysphoria, this discordance --
  - A. I've never seen that.
  - Q. Do you understand that?
- A. No, I've seen them -- they may have endorsed it later. Again, it wouldn't bother me. At one time they endorsed smoking. So it wouldn't bother me because this is a highly political clinical organization. There's absolutely no doubt about that. And whatever clinical guidelines it has, I'm not here to argue about clinical guidelines. But those guidelines have got to be based on scientific studies, and where are the studies? That is all I'm asking.
- Q. Would you say that your view with respect to gender dysphoria is a minority view?
- A. I'm sorry. You would have to say explicitly what view it is. I'm not sure what you are referring to as "my view."
  - Q. Your view that transgender people -- that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 42

surgery and hormone therapy is not safe, effective treatment for gender dysphoria?

- A. I've not been asked that question, have I?
- Q. That is what I thought you were here testifying about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. No, I testified it has not been demonstrated to be safe and effective, particularly compared to its competitors. I mean, to me, to do a study of -- give people \$50,000 worth of plastic surgery and then ask them if they feel better about themselves is a little bit silly. The outcome has got to be dysphoria. And we've got to look at the treatment versus an active control. I bet anybody you do \$50,000 worth of cosmetic surgery on feels better about themselves.
- Q. Would you agree that gender dysphoria is a serious illness?
- A. Absolutely. I say that in my report. I'm very concerned about it.
  - Q. And how do you think it should be treated?
- A. I don't know. But one of the things we should do is we should have studies about what treatments are safe and effective. What are comparative statics of this treatment versus other treatments? And I'm not seeing studies. If you are depressed and you have gender dysphoria, is this an

A. Well, I don't know. That is a separate question. I would say to you that it has been inadequately studied, and I worry because the advocates will tell you that hormone blocking or even hormone adding, which we wrote about the hormone blocking, are without side effects. There is nothing that doesn't have side effects in medicine. We all accept that. So is it a safe, effective way? We need long-term follow-up studies.

Page 44

Page 45

I have specific worries about children being put on puberty blockers or being put in to supportive environments as young as 18 months old. I have some concerns about that. But I don't know what the best way to treat it is. And I worry about it. High suicide rate, they suffer tremendous discrimination. Do I think we should have society more accepting? Yes. Yes, why not? Why not?

- Q. And I'm really asking about adults.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I'm asking about whether you would agree that where clinicians find that effective treatment for this patient is going to be hormone therapy, that that should be provided to them?
- A. The problem is when you say "provided," oftentimes issues in American medicine are about who

effective way versus directly treating your depression?

I don't know. By the way, there are lots of studies in plastic surgery about breast augmentation and what the effects are in the long run on people's attitude toward themselves. And those are very interesting studies to look at. Those results are quite similar.

- Q. So you don't know how gender dysphoria should be treated?
- A. Well, two things. One is, I'm not a clinician. And number two, I can only talk to you about what has been demonstrated in science. If you say, is there a good paper deciding how gender dysphoria ought to be treated, my answer is no. The area is so political, it's a shame, but we aren't doing serious research on how to treat it.
- Q. You are suggesting that individuals with gender dysphoria should come to accept their natal sex?
- A. No, sir. I would never suggest that. I think if the person has a long-term consistent -- I think the WPATH long-term consistent persistent insistent deeply held identification with the opposite sex, they should be supported in that identification. Why not?
  - Q. And should they be provided hormone therapy?

pays the bill, and I know very little about who pays the bill. Because we talk about cosmetic surgery versus reconstructive surgery, it often comes down to who pays the bill. So with that caveat -- well, actually, could you repeat the question so I get it exactly right.

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: I don't see any reason provided. Let's suppose we're talking about somebody that has all the money in the world, they have money to pay for whatever they want, and they came and said, I really identify with being a woman. I think that identification would be enhanced if I had certain physical characteristics.

I would probably support that in the abstract. I can't see any reason not to support it. BY MR. KNIGHT:

- Q. You seem to be not answering my question.
- 19 A. I'm sorry.
  - O. I'm asking about an individual whose natal sex was -- is male, but identifies as female, and whether -- and is -- and a physician sees that this person is expressing such levels of distress and dysphoria that the proper treatment for them should be hormone therapy.

Page 46 Page 48 1 Do you agree that that should be provided? 1 enemy is the dysphoria, not the physical appearance. 2 2 A. Well, I would have to see the patient, I'd And steps should also be made to have them more 3 have to read about the patient. But in general --3 accepting of their own self. 4 because I don't want to talk about specific patients --4 Q. But you understand that the gender dysphoria 5 but in general, do such patients exist? I assume they 5 is about the physical appearance, the fact that the 6 6 individual's body does not conform to their 7 Q. And you believe those patients should be 7 understanding of who they are? 8 provided hormone therapy? 8 A. But notice what you said there. They have to 9 A. Well, not necessarily. Suppose there was 9 have an understanding at birth for this to be true. 10 another treatment that was equally efficacious and much 10 Their understanding -- you said their gender identity 11 cheaper or much safer. I mean, this reassignment is there at birth. So at birth, they already 11 12 surgery, for example, is dramatic surgery. Now, 12 understand that they don't identify with their 13 13 hormone therapy is not as dramatic, but if they had a biological sex. How is that possible? 14 long-term consistent persistent identification with 14 Second of all, the characteristics that we 15 15 members of the opposite sex, and they felt their identify as being male or female are very culturally 16 physical appearance was really causing them great 16 dependent. What if pregnant mom moves to Timbuktu 17 distress, I would say, without knowing the details, I 17 during her pregnancy? Is the baby born with a 18 18 would come down on supporting the treatment, yeah. different set of expectations? 19 19 O. And you understand that the clinicians and Let me make -- can I make one more comment on 20 researchers have tried to offer other medications other 20 that? In general, though, people that want to talk 21 than hormone therapy unsuccessfully? 21 people out of being gay or being transgender seem to do 22 22 A. Well, when I read that, though, when I read it from a moral crusade, that there is something wrong 23 success -- notice that this literature is primary 23 with these people. I don't know if you notice that, I 24 plastic and reconstructive surgery. If you look even 24 certainly do. 25 25 at Dr. Schechter's website, there is great bragging Q. Do you support therapy to help people cease Page 47 Page 49 to act on their same sex attractions? 1 about how well the surgery goes. Success rates are, if 1 2 you excuse the expression, the ability to pass, the 2 A. Say again? 3 ability to look like members of the opposite sex. So 3 Q. Do you support therapy to help people cease 4 let me go to the point here: You have a woman who is to act on their same sex attractions? 4 5 cisgender, her breasts are sagging or something, she's 5 A. No, sir. 6 in her 40s. I support procedures for her. 6 Q. Do you disagree with the DSM's inclusion of 7 So in general, yes, I support psychiatric 7 gender dysphoria as a diagnosis? 8 interventions. This would be a psychiatric 8 A. No, sir. 9 intervention, by the way. It's a psychiatric 9 Q. And I believe we've established you do not 10 intervention because we're trying to change the frame 10 oppose hormone treatment for adults? of mind, right? But as a psychiatric intervention, 11 11 A. But on --12 could a psychiatrist recommend hormone therapy with an (Indecipherable simultaneous speaking.) 12 13 endocrinologist? I think so. I don't know that you 13 Q. -- gender dysphoria. 14 could stop it. 14 A. Do I oppose them ever receiving hormone 15 Q. Do you believe that a transgender person can 15 therapy? Is that the question? be talked out of being transgender? Q. That is the question. 16 16 17 A. I think the difficulty there has got to do 17 A. I would not oppose it. 18 with at what stage they have long-term consistent 18 Q. Do you oppose adults with long-standing 19 persistent deeply held beliefs. If they've been in 19 gender dysphoria receiving surgery? that for a long time, then I don't think they can be 20 A. I don't oppose it, but I would say there is 20 21 talked out, nor why should they be talked out. 21 not scientific evidence to support it. There is not a 22 On the other hand, if they're struggling with 22 single study that shows the incidence of gender 23 feelings that they're not a little boy, and they're 23 dysphoria goes down as a function of plastic surgery or 24 24 kids or young adults, I think they should be helped reassignment surgery. Q. I'm sorry, the incidence of gender dysphoria? 25 with the dysphoria, no matter what that help is. The 25

Page 50 Page 52

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What do you mean by the incidence of gender dysphoria?

A. The number of cases per year doesn't go down. In other words, gender dysphoria isn't about people feeling better. That's how it's written in the classic reconstruction -- they have a better self-image, better body image.

Gender dysphoria is a very serious illness leading to a high risk of suicide, for example. You need to cure that dysphoria. So when they do this surgery, and they talk about how beautiful the woman is -- this is a male-to-female transgender and all that -- we do not have long-term follow-up studies of what percentage of them are still dysphoric.

It's the most obvious study to do. You'd randomize people to either have one treatment or another treatment or spend \$50,000 on them having a trip to Bermuda.

The question is the people who feel better about themselves often do so after they have a windfall or a positive experience. Now, I support more cosmetic surgery, woman and men in general, if people don't feel good about their appearance and their cisgender. They're 45 years old and have a mid-life crisis, I

- suffering so bad I can't go to school. I'm clearly dysphoric. And the third one says, I'm a little girl, my sex is a little girl, but as a little girl, I don't like having a masculine face. Do I believe all three of them should have equal access to whatever it is? Absolutely. Why should the one who is dysphoric have different treatment than the other if the issue is how
  - Q. Are we talking about real people --
- A. Yes.

you appear?

- Q. -- or just something in your head?
- A. No. We are talking about real people. I've seen several cases now of twins and triplets I've been asked to give input on or even be a witness in which that is exactly the issue. What should something be done -- why should something be different done for the transgender patient that isn't done for the cisgendered patient? I don't understand that. If they're equal, which they are, then why should one be done more than the other? What am I missing?
  - Q. Do you know anyone who is transgender?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. How many people?
  - A. Oh, probably -- well, that I know for certain are transgender, half a dozen.

Page 53

Page 51

- support their use of -- including hormones, including surgery. I think having people have a positive psychological outlook on life is extremely important. And the suicide rate among transgenders is a public health crisis.
- Q. So you believe that surgery to treat someone with gender dysphoria is exactly the same as surgery to treat a cisgender woman, for example, or a cisgender
- A. Well, it is not exactly the same, but I'm glad you asked that question. I have three triplets. Two are born boys, and one is born a girl by sex. And they all three have very masculine faces. The three little boys have masculine faces. These are fraternal, not identical. The two little boys decide they're transgender -- or discover they're transgender. I don't want to offend anyone.

So now we have three little girls, very similar faces, okay? All three are bothered that -they're disabled, they're demoralized by the look of their face. Now, one of them is transgender, but goes s along with it and says, I don't like it. There's a lot of stigma, but I'm not suffering bad enough that I can't go to work, I can do it.

The other one says I'm transgender and I'm

- Q. Have they had medical treatment, hormone therapy?
- A. I'm not going to say -- they're too small a sample. I'm not going to say anything about it.
- Q. Are you aware that for some transgender people having medical treatment can be a life or death situation?
- A. Well, I'm not sure how we would demonstrate that. I have seen patients if I don't get surgery by such and such, I will kill myself. I don't know -- I don't know how you would measure whether it is a life or death situation.
- Q. Are you aware of the studies of people who have been denied treatment for gender dysphoria who engaged in self-surgery, for example?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And wouldn't that indicate the seriousness of the condition, that it's a life or death situation?
- A. Well, for some patients. I thought you meant as public health, is this a significant problem. The suicide rate or self-harm rate is so high among transgenders, I don't know how you separate it out. So they're denied the surgery and then they go kill themselves. And some have the surgery and kill themselves. The problem is they kill themselves. This

Page 54 Page 56 is a crisis. Let's do something about it. 1 AMA said they were dangerous. And yet when we finally 1 2 2 Q. But you understand that your work here is did a study of Canadian experiences versus ours, we 3 3 found out that VBACs were safe. That is the importance being used to prevent treatment that lowers suicide 4 4 of doing research. rates? 5 5 MR. KILPATRICK: Argumentative. What I would like is some very conservative 6 6 THE WITNESS: Do I understand, I'm sorry? people on this issue, some very liberal people on this 7 7 BY MR. KNIGHT: issue to meet in the middle and let's get together and 8 8 decide on how to help this population of people. That Q. Do you understand that your opinions are 9 9 being used here to -- to prevent getting -- prevent is my sincere desire. 10 10 people from getting the treatment that would lower Q. So you don't think that clinical experience 11 is at all important in deciding what kind of medical 11 suicide rate for them --12 12 care should be provided? MR. KILPATRICK: Objection. MR. KILPATRICK: Objection. Mischaracterizes 13 13 MR. KNIGHT: -- or lower the risk of suicide? 14 MR. KILPATRICK: Objection. 14 the testimony. 15 15 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not aware of that. I THE WITNESS: I think physicians have a don't necessarily believe it's true, but I would love 16 union, it's a very strong union, so it's an important 16 17 to see the study that really shows that, because I 17 input. But can physicians be doing things incorrectly 18 for decades? Bloodletting. Leaches. 18 think all these numbers are manipulated. These 19 MR. KNIGHT: I would like to take a break for 19 transgender or gender dysphoric people have very high 20 20 suicide rates, treated or not. about five minutes or so. 21 21 You're saying there are people, a large MR. KILPATRICK: Sure. 22 22 number of people who can show, had they not had (Recess taken.) 23 23 treatment, they would have been suicidal. I do not MR. KNIGHT: Okay. Back on the record. 2.4 know of that study. I would be interested if you'd 24 BY MR. KNIGHT: 25 25 send it to me. Q. So, Dr. Mayer, I just want to go back to a Page 55 Page 57 1 BY MR. KNIGHT: 1 couple things. I asked you about working with 2 2 Q. Would you agree that medical decisions with students, and I just want to make sure that my question 3 respect to the treatment that is provided involves not 3 covered everything related to this. only looking at research, but also looking at clinical 4 4 Have you, in any of your work over the last 5 experience? 5 25 years, supervised residents who had any involvement 6 A. Clinical experience of the doctor, you mean? 6 with individuals with gender dysphoria, gender identity 7 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. All physicians who work with 7 disorder, transsexualism, any of those specific 8 this affected population. 8 diagnoses? 9 A. I'm sorry. I don't understand the question. 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Isn't it standard that when the -- isn't it 10 Q. And when and how many? 11 typical that when the standards of care for treating a 11 A. You asked me if I've ever talked to students 12 condition such as gender dysphoria are established, that they themselves have patients. That happens all 12 that they look at the research as well as clinical 13 13 the time, so every -- every resident that worked in the 14 experience? 14 gender identity clinic or rotated through that clinic 15 A. Well, sometimes they do, but the Cochrane 15 could bring those topics up and we can discuss them. Review that studied OB/GYN procedures found that 16 Again, it would be in the context of science and 16 17 two-thirds of the things we do, including holding 17 research papers. 18 babies up by their feet and spanking their butts are 18 And then I have a dear friend and colleague 19 actually harmful. So there is a great deal of folklore 19 who is a psychiatrist and an internist. And she 20 20 in what we do in medicine, and we don't know why we do focuses on women in life transition, and has several 21 21 it. We don't have indications. So I'm very suspicious male-to-female patients they I've helped her with in 22 22 of the fact there are ramifications. terms of the emerging understanding of gender -- of

gender dysphoria. Those are two very specific patients

that come to mind, and that is more direct. So the

23

24

25

answer is "yes."

23

24

25

The other example that I worked on were

delivery. We'd forbid them in the United States. The

VBACs. A VBAC is a vaginal birth after cesarean

Page 58 Page 60 Q. So two patients? 1 research. And we went over papers. And also she 1 2 2 A. Yes. They're two specific patients that I helped me with the Mayer/McHugh -- you know, the 3 3 subsequent one we did on puberty blockers. You're know of who are male-to-female transgenders. 4 Q. And when were -- who is the colleague you 4 aware we did that paper too. And she helped me with 5 were talking about? 5 that paper. She has a master's in neuroimmunology in 6 6 A. I'm not going to say, if that's all right. addition to being trained in psychiatry and internal 7 It has no relevance to this. I mentioned it 7 medicine. 8 8 clinically. Q. Also --A. Let me just add. I apologize. 9 Q. I think it does have relevance. 9 10 The brain research was just coming out very 10 A. I'm not going to say. Because it might big, and we also spent a lot of time going over the 11 identify the patients, and I'm not going to do that. 11 12 brain research, scan research. 12 Q. I'm not going to ask you the name of the 13 13 patient. I'm just asking about who the colleague is Q. But so you're saying when you talked to these 14 you're saying you worked with. 14 women, it was about the research, not about the 15 15 A. She's my student, so I'm not going to say. specific treatment for those patients? 16 16 Q. When was this? A. Would that be correct, yes, sir. 17 A. Well, to my knowledge she still treats them, 17 Q. And you said that you have spoken to other 18 but it's certainly been in the last four or five years. 18 students regarding individuals with gender dysphoria, 19 19 transsexualism, or GID in the past? Q. And, I'm sorry, you say "treats him." I 20 thought these were women who were transgender? 20 A. Yes. They rotate through the clinic at Johns 21 21 A. I'm sorry. I thought I said "treats them." Hopkins, and I have them for journal club where we 22 22 bring in articles and we read them, and then we discuss They are transgender women, yes, sir. 23 Q. And what kind of treatment is she providing 23 the articles in light of a given patient. Or they 24 for them? Is she treating them as a psychiatrist? 24 might be presenting a research conference, which means 25 25 A. Yes, sir. you start with a particular patient and present them. Page 59 Page 61 1 Q. And what kind of treatment is she providing 1 And I would help them with the research part of that, 2 to them? Is she providing them hormone therapy? 2 not the individual patient part. 3 A. I'm not going to say. I don't know for sure, 3 Q. And how many of them did you speak to about 4 4 this issue: Gender dysphoria, transsexualism, or but I'm not going to say. Because I wasn't involved. 5 I'm involved in understanding the research. For 5 gender identity disorder, or a related condition? 6 example, one of the questions that came up is, do these 6 A. Oh, we're talking about over 20 years it 7 women truly believe they are women? That is the very 7 would come up. I can't say. I think almost all our residents rotated through those clinics. Do you mean 8 important question of whether they identify with being 8 9 women or truly believe they are women. What does that 9 how many of them did the specific conversation come up, 10 10 mean if they truly believe? What does research show on I don't remember. 11 11 that topic? Q. I thought that you told me that you were not 12 12 looking that the research until 2014? So this would be about more general 13 13 A. I wasn't looking at it specifically in terms discussions of what the data in epidemiology says, it 14 was never about the clinical treatment of the specific 14 of trying to write a paper, interested in research. I 15 15 patient. I don't do that. knew about the research in general, because I knew 16 Q. So you did not talk to these students nor 16 about the fight over the gender identity disorder in 17 this one woman, female student about which kind of 17 the DSM-4 and DSM-5. But I didn't get seriously 18 treatment should be provided to these women who were 18 involved in this humongous effort until I got involved 19 transgender? 19 with Paul McHugh. 20 Q. So do you believe that any psychiatrist or 20 A. No. We talked about the efficacy in general 21 epidemiologist who reads some studies about gender 21 of different treatments for gender dysphoria. I was 22 going to say this is about 2015 and '16 when this 22 dysphoria or gender identity disorder or transsexualism 23 research was blossoming. So she was interested in 23 is an expert in gender dysphoria? 24 understanding. She's a researcher like I am and she 24 A. I would say he's an expert in the

epidemiology of gender dysphoria. Just like a plastic

25

25

was interested in understanding the implications of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 62

surgeon obviously knows no epidemiology. So the idea is we each have a specialty, so I would consider a person by reviewing the research to be an expert on the scientific foundation. Someone's got to review it, and certainly clinicians don't have time to do it. So, yes, I would say you became an expert on the epidemiology of a topic or the scientific foundation of a topic by reading the scientific literature on that topic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And that reading the studies make you an expert?
- A. No, no. I spent two years dissecting the studies. I went back to the original data. I spent two years day in and day out trying to find the best studies and figure out what those studies said. It was far greater -- I mean, you could say my whole career has been reviewing and evaluating research papers. That is what I do; I try to extend methodology. No, reading the papers alone wouldn't make you an expert in anything.
- Q. We talked earlier about hormone therapy and surgery as treatments for people with gender dysphoria.

Do you believe, though, that hormone therapy is medically necessary for treating gender dysphoria in adults with long-standing gender dysphoria?

A. Well, I'm not an expert in what is medically necessary. Medically necessary to me is about a specific patient, looking at Mr. Smith and deciding what is required for Mr. Smith. Could there be cases in which it would be a good thing to do? Yes, I'm sure there are. And are there cases where it's a bad thing to do? I'm sure there are. We just don't know enough, because the people that are supposed to be experts in

this are such advocates, they make their money off

this, that the fact of the matter is, there's very

Page 64

Page 65

little push for independent research. It surprises me that the attorneys for ACLU and others are not concerned about what the long-term effects, particularly for young people, are going to be. It concerns me a great deal.

- Q. So is -- my question, again, I think that you answered that in that you're not an expert with respect to medical necessity, with respect to an individual patient; is that right?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And would you agree with me that hormone therapy can be medically necessary for some patients with gender dysphoria, long-standing gender dysphoria?
- A. I'd have to see -- remember, we are treating the gender dysphoria. All I'm asking for is a simple

Page 63

1 study that shows this treatment is effective. There is 2 no such study. That's all I'm asking for. 3

- Q. Now I'm just asking you a simple question.
- A. Okay.
- Q. Yes or no, is hormone therapy medically necessary for some patients with gender dysphoria?
- A. I don't know the answer to that, because I'm not a clinician. I don't know the answer to that.
- Q. And you're saying you don't know because you don't believe there are studies that show it is safe and effective; is that your answer?
- A. Let's go back to this. It's as safe and effective as surgery. That is what the studies say. There are no studies -- let me make it clear -- I'm willing to bet Dr. Schechter would show the incidence and prevalence rate of gender dysphoria is significantly decreased by hormone or reassignment surgery compared to other modalities of treatment. So if you mean, if it works as well as a 10 cent pill, is that safe and effective? No.

The fact is that all surgery has side effects. The fact is that all medicines have side effects. Is the risk of those side effects warranted? We just don't have the research; we don't have the publications.

A. Well, I have to know what its relative efficacy is versus other treatments. I don't know, because we don't have the data, we don't have the analysis. Is this an effective treatment? I would like to see people given hormones and people given the reassignment surgery, and follow them up in 20 years or whatever length of time, and see how well they're doing compared to another group.

Science is about comparison. Where are the transgender people who then don't undergo hormone therapy to have a comparison group. Or an active control would be spend \$50,000 on them by giving them a trip to Bermuda, if you want, and see if that is equally effective.

- Q. So can you answer my question about whether it is medically necessary?
  - A. I don't know what the question is, sorry.
- Q. Well, the question, I will ask it again, is hormone therapy medically necessary treatment for adults with long-standing gender dysphoria?
  - A. Now you said "hormone therapy," correct?
  - O. Correct.
- A. I've seen no papers that demonstrate that that is an effective and safe treatment.
  - Q. So is your answer "No"?

Page 66

We have studies telling people feel better, they like the way they look, they have less burden. None of that is dysphoria. None of it is dysphoria. Better body imagine, but do they actually have a decreased risk of dysphoria, I do not know that. I do not know it. I wish I did.

2.4

It is also interesting the results are almost always in plastic surgery journals. If they are great psychiatric interventions, why aren't they in psychiatric journals? I've always wondered that: Why aren't psychiatrists the leader in this, since this is psychiatric, it's a psychiatric condition.

- Q. Is there another kind of treatment other than hormone therapy and surgery that you believe is safe and effective for treating gender dysphoria?
- A. We do not have a study of the long-term follow-up affecting gender dysphoria. Now, if the dysphoria is depression, there are treatments for depression. We have a great experience with people dissatisfied with their body appearance, and we do plastic surgery on them. We have a great deal of experience on that, what it does to their image and all that. And we have evidence on medicine, like depression.

The question is, what is their dysphoria?

treatment. I specifically said a dozen times there is no evidence for it.

Page 68

- O. Is there another treatment?
- A. You mean is there any treatment.
- Q. Is there any treatment for gender dysphoria that you believe is safe and effective?
- A. I don't have the evidence that there is any treatment that has been proven to be safe and effective.
- Q. And are you aware of other -- so what do you think should be done for people with gender dysphoria?
- A. I think we should treat them for gender dysphoria the best we can. That might be supportive therapy. It might be programs to reduce stigma. It might be changing their physical appearance to make them feel better about themselves, but I don't understand the difference of why a transgender female would be entitled to some surgery because she doesn't like her appearance, and a cisgendered female would not be entitled to it. Explain to me what the difference is.
- Q. So you think that people with gender dysphoria should be -- one of the treatments should be to try to make them comfortable with their natal gender; is that what you are saying?

Page 67

Page 69

- Dysphoria is a general term. Is it anxiety? Is it depression? Is it social withdrawal, social isolation? And then you go after treating those characteristics. Is that as effective? I don't know; we don't have the papers.
  - Q. I will ask the question again.

Is there another kind of treatment that is safe and effective for treating gender dysphoria other than hormone therapy and surgery?

- A. I never accepted that it was safe and effective. How in the world can you say -- that's a rude way to ask a question, in my opinion, sir. I never said it was safe and effective.
- Q. My question was, is there something else other than hormone therapy and surgery that is safe and effective to treat gender dysphoria?
- A. It is not safe and effective. There is no evidence for it.
  - Q. I didn't say it was safe and effective.
- A. Yes, that's in the construction of the sentence.
  - Q. My question is --
- A. Let's go to the board and write out the meaning of the sentence. I'd be glad to because the sentence implies that it is a safe and effective

- A. No, sir. Why -- I don't know what you mean by natal gender. Maybe I misunderstood. What does natal gender mean? I'm sorry. I don't know what that term is. You mean their sex? I'm sorry.
- Q. Natal sex? Is that what you are saying the treatment should be --
  - A. Okay.
- Q. -- to help someone to be comfortable with their natal sex?
- A. I'm sorry, I still don't -- let's talk about a male-to-female transgender. Would the treatment be to help her feel comfortable as what now? She's a male-to-female transgender, I'm trying to understand.
  - Q. As a man.
- A. As a man? She's a male to female -- no, as a woman. As a woman, not as a man.
- Q. But you just said that -- I understood you to be saying that one of the treatments that should be provided is to make that person comfortable with the gender -- with their sex.
- A. Well, I don't -- I'm surprised you believe that. I don't believe that.
  - Q. I thought that is what you just said.
- A. Well, if I did, I misspoke. I apologize. I don't believe that at all. Why would you do that?

Page 70 Page 72 effective in surgery means safe and effective as 1 These people are seriously -- they're transgender. 1 2 2 They identify with the other sex. Why wouldn't you surgery. You can't mean it's safe and effective 3 support them in that identification? 3 treatment of dysphoria if you don't have any evidence. 4 Q. So you are saying you should support them in 4 And it's interesting to note that almost all the papers 5 identifying in -- with their gender identity? So a 5 published are in surgery journals. Why aren't they in 6 6 transgender woman should be supported in identifying as psychiatric journals if you're doing this in order to 7 a transgender woman; is that correct? 7 help people with a psychiatric condition? 8 A. I think she should be supported as 8 Q. Are you aware that the American Psychiatric 9 9 identifying as a woman. Is that what you mean by a Association recognizes that social transition hormone 10 transgender woman, as a woman? She is a woman, right? 10 therapy and sex reassignment surgery is appropriate and 11 I thought that's why we were here. medically necessary care for some people with gender 11 12 12 Q. Are your former colleagues at Johns Hopkins dysphoria? 13 aware that you are participating in this case? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 A. I don't know. 14 Q. And you disagree with the APA on this? 15 Q. Are they aware of your -- I assume that they 15 A. No, sir. I'd say there is insufficient are aware of your writing this publication in the New 16 16 evidence to make conclusions, but I have no reason 17 Atlantis? 17 to -- if you are saying there are some people who 18 18 A. Yes, sir. probably benefit by some treatment, I have no doubt 19 Q. And have you spoken to them about it? 19 that that is going to be the case. A. Some of my colleagues, yes, sir. It has been 20 20 Q. But you don't believe that insurance coverage 21 21 several years. should be provided for it? 22 Q. And what did they say? 22 A. I don't know anything about -- I'm not an 23 23 A. Different colleagues said different things. expert on insurance coverage. I said at the start, 24 Almost uniformly they liked the paper for its 24 because in other countries you either need a procedure scientific content, and they didn't like the paper in 25 or you don't. This distinction between elective 25 Page 71 Page 73 1 the sense that it was picked up by people who have 1 surgery and required surgery has much more to do with 2 2 who is paying than it does with the medical needs. rather extreme views. 3 Q. Well, I assume that you have seen the letter 3 I would encourage more plastic surgery for 4 that was written by clinicians at Johns Hopkins 4 people in their 40s or 50s who are uncomfortable with 5 disavowing the report on gender and sexuality, that --5 their appearance. Now, who should pay for it is a 6 the New Atlantis publication? 6 separate question. 7 7 Q. So do you believe the State of Wisconsin A. They're not clinicians, but other than that 8 they were my colleagues at Bloomberg School of Public 8 should be providing coverage for surgery and hormone 9 Health, including the president of the university's 9 therapy for patients with gender dysphoria for state 10 10 wife. I'm familiar with that. There was one, and then employees with gender dysphoria? MR. KILPATRICK: Objection to the extent it 11 there was another article condemning. But most of it, 11 12 as I say before, I condemn it for the strange 12 calls for a legal conclusion. 13 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't even -- I don't bedfellows as opposed to content. But there are 14 certainly people very happy with it and there are 14 know anything about the state of -- I haven't been 15 certainly people unhappy with it. 15 asked anything related to what you're saying. I don't Q. But you are aware that Johns Hopkins is 16 know anything about the state of Wisconsin. 16 17 providing surgical treatment for gender dysphoria? 17 BY MR. KNIGHT: 18 A. Yes, sir. 18 Q. Well, do you know what this case is about? 19 Q. And that, when they did so, they did so 19 A. I read a complaint in the case. It's an because they believe that the treatment was safe and 20 open-ended question. I know a bit about it, what I've 20 21 effective? 21 read. Have I read a lot of reports in detail? No, 22 A. Some people there do. Certainly the surgery 22 23 department does. And the psychiatry was actually 23 Q. Well, you understand this is a case involving 24 against the -- having the clinic. But let me say a ban on providing coverage for gender dysphoria and 24

surgery -- I'm sorry. For hormone therapy and surgery

25

25

something again about safe and effective. Safe and

Page 74 Page 76 for state employees with gender dysphoria? 1 might benefit from a treatment, and, therefore we 1 2 A. Did I understand that is the case? 2 should try that because this is a desperate population 3 3 O. Yes. versus that everyone should get that treatment. I 4 don't quite understand it. 4 A. Can you repeat it again, the case is what? 5 Q. This is a case involving a state exclusion on 5 BY MR. KNIGHT: 6 6 coverage for hormone therapy and surgery for state Q. So are you aware that the American Medical 7 employees with gender dysphoria. 7 Association supports gender transition including 8 MR. KILPATRICK: Objection to the extent it 8 hormone therapy and surgeries as treatment for gender mischaracterizes the description of the case. 9 9 dysphoria? 10 10 BY MR. KNIGHT: A. I've seen a lot of documents about access. I 11 don't remember that particular -- exactly what you 11 Q. Did you understand that? 12 A. I understood there are patients who are 12 said. I remember a statement about gender identity seeking medical care. That is all I understood. The 13 13 disorder, but it would not surprise me. 14 rest of the legal part and the financial part, I don't 14 Q. Well, assuming that it's true, do you agree know anything about. 15 15 with that statement? 16 Q. Okay, but what I'm understanding is that you 16 A. What is the statement again? Sorry. Q. That the AMA should support gender 17 agree that -- with the American Psychiatric Association 17 that social transition, hormone therapy, and sex transition, including hormone therapy and surgeries as 18 18 19 reassignment surgery is appropriate and medically 19 treatment for gender dysphoria. A. By the way, I don't know what gender 20 necessary for some people with gender dysphoria, 20 21 21 correct? transition means. You're born with that gender. It 22 22 seems that -- and people are talking out of both sides A. For some people, I think that is probably 23 their best judgment. Remember, they have to make the 23 of their mouth. They say you're born with a gender, 2.4 decision under uncertainty. We don't know what the 2.4 but then you need gender transition. If you're born long-term outcome would be. I'm very concerned about 25 with that gender, why do you need a transition? What 25 Page 75 Page 77 am I missing? 1 altering the genitalia, for example, of young men, what 1 2 the implication is going to be 20 or 30 years -- 20 or 2 Because they keep talking about gender 3 30 years later. I wish we did know, but we have to do 3 transition being necessary. The other thing that's an experiment under naturalistic conditions. That is 4 interesting is that a gender transition seems to be 4 5 what we are really doing is an experiment. Do we have 5 culturally defined. What it means to transition to be 6 enough experience with them compared to other 6 more male or more female is a cultural definition. So 7 procedures to know that it's safe and effective as a 7 I really don't know what they're saying, all this need 8 psychiatric treatment? We don't, we just don't. I 8 for transition. They can be -- to me, they can weigh 9 wish we did. 9 280 pounds and be very masculine and claim they are a 10 10 Q. You seem to want to tell me about treatment woman. They need to have a long-term identification, not any particular body configuration. 11 for young people, but you understand this is a case 11 Q. Do you support the AMA's -- assuming what I 12 about treatment of adults? 12 13 said was true that the AMA supports transition, 13 MR. KILPATRICK: Objection to the extent it 14 mischaracterizes the lawsuit. 14 including -- or let's put it this way: Assuming that 15 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Do I know it's a 15 what I said is true that the AMA supports hormone therapy and surgeries as treatment for gender 16 case about adults? 16 17 BY MR. KNIGHT: 17 dysphoria, would you agree that that is the correct 18 Q. This is a case about an exclusion of coverage 18 position? 19 for adults. 19 A. I agree the AMA supports it. I can't 20 second-guess the APA. I don't know that much about 20 MR. KILPATRICK: Same objection. what their position is. I would have to read the whole 21 THE WITNESS: You're asking me if sitting 21 document, but if they are saying that's been 22 here I know that? I don't know that. I don't know 22 23 23 explicitly -- I've not read about what it is that is demonstrated that it's a significant factor in reducing

dysphoria, I would have a great deal of difficulty with

24

25

that statement.

objectionable to people. Where would I have read that?

But it's a long way to go that some people

2425

Page 78

Q. Dr. Mayer, have you seen this letter from Dr. Rothman, Dr. Klag supporting -- are you familiar with these individuals at Johns Hopkins?

A. I don't know Patricia Davidson. I know the

A. I don't know Patricia Davidson. I know the others, yes, sir.

Q. And this document on the second page, the last paragraph starts with "We have committed to and will soon begin providing gender-affirming surgeries, another important element of our overall care program."

Do you see where I'm reading?

A. Yes.

2.4

Q. And it indicates that they have done this "Reflecting careful consideration over the past year of best practices and appropriate provision of care for transgender individuals."

Do you see that?

A. Um-hmm.

Q. And so I read this to say that they have made a medical decision that this is a right thing to be doing, to be providing surgical treatment for transgender individuals who need it.

Is that your understanding?

A. The problem is when they say "provision of care for transgender individuals," I get confused, because transgender individuals don't need any care.

supports hormone therapy as treatment for gender dysphoria?

A. I'm aware that there are some long involved publications about that. But it doesn't surprise me. The Endocrine Society is in the business of giving hormones to people, that they support giving hormones to people. Is there a long-term study which shows that they're successful in treating gender dysphoria? There is not.

Q. Do you disagree with the Endocrine Society?

A. I don't have any reason to agree or disagree.

Q. Do you understand that every major medical association recognizes the medical necessity of hormone therapy and surgery for individuals with gender dysphoria?

A. I've never seen that recommendation actually just for individuals with gender dysphoria. But I do know there are a lot of organizations that feel that way and publish those guidelines. That is fine. I have no argument about that other than they're not based on science.

Q. What kind of medical treatment should be provided for someone with a condition where the -- in your view the studies are insufficient to show a treatment that would be safe and effective?

Page 79

It's no longer a diagnosis or an illness. If that said for gender dysphoric individuals -- I don't know what people keep talking about the provision of care. I think they should have equal access to care. So people should know how to treat a transgender woman.

Should they have special provisions of care because they're transgender? Not unless it's a disorder in itself. So if you said we should have the best practice and appropriate provision care of gender dysphoric individuals, I agree, and we should also provide the best care for transgender individuals. That doesn't mean that there's evidence that the best care would be performing surgery. There's certainly a lot of money to be made in them, we know that. But we really don't know what the long-term consequences are. Wish we did.

Q. Are these colleagues that you know and respect?

A. That might be a little strong. I mean, they're colleagues. They're not great scientists. I know Paul Rothman. I know he's a dean-type person. Michael Klag is an internist. They're not giants, but they're good physicians. I don't disagree with the document, by the way.

Q. Are you aware that the Endocrine Society

Page 81

Page 80

- A. I think that's a very interesting question. I don't have an answer. As a scientist, I can tell you there is not enough information. Is there enough, I guess, in the interim to make a decision one way or the other? Well, I think you can do some good for people or you can do some damage for people, and I don't know how to develop the two. And the idea that everyone who goes through these procedures is going to be happy ten years down the road is not true. The question is what percent will be unhappy or what percent, at least, will still be dysphoric.
- Q. I'm asking in general, aren't there other conditions where we provide treatment where there is not a great deal of research supporting the particular kind of treatment?
- A. Yes, sir. Probably half the treatments we do are not supported by strong scientific research. That doesn't mean we don't strive to do more, particularly in areas so politically charged as this.

If I believed hormone therapy and affirmative therapy were the answer to gender dysphoria, I would say so. I would absolutely endorse them.

Q. What are other treatments that are provided for which we don't have sufficient research in your opinion?

Page 82 Page 84

A. There are thousands of them. There is aspirin. There's cholesterol-lowering medication. There are all sorts of drugs we use where there are mixed results, and we have to resolve that the benefits are -- are worth the risks.

2.4

- Q. Well, let's talk about, for example, high cholesterol. Do you agree that high cholesterol is a medical issue that should be treated?
- A. Well, it's really -- and high cholesterol is a bad example, because high cholesterol is really a marker of something going on. But, yes, it is an indication of a condition that needs to be treated.
- Q. And if the research -- and you're saying, though, that the research about cholesterol medications is insufficient in your mind?
- A. Well, I'm saying it evolved over time. The important point -- I thought you were saying were there treatments we did where we didn't know for sure. We could show that the treatments for cholesterol lowered the body's level of cholesterol. What we couldn't show for a long time is whether that meant the risk would be reduced to the same risk as someone that had that level naturally occurring, therefore, we didn't know whether it would really lead to decreased heart attacks, decreased strokes.

- if you mean the two as separate from each other. The issue is not their physical appearance. The issue is their dysphoria. And so what should we do in the meantime about this dysphoria? That's why we have task forces to get together and decide what's the best thing to do. And we should be doing ongoing studies.
- Q. I am asking about the use of aspirin, for example. Where there are some indications that aspirin is a helpful treatment, for example -- well, let's talk about -- I'm sorry.

Let's talk about the cholesterol medication where there is some indications that cholesterol medications are likely to help someone, but we don't have definitive research studies. What should we do? Should we provide them the medication or not?

- A. I think we -- that's an excellent question. I think we provide them with the medication and ensure that there are ongoing studies to increase our knowledge. I would be less concerned if there were ongoing studies. But, yes, we have to make a judgment in the meantime, and that is a judgment -- the people in medical research and only the judgment of clinician what to do with his or her patients.
- Q. But I guess I'm a little unclear. Is that your -- is that also true for surgical treatment for

Page 83

Page 85

That took many years of teasing out the data, very sophisticated data where we now have been able to show recently a very positive effect to lowering the cholesterol. So there are many, many medicines in the early stages where we might know more complete now, but we certainty didn't know then. The SSRIs are another example.

Q. What --

- A. Just one more thing. Have to include hormone therapy for hormone replacement therapy for menopausal woman.
- Q. And what about hormone replacement for menopausal women?
- A. That the indications were that there were high risks of breast cancer. It was good, it was bad, it's gone back and forth, and back and forth. I'm not an expert. When we carefully did clinical trials, we found that the recommendations, like the VBAC recommendations were absolutely false, what the recommendations were.
- Q. And do you believe that until you have definitive research, you should not provide any care for people with these issues, these medical issues?
- A. Well, the issue I see is a psychiatric issue. You keep switching it to a medical issue. I don't know

gender dysphoria, that we should not provide it until we have definitive research to show that it is safe and effective? Is that what you are saying?

- A. No, I never said we should not -- we should not provide it. There might be situations in which it should be provided. I'm suggesting to you it is a very expensive procedure, and I see issues of equity. I see issues of secondary versus primary characteristics. I see issues of changing the body versus changing the psyche in some sense. If these issues were being worked on. Let's say Schechter, Dr. Schechter came to me with a psychiatrist. He and Paul McHugh said, We have a patient here. We believe for this patient that reassignment surgery is absolutely critical to resolve their dysphoria. I would have no reason to argue with them. Why would I argue with them?
- Q. So -- and I believe you said this before. So surgery can be a medically necessary treatment for some individuals?
- A. Well, I don't know that for a fact, but I would guess it could be. You could find patients which are just like -- there are certainly transgenders that don't suffer any dysphoria. That's why I don't understand this. This says we have to support transgender people in transition. Well, if they are

Page 86

not ill, there is no disease, why do we have to support them? But could there be people for which that treatment is successful and indicated, yes, of course.

- Q. So there can be people for whom surgery is a beneficial and medically necessary treatment for gender dysphoria?
- A. Well, I don't know that for sure, but I wouldn't slam the door on it if people came to me that are knowledgeable and clinical and said, We believe for this patient this is required. It wouldn't be someone with a Ph.D. in counseling psychology, for example. But I would understand their recommendation. I would respect it.

I also don't understand what surgery they would be entitled to. Because suppose mom moved to a society where femininity is measured by small hands. Now a male-to-female transgender, is she going to be entitled to surgery to reduce the size of their hands? When does it stop? How does it go? I guess that's really the question. How do you split the baby?

- Q. Are you aware of any patient with gender dysphoria who has asked for surgery on their hands?
- A. I don't know what gender dysphoric patients -- I do know there are societies that could value small hands. There are societies that value

means, is the risk of that procedure worth it. Effective means both medically effective and financially effective. Is it an effective way -- and we never used to consider that, and now we have to consider are there alternatives to treat the person that would be less expensive. But there has been no demonstration that they're safe and effective. There's argument, but there is no demonstration.

Page 88

Page 89

And the studies would be so simple it is just inexcusable. I have no idea why they aren't there. I would donate my time to help people do the study.

Q. So on page 7 you say that these treatments are not optimal. And then on page 8, paragraph 22, you talk about optimality -- or 22 and 23.

So what do you mean by "optimal"?

A. Well, optimal means that the procedure employed in the treatment of condition effectively address the underlying feature of the condition. So articles that say you feel better about your appearance or you look better, you're more likely to pass aren't optimal in the sense -- maybe optimal is not the best word -- but they are not optimal in the sense they don't go directly after the dysphoria, the underlying features of the condition being depression, anxiety, alienation, withdrawal.

Page 87

great big butts. There are societies that value all sorts of different definitions of femininity and beauty. That's what I don't understand. How can someone be born with this idea of femininity or beauty or masculinity when you're not born with anything. It's a clean slate.

- Q. So I would like to look at your report again, which is Exhibit 1.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. On page 3, paragraph 6, you say that medical and surgical treatments have not been demonstrated to be safe.

Do you see where I'm reading?

MR. KILPATRICK: I'm sorry. What page? BY MR. KNIGHT:

- Q. Page 3. You say that medical and surgical treatments have not been demonstrated to be safe and effective for gender dysphoria?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. What do you mean by safe?
- A. Well, safe to mean that the risk associated with the treatment for gender dysphoria is worth it. So let's suppose you had surgery on positive outcomes for most people, and some people you have negative outcomes. Well, is the risk worth it? So safe always

In most of the studies that were primarily in the surgery? Particularly the plastic and cosmetic surgery, talk about how good they looked. That these male-to-female transgenders can pass as females. And that's not what the condition is about. This is either a serious condition that needs to be treated or it is an excuse that gives cosmetic surgery to people who have a persistent identification with the opposite sex. I don't know which it is.

- Q. So you are not suggesting that for a treatment to be optimal, it should be focused on trying to talk someone out of their gender dysphoria?
- A. Well, you should try to talk someone out of their gender dysphoria, of course. To be less dysphoric, if I could sit with you and talk to you, why wouldn't I do that?
- Q. So you should try to tell an individual -- you're saying I should try to talk to a woman who is transgender and is clinically distressed, and I should try to talk her out of her distress? Is that what you're saying?
- A. Of course. That is what psychiatry is about, to try to help her with her distress. What is bothering her. What is she depressed about. And this is the heart of psychiatry. Medications I could give

Page 90 Page 92 1 her. Is she manic depressive? What is going on with 1 A. If I could, of course I would. What do you 2 2 her? Why is she here? And if she says she is here mean incongruent? This is a female. You just said it. 3 3 because she's transgender, I say that is not enough. She is a female, she identifies being a female, and 4 It is not an illness. Embrace your transgenderism. 4 this is her body. There were no social stigma. 5 You can't have it both ways. These people treat it as 5 Q. I'm talking about a woman who is transsexual, 6 6 though it's a devastating illness, and then they say, whose natal sex is male. 7 but this is normal development. 7 A. The only sex. I don't know natal sex. 8 Q. So what is -- what if the individual explains 8 Q. And she is dysphoric about the fact that her 9 9 that their dysphoria is about the incongruence in their body does not match her femaleness. 10 10 body? A. Is she in the wrong body? A. Why --11 Q. That is -- my question, if you can answer it, 11 12 Q. What is the optimal treatment for that? 12 what do you do with a woman whose body does not line up A. Well, that's very interesting, because I'd 13 13 with her understanding that she is a woman? 14 have to go back to something Paul McHugh said, and that 14 A. Her existence doesn't line up. Every cell of 15 15 is for anorexic. We don't put them on a diet. We try her body is a male cell. Every reproductive cell is a to give them better body image. We try to give them 16 16 male cell. In fact, you said something in there and 17 better body image. We try to help people feel better 17 Schechter says something, that 85 percent of these about themselves. Dysphoria is full of a feeling of 18 women believe they're truly women. What does that mean 18 19 19 helplessness, a feeling of hopeless, a feeling of to believe you're truly women? Do you believe there is despair. Of course you try to help them with all some nature you have that comes before sex and gender, 20 20 21 and that made you something else? Of course they 21 those. That is what psychiatry is. 22 Q. So you're saying that gender dysphoria is 22 should be accepting of their own body. They are 23 23 just like body dysmorphia disorder? female. They identify with being female, and they have 24 A. No. I don't believe that. It has some 24 a male body. 25 25 characteristics, though. And that is it's a Q. So you're saying we should just help someone Page 91 Page 93 psychiatric disorder. Where you wouldn't change their who is facing distress about their body --1 1 2 body to try to change that disorder. You try to change 2 A. Right. 3 their attitude to themselves. You try to give them a 3 Q. -- because it doesn't match who they are? healthy attitude about themselves. Isn't that what 4 A. Who they think they are, yes. 4 5 it's about? You try to stop the demoralization. 5 Q. That we should simply try to make them 6 Q. And how would talk therapy address or stop 6 comfortable with their body? 7 someone whose dysphoria is about the incongruence in 7 A. Well, I think you're demeaning it. I think making them comfortable with their body versus \$50,000 8 their body? 8 9 A. Well, when you go to these clinics, they have 9 worth of surgery makes a lot of sense. What in the young people in there. They're not old enough for world -- why are they uncomfortable? They identify 10 10 hormone therapy, they're not old enough for surgery. with being a female, and this is the body they have. 11 11 12 They talk to them about being accepting. They talk to 12 They are transgendered, why do they need to look like 13 them about a supportive environment, how important it 13 something else. I don't understand it. 14 is to be around people who accept them, people who 14 Yes, I would try to make them feel 15 15 understand them. It is not just talk therapy. First comfortable. I might give them medication for anxiety, of all, talk therapy is very powerful therapy. But the 16 for depression. And maybe I would give them hormone 16 17 fact is, you want to make them feel better. You want 17 therapy. I don't know enough about the clinical side 18 to make them better able to function in their daily 18 to make any pronouncements. 19 life. 19 Q. Let's take a woman, as an example, who has 20 20 Q. And if we're talking about an adult patient had cancer, breast cancer, and has, as a result of who says that I am a woman, and I look -- my body looks 21 21 that, had her breast removed. Would you agree that 22 male because, for example, I don't have breasts. I 22 breast reconstruction surgery after cancer is medically 23 don't have a vagina. Then what do you do? You try to 23 necessary treatment for that woman? talk them into being comfortable with these incongruent 24 A. Well, again, medical necessity goes back, in 24

our country, to billing. And I don't know enough about

25

25

body structures? Is that what you are saying?

Page 94 Page 96

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 billing, I'm not interested in billing to know that.
- 2 But do you mean -- and I was involved in the Schechter
- 3 case when this -- the police officer was hit in his
- 4 Crown Vic and blew up and burned his face off. At what
- 5 point are these procedures, if you will,
- 6 reconstructive, and at what point are these cosmetic?
- 7 And I think it's a false distinction. I think if 8
  - surgery can help people feel better about themselves,
  - they ought to be entitled to that surgery.
    - Q. So is it medically necessary?
  - A. I don't know what that term means. That term usually is referring to who pays for it. Medical necessity means your insurance will pay. Tell me what you mean --
    - Q. Do you think --
    - A. -- by medically necessary.
  - Q. I'm sorry.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you think insurance should pay for surgery to treat a woman who has cancer, breast reconstruction surgery?

A. Wait. The fact that she had cancer and breast reconstruction isn't her problem. The fact is she's probably depressed about it. So you treat that depression. If you believe that that surgery will significantly reduce that depression, and there's

Q. How do you do a study for -- a double-blind study for surgery?

A. It is very difficult to do double-blind studies. You can approximate by doing single-blinding. For example, you can bring transgenders in that are dysphoric, and you could say -- you have to have an active control, not a passive control. We're going to give you \$50,000 worth of surgery, or we're going to give you \$50,000, and we're going to flip between them. I think a lot of people would be willing to be in that trial. You either get \$50,000 worth of cosmetic surgery, or we give you \$50,000. The coin is flipped, and now we compare the two groups in terms of gender dysphoria. One group gets surgery, the other group doesn't. They're both gender dysphoric, and we would have the answer.

Q. But that's not a double-blind study, is it?

A. That is correct. No, there are ethical considerations in doing a double-blind study.

Q. And aren't there ethical considerations about giving people who need surgery money to go on a trip?

A. Not at all. If they chose that, that is the point. If we knew the surgery worked, then there would be ethical considerations. If we don't know it works, that is why we are doing the study. So it's very

Page 97

Page 95

evidence of that, which there is in this case, then, yes, I think it should be given to her. Now, who should pay? I really don't know about those issues.

But, yes, I'm for much broader use of cosmetic and plastic surgery. Why shouldn't people feel good about themselves?

O. So treatment, breast reconstruction surgery for a woman post-cancer that will address her depression related to that, that is something that should be provided and covered; is that what you're saying?

A. I don't know about coverage, but I think society should seriously consider. Burn victims. Why don't we leave burn victims looking like they are? And the answer is because we know they are going to have a very difficult time, and we can show that if we give them reconstruction surgery, they do better. So why wouldn't they do better? It might be easy to show, by the way, that transgenders with gender dysphoria who are given surgery have a lower risk of dysphoria, and they have a higher rate of cure. No one has done that study. Reminds me a lot of the silicone breast implant studies where people argued about silicone breast implants and whether they are safe, and no one had done the study. All I want is to do a study.

important, we have to have prior equipoise. We have to prior -- indifferent between whether it works or it doesn't. And I have no evidence to be not indifferent, so I'd be glad to do the study. It would be wonderful to show that plastic surgery --

Q. Do you have any reason to disagree with the experts in this field who believe it would it unethical to do the study that you're talking about?

A. Nobody believes that study would be -- nobody could -- and I ran research for the largest corporation west of the Mississippi, the largest hospital system in the world. Over seven RNBs. Nobody would think it is unethical to do a study a patient gets their choice between treatment or not, unless we knew for sure the treatment worked. We do these studies all the time in surgery, all the time.

So the idea we'll declare a procedure works, but it's too complicated to do a study, and then we'll just put a bunch of myth in the journals about how good people look and never even address their dysphoria is really just tragic. And the AMA has been wrong so many times. Remember, the AMA believed that being gay was a disorder. The AMA believed that the answer to domestic violence was never to leave your husband. The AMA supported smoking. The AMA is a trade union. They've

Page 98

made all sorts of mistakes. I can't tell you if they're wrong. I can tell you what science supports and doesn't support.

- Q. Do you know the studies that show that pharmacotherapy is ineffective in treating gender dysphoria?
- A. I have not seen any specific studies that are well-controlled or well-designed. There are some studies that show antidepressants don't improve people's outlook and all of that. So, yes, there are some studies that crudely get at this issue. I've not seen one that actually uses gender dysphoria, but maybe there is.
- Q. And those studies indicate that pharmacotherapy is ineffective at treating gender dysphoria?
  - A. Is ineffective?

2.4

- Q. Is ineffective in treating gender dysphoria.
- A. In general, for the most part, it shows that -- well, again, we have to go back to the gender dysphoria. We show that for people who are depressed who are gender dysphoric, that treatment does not change that depression, even by the minimal standards it changes depression for other people, yes. And that is not surprising.

interesting because your expert can't give me complete citations. I didn't even want to write anything down that was controversial.

- Q. So you said, other than those three things, that there are -- and let me be clear. What I'm talking about is your opinion that -- with respect to the efficacy and safety and optimality of hormone therapy and surgery in treating gender dysphoria. That is what I'm asking you.
- A. There were no references on that. There was an extensive search I did of the literature, probably a thousand papers. I probably reviewed the biography of 500 of them in the abstract, and probably read 200 of them over the course of four years now trying to find studies on gender dysphoria.
- Q. So you are saying there are no studies about efficacy and safety of treatment for gender dysphoria?
- A. I wouldn't say there are no studies. I'd say there are no decent studies. There's not a simple controlled study in which gender dysphoria is actually measured.

And by the way, I must just say, the studies that show that people are happy with their surgery are funny, because I send you to the book Charlatan by Pope, I believe. Charlatan was a man named John

Page 99

Page 101

Page 100

- Q. So as I understand it in your report, what I see here is that you are citing three different documents to support your opinions. The first is this sexuality and gender publication. The second is this amicus brief in the Gavin Grimm case. And then I believe you also cite on page 8, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services decision memo.
  - A. Right.
- Q. Is there anything else that would -- that you believe supports your opinions here?
- A. Everything in my citations does. I have lists and pages and pages of citations I reviewed. All the papers, I mean it depends on what conclusion you mean. That sex is biological? Any book in biology will tell you that. So I'm not sure. I'm not sure what opinion I'm presenting.
- Q. Opinions that you've stated in your report, that's the opinions we are talking about.
- A. Most of the opinions come from first principle. They don't come from research, because there isn't any good research on the treatment of gender dysphoria. I'm not sure -- I mean statements like gender dysphoria is a serious medical condition is overwhelmingly supported in the literature. You want me to go through and give you citations? That's

Brinkley. And John Brinkley is famous in the Southwest because he invented border radio. But he, in fact, because famous as a surgeon because he transplanted goat gonads into the testicle sacks of men in order that they would have rejuvenated sexual prowess. And the interesting thing is, of course, it doesn't work. You can't have a third gonad help you. But the men were overwhelmingly positive toward the surgery. They all claimed they had a better sex life.

So we know the theory of some costs that economists give us is that people are happy after they've had \$50,000 worth of procedure. Does it help them function more effectively in society, let's say in five years? There are no studies. There are no studies. At least I could not find them.

- Q. Would you agree with me that transgender people exist in a number of countries and probably throughout the world?
- A. Go ahead. Say that again?
  - Q. Would you agree that transgender people exist throughout the world?
  - A. Well, I don't have a lot of experience, but I assume they would. Why wouldn't they?
  - Q. Well, you understand that WPATH is an organization made up of researchers and clinicians

Page 102 Page 104 throughout the world? 1 affirming environments when they're young, talking 1 2 A. I find WPATH to be made up of advocates for 2 about two year olds, and know they're of the opposite 3 3 sex and things like that. So they're complicated transgender communities. I don't find many of them -there are a few exceptions -- to be very serious 4 issues, but the conclusions we'd make are the same --4 5 scientists. If they were serious scientists, they 5 they're the same for adults as for children. This was 6 6 would have done this study a long time ago. about children. It wasn't about this young high school 7 It would also be interesting to do a 7 kid. I believe. 8 follow-up of people who decline to have sex 8 Q. Again, this section, section 15, talks about 9 9 reassignment surgery or facial feminization versus ones gender affirming polices harm rather than help gender that accept it. It is not a randomized study. It 10 10 dysphoric children. 11 would still be interesting to see where they are in 11 So again, the section itself is titled 12 five years with respect to dysphoria. 12 something dealing with children. 13 MR. KILPATRICK: Jim, it is after noon, and 13 A. Okay. 14 I'm wondering if we can break for lunch soon. 14 Q. Is that right? MR. KNIGHT: Okay. We can take -- can we go 15 A. I'm sorry? Say it again. 15 Q. Is it right that this section is talking a little bit longer? 16 16 17 MR. KILPATRICK: Sure. How much longer? 17 about policies with respect to gender dysphoric 18 Minutes? 18 children? 19 19 MR. KNIGHT: I mean, maybe if we go another A. Yes. Yes. Policies that could harm gender dysphoric children might not harm gender dysphoric 20 half hour? 20 21 adults. Is that what you mean? 21 MR. KILPATRICK: Can you get through that? 22 Q. On page 18, you cite to a paper by Michelle 22 THE WITNESS: Sure. 23 (Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) 23 Cretella. 2.4 BY MR. KNIGHT: 2.4 A. Um-hmm. 25 Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm showing you what is marked as 25 Q. Do you know Michelle Cretella? Page 103 Page 105 Exhibit 4. This is the amicus brief in the Gavin Grimm 1 1 A. No, I don't. 2 2 Q. You've never met her? case. 3 You have seen this before? 3 A. No. sir. 4 4 Q. But you understand she's the president of a A. Yes, sir. 5 5 group called American College of Physicians? Q. So directing you to page 5. 6 In the second paragraph you say, "In this 6 A. Pediatricians? 7 brief, amici leave aside all questions about how best 7 Q. Or Pediatricians. I'm sorry. to treat gender dysphoria in adults." 8 8 A. Yes, sir. Do you see where I'm reading? 9 9 Q. Are you a member of the American College of 10 A. Yes, sir. 10 Pediatricians? Q. So this doesn't -- this brief does not 11 11 A. No, sir. 12 address the efficacy of surgery or hormone therapy in 12 Q. Are you a supporter? 13 13 an adult, right? A. No, sir. 14 A. That is correct. 14 Q. You understand this group was recently 15 15 Q. And yet you're relying on it and claiming founded? 16 that pages 15 through 21 of it support your opinions 16 A. I don't know much about the group. 17 regarding the lack of evidence that hormone therapy and 17 Q. Did you understand or do you know that it was 18 surgery are effective at treating gender dysphoria. 18 founded as a protest against the American Academy of Pediatrics' decision to support adoption for gay 19 A. Correct. 19 20 20 O. Why is that? couples? 21 21 A. Because this is a conclusive. This MR. KILPATRICK: Objection; lacks foundation. 22 particular thing is about children, because I was asked 22 You can answer. 23 to write about children, but the fact is the same 23 THE WITNESS: No, sir. analysis applies. Obviously, there are more important 24 24 BY MR. KNIGHT: 25 issues with children because of putting them in gender 25 O. You didn't know that?

	Page 106		Page 108
1	A. No.	1	A. Yes, sir. Very much so.
2	Q. Do you have any reason to dispute what I'm	2	Q. Would you have cited her to support this
3	telling you about it?	3	position had you known that she was the president of
4	A. No, sir.	4	that group?
5	Q. And did you know that it has approximately	5	A. Well, that is an interesting question,
6	500 members?	6	because the degree I agree with it, I would support it
7	A. No, sir.	7	anywhere. She is a good scientist. But my respect for
8	Q. You know, though, I assume, that this group	8	the group wouldn't be as high. I didn't cite a group;
9	is different from the American Academy of Pediatricians	9	I cited her. And she's written quite a bit. She's
10	that has I'm sorry, I think it's the American	10	obviously a very bright woman, but she seems to be
11	Academy of Pediatrics?	11	, , ,
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12	quite opinionated. I had not heard that opinion, but I
	A. Yes.		more or less avoided her. The group is a bit
13	Q. That has about 65,000 members?	13	conservative for my taste.
14	A. Yes, sir. Well, I'm sorry. I don't know how	14	Q. Sorry. Why don't you give me a minute.
15	many members it has. I know it is much larger, yes,	15	What parts of this brief did you not agree
16	sir.	16	with?
17	Q. So you support I'm sorry. You cite her to	17	A. Well, as I said, I didn't disagree, but a lot
18	support a claim that allowing a person who is	18	of the brief is not my area of expertise. So you are
19	transgender to live consistent with their gender	19	talking about a guy I think Hruz did this with us.
20	identity will change their brain through	20	He is the chair of pediatric endocrinology at
21	neuroplasticity.	21	University of Washington St. Louis. So I respect him,
22	Do you remember that?	22	and particularly as to how fetal testosterone affects
23	A. Yes, sir.	23	the brain and all that. I can't cover everything. And
24	Q. How is that supposed to happen?	24	Paul McHugh is one of the outstanding psychiatrists of
25	A. Well, we know the brain is very plastic. The	25	the century, actually. And so I accepted him. I'd
	Page 107		Page 109
1	idea there is how people live their lives has an effect	1	have to go through every line and try to figure out
2	on their brain. I don't think there is any I'm not	2	most of it is just ones I don't have any opinion on,
3	sure what's debatable about that.	3	because if I really objected to it, I would not have
4	Q. Well, do you have any research that would	4	put them in.
5	support your position that a transgender person's brain	5	But did I know who Cretella was that her
6	is going to change through neuroplasticity?	6	name? I did not know who she was with this reference.
7	A. I guess you're going to have to give me the	7	Q. So directing to page 16.
8	exact citation, because I don't know exactly	8	A. Of what? Sorry, sir.
9	remember, three of us wrote this. We didn't all agree	9	Q. Of the document you were looking at before.
10	with everything on this, but if you are saying our	10	A. Page 16?
11	brain is plastic, yes. It's part of the transgender	11	Q. Footnote 10. So you talk about the
12	support or argument that brains are plastic. So I	12	Giuseppina Rametti article, which looks at brain
13	don't know exactly what we're talking about or	13	imaging or does brain imaging. And the last sentence
14	objecting to.	14	says, "The results of that study may be explained by
15	Q. Do you agree with everything that is in this	15	neuroplasticity."
16	amicus brief?	16	A. Female-to-male transsexual was more similar
		17	to that of heterosexual males than females to male.
17	A. No, sir. I didn't disagree with anything	18	
18	severely, but these were a combination of three of our		One study showed the white matter microstructure of
19	ideas. And my focus, again, is very much on science	19	specific brain areas of the female to male transsexual
20	and whether everything said in science is accurate. I	20	was more similar to that of heterosexual males than
21	did not know about the origin of the American	21	that of heterosexual females.
22	whatever you said. American College.	22	Okay. I find all of this brain research,
23	Q. The American College of Pediatricians, it	23	other than suggestive of our hypotheses, to be
24	says on the top of that page.	24	spurious, because we know the brain changes the
		1 1 L	

function of life experience. We can now measure that

25

25

Does that concern you?

Page 110

with scanners. But this idea of whether the cisgender brain, the transgender brain are similar or different, I find all that research highly suspect, and I did review that research specifically for this project.

- Q. These researchers were looking at the white matter in transgender patients before they took hormone therapy, right?
  - A. That's correct.

2.4

- Q. So how does neuroplasticity explain the results they found?
- A. Well, I don't think it did find. I found that the fusion tensor imaging study, much on methodology, and not much on result. My mentor at Princeton used to say \$100 worth of analysis of a dime's worth of data doesn't produce a penny's worth of output.

I found the analysis not very convincing, but at least sexual -- at least suggestive of this idea that the brain -- that the brain can change.

Other than that, I would let Hruz comment on that, because it is not something that I added. Professor Hruz did. Dr. Hruz did.

Q. So you don't have a position over whether neuroplasticity could explain the results that this researcher found? multiple comparison, multiple statistical tests, and I'm never convinced that the results they find on an artifact in the methodology, that they're really there. So all of the brain research, arguing about this brain looking like that brain, first of all, we don't know how to get from brain to behavior, so it's almost a waste of time.

Page 112

Page 113

Second of all, the difference at the mean does not predict the interest at the extreme. And we're only interested in extreme. So I don't believe they have any reliability to any -- or significant reliability to any of them.

Let me go to the statement, though, above it.
"Neuroplasticity means that a child who is encouraged to impersonate the opposite sex may be less likely to reverse course later in life. For instance, if a boy repeatedly behaves as a girl, his brain is likely to develop in such a way that eventual alignment with his biological sex is less likely to occur." I think it's a hypotheses.

Q. On pages 11 and 12, you cite Dr. Kenneth Zucker.

Would you agree with me that the articles that you cite here are about the treatment of children only?

Page 111

- A. I would say I support the idea that most of these studies could be affected by neuroplasticity. The idea that brains are elastic and plastic, and that is a fundamental concept in the new brain research we do. But do I believe they do explain these results? No. They are just suggestive. And this whole area of who the transgender looks like is very, very suspicious for me. Remember, these are -- never mind. I
- Q. Well, you understand that these researchers concluded that their results provided evidence for an inherent difference in the brain structure of female-to-male transsexuals?
  - A. Yes, sir.

shouldn't say that.

- Q. And do you disagree with that conclusion?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Why?
- A. Well, I disagree, because if you go to the basic methodology, what these people do is poke around the brains until they find areas where whatever side they're on -- whether they want transgender brains to look like, cisgender brains or the opposite sex brains. And they go around until they find patterns, and they explain those patterns.

Well, the first rule of statistics deals with

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And do you know that Dr. Zucker has made it clear that he agrees that surgery and homotherapy are effective treatment for adults?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In fact, in 2016, he published an article called "Gender Dysphoria in Adults," in which he says that "Recent investigations have largely confirmed the opinion that hormone therapy is an effective and reasonably safe treatment in adults with gender dysphoria."
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. What do you think of Dr. Zucker?
- A. Well, he's been in a lot of controversy, some of which I don't understand, I think revolved around conversion therapy or something. Some of his work seems very reasonable. Other work gets highly criticized. So he's not really in my area to make an opinion, but I hope he's right. Now, if he said there are studies that demonstrate that definitively, I would take issue with it. But he's certainly a leader in the field, and if he says it works, that's a great deal of evidence in my opinion.
- Q. So you recognize him as an expert in the field of treatment of gender dysphoria?

	Page 114		Page 116
1	A. Yes, sir.	1	No.
2	Q. Well, he also says that "Empirical evidence	2	Q. Were you paid for your work on this amicus
3	from adulthood suggests that gender dysphoria is best	3	brief?
4	treated through hormonal and surgical interventions,	4	A. No, sir.
5	particularly in carefully evaluated patients."	5	Q. Did you receive any funding for work related
6	Do you understand that?	6	to the brief?
7	A. That's his opinion. That's his functional	7	A. Not to best of my knowledge. I'd have to go
8	experience. He has much greater experience, but there	8	back and see if there was some minimal amount of
9	is no clinical trial which shows that's true.	9	payment. As I sit here, I don't believe I was. I
10	Q. But you disagree with Dr. Zucker?	10	don't know who would have paid me.
11	A. No, I disagree that what he says has been	11	Q. Were you encouraged to work on the brief by
12	demonstrated by any sound scientific research. He says	12	anyone?
13	it is safe and effective. You can only be safe and	13	A. Yes, sir.
14	effective relative to your effect. If it doesn't have	14	Q. Who?
15	any effect, what does it mean to be safe? Are these	15	A. Mr. Bradley. Gerald Bradley is his name.
16	treatments really safe for people? I don't know that.	16	Gerard Bradley of Notre Dame Law School.
17	Are they effective? I don't know that.	17	Q. Who did you talk to about the brief other
18	Q. If he says but you understand he says it	18	than Mr. Bradley?
19	is best treated through hormonal and surgical	19	A. Paul Hruz and Paul McHugh. Dr. McHugh and
20	interventions. That's his statement.	20	Dr. Hruz.
21	A. Fine. And Zucker's a clinician. He's very	21	Q. Anyone else?
22	experienced in this thing, and I respect his opinion.	22	A. No, sir.
23	He's got a lot more experience than I do. Do I respect	23	Q. Anyone review drafts other than the people
24	him in understanding scientific evidence and what is	24	you just mentioned?
25	demonstrated or not by clinical studies? No, I	25	A. Not to the best of my memory.
	Page 115		Page 117
1	don't I don't know. I don't have any reason to	1	Q. Were there any nonfinancial contributions
2	suspect him, but if he believes that evidence is	2	from anyone for the work on the brief?
3	accumulated to make that decision, then that's his	3	A. What would that be? I don't know what you're
4	belief. It would just take one study to show that, in	4	talking about.
5	fact, it works.	5	Q. Somebody assist by providing some research or
6	Q. Do you believe that you have greater	6	something for you?
7	expertise in the field of gender dysphoria than	7	A. No.
8	Dr. Zucker?	8	Q. Any other ways, nonfinancial ways that you
9	A. We have different types of expertise. I	9	can think of that someone assisted?
10	think I'm a better scientist than Dr. Zucker. I spend	10	A. I want to be a good scientist and a good
11	all my day doing science. I don't see patients. I	11	citizen and help out, understand the issues. I felt it
12	don't go on talk shows. I don't do all these other	12	was a very complicated case.
13	things.	13	MR. KNIGHT: I think we should take a break
14	Q. Do you know whether	14	now, if you're wanting to take a break now.
15	A. When it comes to the epidemiology of gender	15	MR. KILPATRICK: Okay. 45 minutes, is that
16	identity, I think I've worked as hard as anybody.	16	enough time?
17	Q. Do you know whether Dr. Zucker has done any	17	MR. KNIGHT: Let's go off the record.
18	scientific research?	18	(Recess taken.)
19	A. Oh, yeah. He's done quite a few studies,	19	MR. KNIGHT: Back on the record.
20	scientific studies.	20	Doctor, do you understand you are still under
21	Q. But you don't think he's a scientist?	21	oath?
22	A. I think he's a scientist. He's a lot of	22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
23	different things. So I think he's a committed	23	BY MR. KNIGHT:
24	scientist. Do I think he'd be held out as a great	24	Q. I wanted to ask about your testimony earlier
25	academic physician? No. I mean, is he a Paul McHugh?	25	about counseling a woman who is transgender to accept

2.4

Page 118

her femaleness, but to also accept her body, even though it -- her body doesn't conform with her female gender identity. Did I -- is that what you said before, if I understand correctly?

A. I'm not sure what you mean by "conform."

2.4

- A. I'm not sure what you mean by "conform," because she has a female gender identity, so she is a female. Why does her body need to look any particular way. She is what she is. She's a transgendered female. So I don't understand, other than social acceptance or self-image, why shouldn't society accept these people as who they are? Maybe I'm missing something.
- Q. Well, I'm just trying to make sure -- I have some other questions to ask, but I want to make sure I'm representing what you said before, that you believe that one kind of treatment that should be provided is counseling this transgender woman to be accepting of her body, even though she has the body that would be typically associated with a man?
- A. Right. So she has a body of a man. We can either make her and society accept that, or we can try to have them more comfortable so they can pass, basically, as being biologically a woman. And that -- that's fine too.

To me, the treatment has to go after the

perceives as male?

- A. It is male.
- Q. Is there any reason?
- A. But it's her body, she is a female. Go ahead.
- Q. Is there any research that supports your notion of having someone be counseled or counseling someone to accept their female gender identity and also accept their male body?
- A. That is a good question. I don't know the answer. There is a lot of research on children -- children's relevant here -- where they put them in an affirming supportive environment and they do get positive results with regard to their self-image and all that. So, yes, we have some indication of being in a positive affirmative environment that affirms who they are has positive results. Do I think it would work on a 45-year-old woman? I doubt it, but I don't know
- Q. Is there any research that would support that kind of therapy for a 45-year-old woman?
- A. Well, a 45-year-old with dysphoria has distress, she has depression, she had that. Yes, there's treatment to show that those treatments work with regard to depression, for example, but are they

Page 119

Page 121

Page 120

- dysphoria. What is the dysphoria? Do I think that would work with most women? No. I mean most people, no. I think it is very resilient overall, this dysphoria.
- Q. And you're talking about two different things. So which is the thing do you think that would not work for most people, which kind of treatment? Counseling?
- A. I don't think there is any evidence that any treatment really works. I'm sorry. I don't know of any controlled study that shows that transgenders who are dysphoric have a lower rate of dysphoria given any treatment. Any treatment. But since you are at a psychiatrist, talk is there. It's certainly less than \$50,000, of course you try to reduce there. Of course you try to do anything to make them more comfortable, reduce their anxiety, reduce their depression. In an ideal world, they should be comfortable with their body. Why not?
- Q. Is there any research that supports the efficacy of counseling someone to accept -- counseling a transgender women to accept her male body?
- A. No, it's not her male body, it's her female body. She's a female, it's her body.
  - Q. Her body that she perceives and society

- carefully designed clinical trials, no. I don't know of any that were done. I tried to find them. I was surprised how little was done in psychiatric research.
- Q. You are saying that there are no studies supporting the efficacy of talk therapy to treat gender dysphoria in a 45-year-old woman?
  - A. Transgender woman, though, right?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. I don't know of any. There are studies that suggest it. Did I find any rigorous scientific studies? No. There may be some, but I didn't find them.
- Q. There are studies that suggest that talk therapy is going to work for a 45-year-old transgender woman to have her accept her body when she is gender dysphoric with respect to her body?
- A. Well, it depends on how severe her gender dysphoria is. It's not about her perception of her body. It's about her inability to function day-to-day. Do we know that going to psychiatrics makes it more able for people to function day-to-day? Yes, we know that. Do we know it specifically for transgender? No, but why in the world would their depression be different -- treatment be different than any other depression? There's something going on they're

2.4

Page 122

depressed about. The key is try to help them be less depressed.

So do we know that psychiatrists have an effect? Yes. It's very marginal, but we know they have an effect with people with depression in general. Is there a study in particular with transgenders? No, because it would have to be advocates of transgenders, these clinics that have enough patients to do these studies, and they don't do the studies. I don't know why they don't do the studies.

- Q. I'm still not sure -- are you saying there is a study or there isn't a study?
- A. There are studies about treating depression. I've seen references in transgender. Are there any that would stand up to scientific scrutiny? I didn't find any. It's a good question. I looked, but I didn't find any.
- Q. You mentioned earlier the study that you thought should be done, and I want to see if I understand that study. I believe that you said this would be a study that would offer people with gender dysphoria two options: They would have surgery or they would go on a trip to Europe?
- A. Well, an active control -- an active control means you give them time and attention. I might have

"severely," and that is a matter for the clinician to decide. But there are, there are people who don't want to do genital surgery? Of course. It's a huge undertaking, a huge process. And some of them will go-- let them pick what they want, and have them go through talk therapy. It's not a randomized trial, but it would be a trial.

I'm going to have to step out a second. I'm just not feeling well. I'm going to use the restroom. Sorry.

(Recess taken.)

## BY MR. KNIGHT:

- Q. Can we try again?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'm trying to understand about the study, and at one point you said we need a control group, and you offered the control group might be a trip?
  - A. An active control, yes, sir.
  - O. I'm sorry?
- A. I didn't mean to interrupt you. An active control versus a passive control.
  - Q. And what do you mean by "active control"?
- A. An active control means we do something of equivalent time and attention. So let's suppose we bring in young people. I can use about the age that

Page 123

been facetious about a trip, but if you brought them in for the equivalent time -- we want to know it is not the time and the amount of money spent on them making the difference. We want to know there is something really in this treatment.

So you could have an active control of many kinds, but an active control means you do something to them. You give them maybe supportive psychiatric therapy and measure the outcome. But the outcome can't be how pleasant it is, how much they like their body. It's got to be, are they functional? Are they functional?

- Q. So what would that study look like? I still don't understand.
- A. Well, I haven't tried to design the study. There could be many different designs. But you could certainly bring in transgender people and give them an option of transgender surgery or talk therapy or antidepressants and measure the percent that are dysphoric in six months' time.
- Q. And you think some people would choose talk therapy who are severely gender dysphoric?
- A. I think there are people who do choose talk -- I didn't say severely. You keep tossing these little adjectives and adverbs in, and you said

Page 125

Page 124

- start hormone therapy, because they usually start in their teens. And we start some on hormone therapy, and we give the others just a very supportive environment but no hormone therapy. And then we measure the percent that have gender dysphoria.
- Q. And you think that -- I want to talk about adults, but you think that there are some adults with what the clinicians would characterize as serious dysphoria.

Do you understand what I mean by serious dysphoria?

- A. Yes, sir, I have a definition. You may have a different one, but I have a definition.
- Q. What is your definition for serious dysphoria?
- A. People who are seriously mentally ill. They can be suicidal. They can be self-harming. I mean, it's a very serious -- I put on the -- I mean, without any parallel to the clinical, on a parallel with anorexia, which we joke about, but it's probably one of the most significant mental illnesses you can have.

So these people are dysphoric, and that's their problem. And we're addressing their dysphoria by changing their physical appearance. That might work, but I'm surprised. I couldn't even get data on the

Page 126

percentage of transgenders that decline hormone therapy, that decline surgery.

2.4

I also couldn't get any data on how many transgenders are not dysphoric. Because I tell you my experience with these clinics, and I read their literature and stuff. If you come in and you are transgender, they very much support that you're dysphoric and try to get in treatment. They call it "treatment to transition." And I don't want to see treatment to transition. There is nothing people have to transition. I want to see society be accepting of these people as they are.

But if it causes them serious dysphoria, if they're suicidal, of course that has to be treated one way or the other. But I don't know what the best way to treat them is. We have too little data.

- Q. So you believe that if we change society, there would not be any gender dysphoric people?
- A. Well, the advocates believe that. They say the problem is transgenders are fine except for the --what do they call it? Social stigma. The social stigma hypothesis and could reduce it greatly. Do I think -- there are societies, primitive societies in particular, where people are full spectrum, male to female and all sort of things in between, they seem to

you about your opinion.

- A. Of what now?
- Q. Do you believe that for a seriously gender dysphoria adult, that some of those individuals would choose talk therapy rather than hormone therapy or surgery?
- A. There is no choice, because when you have hormone therapy and surgery you get talk therapy. People talk to you consistently in an affirmative environment. That is what these gender clinics are about. You get surgery but no therapy?
- Q. I'm asking you about your controlled study. And I believe you said the controlled study would involve seriously dysphoric adults given --
- A. I never said that. I never said seriously dysphoric. I never used the word seriously.
- Q. All right. I'm asking you about a controlled study.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Let's say we have a controlled study in which we offer these seriously dysphoric adults a choice between talk therapy, hormone therapy only, and hormone therapy and surgery.

Is that the kind of study you're talking about?

Page 127

function perfectly fine. Why not accept these people? They're our brothers and sisters.

- Q. I am not asking you about the advocates. I'm asking you, do you believe that if we change society, there would be no need for treatment of gender dysphoric people?
- A. No. I believe it would seriously reduce the amount of gender dysphoria, but I don't think it -- I mean, it would be nice if it were true, that there would be no need for treatment, but I would be a little surprised at that. I'd be very surprised.
- Q. So the study involving active therapy would involve a -- I just want to ask it. So the study you are designing, you believe that a seriously gender dysphoric adult would, given a choice between talk therapy and hormone therapy or surgery, would choose talk therapy?
- A. Well, look at your own statistics of the people like Schechter. They say 50 percent of the people would eventually choose -- I don't remember the exact statistic -- choose surgery, and some percent would choose hormone therapy. That means there's a huge percent that don't choose either. Yes, I think they should be accepted. Why not?
  - Q. I didn't ask about Dr. Schechter. I asked

Page 129

Page 128

A. Well, I'm not an expert in the field to design the study, but that's the general idea. Some study -- statistics isn't about whether something works or not. It's about how it works compared to something else. I need a comparison group. What is my comparison group?

But there is self-selection. I've seen the Hopkins statistics. A large percent don't select any hormone therapy or surgery. Let's look at them versus the other and see how they deal with it. The important point is to reduce the distress.

- Q. Are you saying you are not an expert in designing a study to treat with respect to gender dysphoria?
- A. I'd say there are two kinds of expert. There's an expert on study design, I am. And then there's an expert on the clinical side of gender dysphoria, which I'm not. But it has to be together.
- Q. I'm asking you about the study. The study, what is the study that you believe would be sufficient to show that hormone therapy and surgery are effective treatment in contrast with talk therapy?
- A. That's a great question. There are books written about clinical trials in psychiatry. If you read any of those books, it will tell you exactly how

Page 130

to set those studies up. What the control group -- I'd have to estimate the means, the difference in the means, the standard deviations to figure out what the sample size is, what the idea control is. That's part of the art of studying design. But you'd need an expert in the clinical part, and I'm not an expert in

- Q. So you are saying without an expert in the clinical treatment of gender dysphoria, you would not be able to design the study to decide whether or not hormone therapy or surgery is more effective than talk therapy?
- A. It's beautifully said. I envision a table. Schechter is there. Bailey who is an advocate is there. You are there. Someone on design is there. A clinical psychiatrist. And we decide to resolve this by having a definitive multi-site clinical study. I would be -- I would just -- I would donate my time.
  - Q. Can you answer my question?
- 20 A. Sure.

the clinical part.

2.4

- Q. Are you telling me that without a clinician in the treatment, who is an expert in treating gender dysphoria, you yourself are not qualified to create a study of the sort that you think should happen?
  - A. I can design a study. I can't run a study.

answer to whether or not this is safe and effective. Yes, would that be wonderful.

- Q. But you could not do this by yourself, because you would need to work with clinicians; is that what you are saying? You could not design this control -- this perfect study that you think does not exist?
- A. You generate a hypothesis. You have some conjuncture. You generate hypotheses, you design the study, you run the study and analyze the study and make inferences. I can do every step of that but run the study. I don't run the study. And do I think you have to be an expert? Yes. You'd have to be a psychiatrist, an endocrinologist, and probably a surgeon to be in there to understand it.
- Q. And would you have to be any psychiatrist, or a psychiatric who is an expert in treating people with gender dysphoria?
- A. That's a good question. I don't know -- I mean, I'd want it to be someone that's a sceptic. If they're in the bandwagon where every transgender needs \$50,000 worth of surgery, I probably wouldn't want them. I'd want some independent thinkers.

The reason I say that is clinicians are usually advocates. I've never met a plastic surgeon

Page 131

Page 133

Page 132

- I'm not a clinician. I don't know what you are saying. Clinicians run studies. How could I run a study?
- Q. I'm asking you, how could you design -- can you, are you qualified to design a study of the sort we have been talking about --
  - A. I have designed hundreds of studies.
- Q. -- which would compare the efficacy of hormone therapy and surgery to talk therapy?
- A. You're almost like you're asking Schechter if he can do surgery. You're talking about my whole life. This is what I've done for 45 years. Yes, I could design that study. Do I need clinical people to run that study? Yes.
  - Q. And what would that study look like?
- A. I don't know, because I have to see more of the data. The first thing I'd want to do is get preliminary data from these sites, which won't share their data on that. I would like to see preliminary data on the percent of dysphoric, the different modalities that are used, and then we cold come up with a design that everybody would embrace.

And just like with women's hormone therapy; just like whether or not -- I worked on giving SSRIs to pregnant women, Paxil in particular; just like I worked on the various nasal sprays, you would get a definitive

- that was not an advocate for plastic surgery. But if they're a good academic, sure, why not? Why don't we resolve this question once and for all, and get people
- Q. So what is it about the study? It's a -- what is the study that we -- I'm still not understanding. What is the study we need? You're saying it's -- at one point you said I can't design a study because I don't have the data from studies that are already done?

Did I understand that correctly?

- A. I need preliminary data. I don't know the level of dysphoria of people going into a gender identity clinic. I have no data that is published other than on people who are advocates that claim, you know, everything is the great panacea or people like Paul McHugh's clinic who wouldn't look at evidence no matter what. I want to be in the middle. I want to look at what the study would be.
- Q. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I didn't understand what you said about Paul McHugh?
- A. Well, Paul McHugh would have extreme opinions, some -- I like Paul. I don't mean to pick on Paul -- have extreme views. Some people have the view that transgender is against the will of God, you know.

Page 134 Page 136 Q. I believe you said elsewhere that this paper I don't know where they're coming from. 1 1 2 Q. Which is Paul McHugh's view? 2 did not draw any policy or practice conclusions; is 3 A. Well, I don't know. He's made some extreme 3 that right? Do you recall that? 4 statements about tran- -- I mean, I read a statement 4 A. That this monograph -- we're talking about 5 about gender pretenders or something like that, an 5 the big monograph --6 6 analogy to -- to body dysmorphic disorder. And kind Q. Right. 7 of -- I believe he might even have said that 7 A. Well, it depends on how you would interpret 8 transgenders are mentally ill. Don't quote me on that, 8 policy inclusions. I tried not to make it 9 9 but I believe he has. I find that very bothersome. prescriptive -- or proscriptive. Very proud of this 10 10 report, I might add, just because I worked so hard on Very bothersome. 11 Q. The --11 12 A. I'm kind of betwixt and between on some of 12 Q. And as I understand it, this article 13 13 these issues I don't understand more deeply. addresses three different topics. First, it talks 14 (Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 14 about sexual orientation, and challenges the position 15 15 that sexual orientation is fixed; is that right? BY MR. KNIGHT: 16 16 Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as A. That you're born with it. Yes, that you're 17 Exhibit 5. Can you identify this document? 17 born with and it's fixed, yes, sir. A. It appears to be the report that I published Q. In fact, it argues that sexual orientation 18 18 can be quite fluid; is that right? 19 with Dr. McHugh in the New Atlantis on sexuality and 19 gender findings for the biological, psychological, and 20 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 21 social sciences. Q. And it also takes the position that 22 22 nonheterosexual and transgender persons are at higher Q. And you would agree this is not a risk of mental health problems. 23 peer-reviewed journal this is published in? 23 2.4 A. It is not a peer-reviewed journal, correct, 2.4 A. That's from the advocates own literature. 25 Even Schechter has written about that. At some place 25 sir. Page 135 Page 137 1 Q. And aren't peer review journals the gold 1 he said they have a lifetime suicide rate of 2 standard in that terms of deciding what kind of -- in 2 80 percent. 3 science in general? 3 Q. I wasn't asking about the advocates, I was 4 asking about you. Is that the position that this took? 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 Q. And this is copublished by the Ethics and 5 A. I'm not an advocate. I'm not an advocate. 6 Public Policy Center. 6 Q. So you didn't take the position that 7 Do you understand that? 7 nonheterosexual and transgender persons are at higher A. No, sir, but I'll take your word for it. 8 8 risk of mental health problems? 9 Q. Do you have any affiliations with that group? 9 A. No, they are. We know that to be a fact. A. Who is it? 10 10 I'm saying both sides, everyone has written about that Q. The Ethics and Public Policy Center. fact. That is why we are here, because it's urgent. 11 11 It's urgent that we do something for these poor people. 12 12 A. Never heard of it. Q. Do you understand that the EPPC is dedicated 13 Q. And then it finally talks about transgender 13 14 to applying the Judeo-Christian moral tradition to 14 persons and it challenges the position that gender 15 15 critical issues of public policy? identity is fixed; is that right? A. I've never heard of it, so I can't tell what 16 A. That you're born with a gender identity. I'm 16 their policy is. 17 17 not sure what you mean by "fixed." Gender identity is 18 Q. You weren't aware of that when you wrote this 18 fixed. Children grow up and they're curious about 19 article? 19 their gender identity, so they often identify with 20 20 A. I've never heard of the group. You just said being a little girl, and later with a little boy. They it's their motto or something? I don't know the group. play with different genders. So I don't know what you 21 21 You asked me if I was aware of the motto of a group I 22 mean by fixed. Gender identity, the struggle for 22 23 23 never heard of. I don't mind been tarred by a broad gender identity is a fluid struggle when children are brush because of the people that support this kind of 24 24 growing up. 25 activity, but I did my best at honest scholarship. Q. And, again, I'm talking about adults --

	Page 138		Page 140
1	A. Because a developmental course run starts	1	Q. You agree that that's right?
2	I don't mean to interrupt you, but it starts when	2	A. Yes sir.
3	they're children. You have to talk about the	3	Q. So looking at page 106, the first section
4	development. Forget about adults. Adults got there by	4	here talks about interventions in children.
5	being children.	5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. And so you're saying that are you	6	Q. Are you relying on that to support your
7	suggesting that a transgender woman is a woman because	7	positions about treatment of adults?
8	of her child raising?	8	A. Well, let me see. Before I did dementia
9	A. A transgender woman is a woman in gender	9	work, I worked on child development. That was my first
10	because she has a long-term consistent, insistent,	10	thing at Johns Hopkins, whether early interventions
11	persistent deeply held view that she identifies with	11	could make a difference. So I see human beings, not in
12	the opposite sex. That is the definition.	12	a clinical setting of child, adolescent, adult, but
13	Q. And do you believe that her identity is fixed	13	it's a continual process of development. And I'm
14	or changeable and flexible?	14	interested in that process of development. So it's
15	A. Well, I think as you grow older, it's less	15	hard for me to make a distinction between children and
16	and less flexible. Do I see a large number of	16	adults, because all adults were children at one time.
17	transgenders converting back to their the gender	17	But if you're saying is this research focused
18	identity consistent with their sex? No, I would be	18	more on children, absolutely. I'm much more concerned
19	surprised at that. I'm sure there are some. And	19	about children. The whole reason I did this paper was
20	actually, there's some cases been written about, but I	20	to write about children, quite frankly.
21	wouldn't assume. We're doing too much by the exception	21	Q. On page 108 you start the section then
22	and not enough by the bulk of the rule. I would say it	22	starts talking about therapeutic interventions in
23	is very rare.	23	adults.
24	Q. The other thing this article talks about is	24	A. Um-hmm.
25	challenging the position that surgery and hormones are	25	Q. And there, at least as far as I can see, you
	Page 139		Page 141
1	effective at treating gender dysphoria; is that right?	1	are pointing to three studies, a sorry a 1979
2	A. Yes, sir.	2	study by Meyer and Reter?
3	Q. And I believe that the article, the part of	3	A. Um-hmm.
4	this which you cited in your report here, is at pages	4	Q. A second study by Cecilia Dhejne, I guess.
5	106 to 113 of this paper; is that right?	5	A. Um-hmm.
6	A. I don't know what you are asking me.	6	Q. And then a Kuhn study on from 2009, which
7	Q. I'm asking whether that's the portion that	7	was cited on page 111.
8	talks about well, is that the portion that you	8	So those are the three studies I see cited in
9	relied on for to support your positions in this	9	this section. Am I missing something in terms of
10	case?	10	studies that you are relying on?
11	A. This is my own work. I relied on everything	11	A. Well, there was the Murad and colleagues,
12	in my own work. You only ask me whether I relied on	12	their systematic review.
13	someone else's work. I relied on all of this. This is	13	Q. Okay, literature review.
14	all in my brain.	14	A. Yes. I reviewed 1000 papers, which you can
15	Q. Well, let's look at Exhibit 1 again.	15	have. I mean, the bibliography is out, you can see.
16	A. Okay.	16	But these were not intended to be exhaustive, they were
17	Q. And on page 7 of Exhibit 1.	17	intended to be suggestive.
18	A. Okay.	18	Q. And then you also relied on a journal article
19	Q. You reference specifically pages 106 to 113	19	in The Guardian?
20	of your sexually and gender publication?	20	A. Yes, sir.
21	A. Um-hmm.	21	Q. Now, why would you rely on an article in a
22	Q. So that's why I'm asking you whether that is	22	newspaper to support your views?
23	the portion that you are citing as supportive of your	23	A. Let me say something. I'm an academic, so
24	opinions in this case.	24 25	when I cite things, I'm not regurgitating what other people said. These are my opinions, and to support my
25	A. Yes.	1 / 5	neonie caid. These are my oninions, and to support my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 142

opinions or elaborate my opinions, I often cite something that I find of interest. I'm not citing any of these as being the end-all and be-all of my opinion. It's to give an example of what other people

are out there doing. So I think it's perfectly fine --I notice in the early part of this I cited the work of feminists and things like that. We're all over the map. Also, it's a popular text and all that. That's what you do. If you mean did my conclusions fall from a Guardian newspaper, of course they didn't.

- Q. So the Meyer/Reter article you admit is a study of only 35 people and has important limitations?
  - A. Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And the Dhejne article also, you agree, does not address the effectiveness of sex reassignment as treatment for transsexualism?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And you believe that -- and, in fact, that study says -- I believe that maybe you said, and certainly the study says that things might have been even worse without sex reassignment.
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Similar to the Kuhn study compares patients who completed gender surgery with cisgender women, and says nothing about the effectiveness of gender

be terrific and we'd be able to demonstrate that.

Where is the definitive demonstration for all the money we spend on hormone therapy, and particularly on surgery, where's the definitive study that shows it works.

- Q. And how much money do you think we are spending on hormone therapy and surgery?
- A. Well, I've seen estimates that complete surgeries can be in the 50- to \$100,000 range.

When you say "we", you personally, or who do you mean?

- Q. Well, I'm talking about costs on a general level?
- A. Well, I'm not an expert in cost, but we know that plastic surgery is extremely expensive. I've seen estimates that, going all the way with whatever that means, can be 50- to \$100,000. Well, suppose there are 10,000 transgender people wanting that surgery. Obviously, could be huge expense. And we do have estimates of how much you spent on plastic surgery,
- Q. And we also have estimates about what a small population this is, don't we?
  - A. Absolutely.
  - Q. So the overall cost, ultimately, is quite

Page 143

1

Page 145

Page 144

- confirmation surgery, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Because it doesn't compare patients with gender dysphoria to -- patients who got treatment to those who didn't get treatment; is that right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- O. And you understand that the Murad article concludes that gender reassignment with the use of hormone therapies was associated or were associated with improvements in gender dysphoria, psychological functioning, and co-morbidities, lower suicide rates, higher sexual satisfaction, and overall improvement in quality of life.

You understand that is true?

A. Um-hmm. In fact, I say on the top of 110, "Compared to their condition before surgery, individuals who have undergone surgery appear to show improvement in the well-being, though the results have a fairly low level of statistical significance. But individuals who had no surgery went on to display a significant improvement follow-up."

But there were statistical difference between the groups. Even if there were, we're splitting hairs at the 05 level. If this stuff is great and really cures dysphoria, then the signal to noise ratio should small.

A. Well, but the problem with the overall cost is you still have to argument on equity, and I would assume the ACLU would be a real bear on equity. The why are we giving this treatment to a transgender person. But to her sister, we're not giving any treatment when they virtually look identical. What does being transgender have to do with being unsatisfied with your appearance?

Brother and sister almost identical and he becomes a transgender girl. She is a girl and she's not entitled to surgery, but he's entitled to surgery because he's a girl. Doesn't make any sense to me. I would argue they're both entitled to surgery, I guess.

- Q. And you are saying that -- that -- so you are saying that even though an individual has clinically significant distress because of the dysphoria about their incongruence, that that is exactly the same as a nontransgender person who expresses some feelings of distress with respect to their body; is that what you are saying?
- A. No, I'm not saying that, but that is interesting you said that. Could you interview these people -- take a cisgender woman and a transgender woman, interview them, and get the exact same responses

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

about how they feel about their looks. Of course, you could. Is one more deserving than the other? Well, let's go to the idea of -- are we agreed -- what's it called? Confirming? Are we confirming, were they something at birth other than what their biology was? Well, what is it that they are at birth that we are reconstructing or reconfirming? I don't understand it. I'm not criticizing. I just can't get my head around

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So, again, are you saying that an individual with gender dysphoria who has serious dysphoria about the -- their body, focused on their body, is the same as a nontransgender person who says that they have distress with respect to their body?
- A. I don't know if they're the same. But if what -- distressed is a general word. If you mean depressed, can I find 45-year-old women who are depressed about their looks just as much as 45-year-old transgender women? Absolutely. What does being transgender have to do with this distress? I'm just missing it.
- Q. So you're saying that, in an adult, that you believe that there is a -- that it's the same to -- if we have two women, one who is gender dysphoric about her body, and a cisgender woman who has, let's say,

Page 146 Page 148

> MR. KNIGHT: You haven't answered my question. I'm just trying to get an answer to my question.

BY MR. KNIGHT:

- Q. You didn't answer my question.
- A. I did answer your question.
- Q. You didn't answer my question?
- A. I said they're not the same. No two patients are the same. I don't know what you mean, by are they the same. It seems like we get this surgery we're very precise about surgery, but when we get to the mind and psychiatry, we are often vague about distress and this sort of thing. If she's transgender and bipolar, does she act like a bipolar? Yes. Why wouldn't you expect her to. I don't get it. But I do get tired of answering the same question over and over again. I have to admit, it's very rare. I'm usually both precise and concise, and I'll try to be better.
- O. So the other document you cite as support for your opinions in Exhibit 1, is this 2016 decision memo, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. But you understand that that memo stated that gender reassignment surgery may be reasonable and necessary service for certain beneficiaries with gender

Page 149

Page 147

1

2

3

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dysphoria?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And it indicated that it was not --

A. Can I clarify that one thing? I think it was

Dr. -- I'm sorry. I'm bad with names. Schechter --

6 not Schechter. Schechter comments that this memo that 7 I read was part of a longer discussion, you know what I

8 mean? And I just saw this memo, and I saw that

9 basically what I thought it was saying is that there 10

shouldn't be a blanket rule, but that, for some people, this was a good choice. Isn't that what it says? I 11 12

think that's what it says.

- Q. Well, it says it's reasonable and necessary.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you agree that that's what it says?
- A. Yes, sir, for some people I imagine it would be, yes, sir.
- Q. And you are aware that in 2014, a adjudicative board from the Department of Health and Human Services concluded that surgical care to treat gender dysphoria is safe, effective, and not experimental, and, thus, at such time, struck down the exclusion for such care?
- A. I don't know about that. What I do know is when I saw this document. I saw Schechter refer to

diagnosable depression with respect to her body. You're saying they're both the same?

A. No. I don't know what you mean by "the same." No two patients are the same. When you say "distress," distress is not a diagnosis. Dysphoria is a whole spectrum. What does she have? Is she depressed, for example? Is the depression similar to

depression of cisgender women? I don't know. We haven't even studied that. I would love to know -it's a real good question.

Is the depression of a transgender woman more resistant to treatment like antidepressant than the depression associated with a cisgender woman who is unhappy with her looks. I think it's a beautiful research question. I don't know of anyone that has done the research. It's a beautiful question.

Q. So again, are you suggesting that an individual with gender dysphoria who has distress about their body is the same as an individual without gender dysphoria but clinical depression in terms of their need for surgical treatment?

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection; asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: You keep asking the same question.

Page 150 Page 152 1 other documents. So I went to the documents, and there 1 A. Then they get treated for gender dysphoria. 2 was a lot of stuff I don't understand, but what I was 2 Does every transgender have gender dysphoria? Because 3 3 that's bothering me if you say yes. Because I can tell trying to use this for is to say it's an open issue, 4 but certainly there are some cases in which it is 4 you I've met some very well-adjusted transgenders. The 5 called for. If I knew it was part of a longer process, 5 two women that my student has as patients are both 6 6 physicians, and they're both well-adjusted. What do I wouldn't have cited it unless I had access to the 7 longer process. And then I wouldn't have cited it 7 they need treatment for? It's a little insincere to 8 because it's too complicated and too political. 8 work so hard to get it off -- just like being gay -get it off the list of diagnoses, and then to spend our 9 THE WITNESS: I have to step out again. I'm 9 10 10 time treating it. Why are we treating someone if it's sorry. Be right back. 11 11 not an illness? (Recess taken.) 12 (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.) 12 Q. The two woman you are talking about in terms 13 13 of -- this is the student you were talking about BY MR. KNIGHT: 14 Q. Have you seen -- Dr. Mayer, have you seen 14 earlier? 15 15 A. Yes, sir. this document before? 16 16 Q. And are the people she's treating being A. It does not look familiar to me, no, sir. 17 Q. Well, this is the Department of Health and 17 treated through surgery or hormone therapy? A. I said, I've not kept up with it so I don't 18 Human Services, Departmental Appeals Board, Decision 18 19 With Respect to Transsexual Surgery. And I would 19 know. I should have gone back and checked, but I don't know. By the way, there are two students, just so you 20 direct you to page 20. 20 21 21 A. Okay. Page 20, yes, sir. know. I didn't want to mention the other, because the 22 Q. So at the end of that first paragraph --22 other's married to a transsexual. I don't want to go 23 A. First new paragraph or original -- oh, that 23 into that -- and I'm sorry. Transgender. Her husband 24 is the original paragraph. Go ahead. 24 has become transgender, and she's living with her 25 Q. The decision says that there -- "that 25 husband. She's living with her former husband in a Page 151 Page 153 1 indicates a consensus among researchers and mainstream 1 relationship, but --2 medical organizations that transsexual surgery is an 2 Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. You asked me 3 effective, safe, and medically necessary treatment for 3 4 4 transsexualism." 5 5 Do you see where I'm reading? patients. 6 A. No, sir. I'm trying. It says it explains 6 Q. But I believe you said earlier that you did 7 general acceptance -- page 20, right? 7 8 Q. Page 20. And it starts "regardless of 8 9 9

whether the new evidence here meets."

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

- A. Okay. "Set forth in the guidance says clearly, does not assert -- means the second option -well, the problem with this, of course, and this bothers me a great deal. Why there is any treatment for transsexualism. How can you remove transsexualism as a disorder and then talk about treatment for it? I just don't understand. I never will. Maybe you can explain it, why a transgender person needs treatment. Why aren't they healthy as a transgender person? Why isn't society doing everything to support them in their choice?
- Q. So you believe transgender people don't need treatment?
- A. I believe it's not -- you can't treat a nondisorder. What's the disorder that they have?
  - Q. Gender dysphoria.

- about the two patients. He's undergone complete surgery, I know for sure. I don't know about the two
- not provide supervision or advice about the treatment for those, for your students' patients; is that right?
- A. I would never -- you've got to be careful. When you say "treatment," I can talk about the general efficacy, but you're talking about specifics. I didn't give any recommendation. I would never. I never open my mouth at these clinical rounds.
- Q. In terms of which treatment should be -- or whether treatment should be provided. You don't enter into those discussions?
- A. I don't believe I'm qualified. I think part of being a good expert -- maybe you talk to Professor Budge -- is knowing what you're qualified to do.
- Q. I don't understand. What is it you're saying about Dr. Budge?
- A. Well, Dr. Budge talks about things being medically necessary and not. In most states, to opine on what's medically necessary or not, you have to be a physician. She's a counseling psychologist.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 154

Q. Based on what?

2.4

A. In California, it's based on the law. To give a medical opinion in California, you must be a licensed physician or an allied medical professional. She's a counseling psychologist, so she can give psychological opinions. Can she tell me a patient can benefit physically from surgery? I wouldn't be arrogant enough to, and I'm a physician.

- Q. So you're saying she's arrogant because she is making -- or has opinions about the kind of treatment that would be appropriate to treat the condition of gender dysphoria for these individuals?
- A. Well, first of all, I said things she's said would be arrogant or if I said it, it would be arrogant. I don't know anything about her. I don't want to say that. But does she go far afield from her expertise as a counseling psychologist, I would say so. You can go right through it. Her theory of sex and gender, these things.

I mean, I give her a break because she's a social scientist, but these are off the wall. The idea that you're born with a gender. That somehow your sex is based on your gender. These statements are so ridiculous, I don't even know what the statements mean. How is your sex based on your gender?

statements mean to be critical of. Maybe it's from dianetics or something.

- Q. So I want to be clear, you are not making or offering opinions with respect to Dr. Budge's diagnosis of Ms. Boyden and Ms. Andrews with gender dysphoria; is that right?
- A. I would have criticism because she came in this already loaded and it was clear she's not an unbiased observer. I would if someone did that kind of an independent medical exam. Is she a treating -- I got mixed up, because if she's a treating person advocate, then she's not an independent expert. I couldn't figure it out. If she's an independent expert, first of all, I think she interviewed him for 90 minutes. That's certainly inadequate to determine whether anybody has major depressive disorder or any of these other disorders.

And so did she already feel that her conclusions were justified? I don't know. It was clear to me that she was not an independent medical examiner, that's for sure.

- Q. You weren't asked to look at her opinions with respect to Ms. Boyden and Ms. Andrews, were you?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. You were asked to look at that?

Page 157

Page 156

- Page 155

  Q. So you're saying the only people who can
- decide -- who can work with a gender dysphoric individual to decide what is necessary treatment for them would be a physician? Is that what you're saying?
- A. I would say the only person to decide for a specific patient ought to at least be a physician if not several physicians, yes.

A clinical physician, now, I'm talking about. Who in the world now should decide -- I mean, where do we have -- if you have tonsillitis, does a psychologist tell you to get your tonsils out? I hope not.

By the way, tonsillitis is another example. AMA took out millions of tonsils in this country when no tonsils virtually were taken out in Europe. And we did it so we wouldn't have recurrent sore throats. And we quit taking tonsils out, there was no increase in sore throats. Nobody has their tonsils out. When I was a boy, 100 percent had their tonsils out. Isn't that amazing?

You have your tonsils. I know you do. You're too young to have them out. He doesn't have his tonsils.

The best definition of sex is based on gender. Gender somehow predates sex. I don't want to be too critical, because I don't even know what the

- A. I don't know what you mean by "asked." They were in the report I read, yes, sir.
- Q. And so you believe that she is wrong in diagnosing these two individuals with gender dysphoria?
- A. I can't tell you that, because I can't diagnose and know what the correct diagnosis is. But the kid selling pencils in the corner could be right. I'm suggesting she has minimal credentials to be saying any of these things. Her understanding of biology is so poor it reflects badly on all of her statements. She's as weak an expert as I have seen in 40 years. I'm sorry to say that, but I'm surprised you used her.
- Q. Do you have any basis for disputing her with respect to the gender dysphoria of Ms. Boyden and Ms. Andrews?
- A. I don't dispute. I said she doesn't have the qualifications to be rendering a medical opinion. I don't have any statement about what opinions she gave. Whether she was right or wrong, I'm not a clinician. You keep going back to this. I'm know a clinician.
- Q. And because you're not a clinician, you don't have any opinions about whether or not hormone therapy or surgical treatment is the appropriate treatment for them; is that right?
  - A. Well, let's go again. If we go to general

2.4

causation of what the data says, I can tell you, the individual causation about this specific patient. I have nothing to say about that patient. Now, they can be the two most deserving -- I think they're both women. They can be the two most deserving women in the world.

By the way, I'm not even saying -- I'm going to make this clear, go on the record. I'm not even saying it is inappropriate for these women. I'm not saying it is inappropriate for these women.

- Q. You are saying it shouldn't be -- there should not be insurance coverage for it, is that what you are saying?
- A. No. I would never say that. I don't know enough about insurance coverage. In the best of all worlds, I believe these woman would be given treatment, and I believe that a woman who feels she's losing her husband because her face looks like a man should be given treatment too.

I believe in improving the quality of life through treatment, including surgery. But I want evidence that it actually increases the quality of life. In a way it does remind me of body dysmorphic. In any suggestion of change in eating patterns among anorexics is just so — I mean, body confirmation is so

Page 158 Page 160

- Q. Correct.
- A. It's immoral?
- Q. That it's immoral to provide this kind of treatment, or that it violates your religious beliefs.
- A. Not at all. To the contrary. I admire what these people are doing. They are alleviating suffering.
- Q. I'm sorry. You admire what people who treat gender discrimination are doing?
- A. Yes. Yes. They are trying their best. I believe they are honest at what they are doing, and are trying their best with a very difficult problem. And I do think when you are too close to the problem, you often lose sight of the broader thing. We still hold babies up by the feet and spank their little butts. Terrible for the baby. Terrible for the baby.

And, by the way, in case you're not old enough, women were told to stay in bed the last three months of their pregnancy and two months after they delivered. Horrible advice. Oh, and you were told to gain weight during pregnancy. You had to gain at least 20 pounds for a healthy pregnancy, none of that is true. So I don't follow the AMA. Hopefully I lead the people making those decisions.

Q. I'm sorry, hopefully you lead the people

Page 161

Page 159

crazy, because what you have to do is get to the distress. Can you imagine how distressed these people are?

- Q. Do you have any other version of your vitae other than the one you provided us?
- A. I've updated over the years, and I've changed my -- I've stayed for another year, and I formally removed myself from teaching in 2017, I tried to redo it. So I have earlier versions. Do you mean do I keep a second version for something? Is that -- I'm sorry I don't know the question. I have old versions. Is that what you mean?
- Q. I'm asking if you have a separate version that you use for other purposes?
  - A. No, sir. Should I? I don't know.
- Q. Do you have any religious beliefs about being transgender or transition?
- A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you are saying. Religious beliefs.
- Q. Do you have any religious beliefs about -- that relate to the medical treatment for transgender people?
- A. Would that be like a moral -- I'm not sure what religious belief -- is that a moral or ethical belief? You mean like a moral belief?

making those decisions?

- A. Yeah. People making clinical decisions. I said, half of my consulting is not in cases. Half of my consulting is with other physicians and others in terms of interpreting research results. So people that do research, as you know, are on the cutting edge of medical treatment. But we're not treaters.
- Q. So you talked earlier about -- and I believe you said in your report -- as I understood it, you were comparing surgery to treat gender dysphoria with surgery to treat anorexia.

Did I understand that correctly?

- A. I'm sorry, you said compare them?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Well, no, there are some similarities in the way that we -- in the suggestions for treating them, but they're completely different. No, they are not related at all, the disorders. I think it is people like Paul McHugh have made that claim. I don't think -- I don't know what it is based on. I don't think it's very helpful.
- Q. And what about body dysmorphia disorder do you understand that body dysmorphia disorder is very different from gender dysphoria?
  - A. You know it's -- I don't know what the metric

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 162 is. They're very different and very similar, and they present different, they have different risks. I guess you would have to tell me what you see is the commonality. I don't see any commonality between them. I think there is a use of that to be dismissive. Do you want to hear what that is? I don't subscribe to it, but I think you can be dismissive.

- Q. I'm sorry. Use of what? Of the comparison?
- A. Of the analogy, yes, sir.
- Q. And what use is that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, the use is that in body dysmorphic disorder, people have a misperception of their body. I might add, parenthetically, you think it's only for women. I had a guy come in, he had body dysmorphic disorder because he was a 90-pound weakling, and weighed 250 pounds. And he thought it was exactly the reverse of that.

But the idea -- the commonality is we don't alter their body to change their misperceptions. We try to change their misperceptions. So you could be dismissive of gender dysphoria by saying it's just a misperception of their body, and we have to correct that misperception. I think that's --

Q. You think that's wrong? You disagree with that?

on when certain treatments should be used with the transgender population. I've read it. I've never seen it before. There must have been a vague reference put in there by Paul Hruz. He's the one that had it. I've read it, but I actually don't know what the role is of it. It was his citation, I can tell you that.

Page 164

Page 165

- Q. So you're not supportive of this -- the use of this particular document?
- A. I don't remember exactly how -- I don't remember exactly how it is used. I just like a plastic surgeon that couldn't afford to buy a copy. I had to buy one and send it to him.
- Q. I don't understand. You're talking about Dr. Schechter? You're saying that Dr. Schechter should have bought it himself because he's a plastic surgeon?
- A. Well, it's about plastic surgery. I had to go out and buy it because he didn't have access. I did not ask him to give me any of his references. And he didn't even give me complete references. That is the only thing I'm irritated by, is that some of his references were incomplete and some were wrong.

It is common courtesy in the academic world to give people complete references. Actually, usually, when you have footnotes, there's a separate attached reference list, which I thought there would be. Since

Page 163

- A. I disagree with it absolutely. They're both very serious conditions, very serious conditions. But the idea of an anorexic, trying to get her to gain weight or lose it or whatever. These people are suffering, suffering.
- Q. Well, but I guess I'm having some difficulty understanding where -- why you find those different. Because at times you seem to suggest that surgical treatment should not be provided for gender dysphoria patients.
  - A. I've never said that.
  - Q. You're not saying that?
- A. Absolutely not. I have no basis to say that. I have no -- I think it's wrong, and there is no more evidence for that than there is evidence that it is a good thing to use.

There are too many people involved in it for it to be, what, quackery? I don't buy that for a second. What are these people just predators on these poor transgender people? It's ridiculous.

- Q. You cite, I believe, in the Gavin Grimm Amicus brief, a report from the Hayes Directory.
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. What is the Hayes Directory?
  - A. That was a document with some sort of summary

he sent me 222 pages of material, I thought it would include a reference list properly done.

Q. Are you aware that there are a number of private insurance companies that have found that surgical treatment should be covered for transgender individuals?

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection; lacks foundation. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Am I aware that -could you repeat the question? BY MR. KNIGHT:

- Q. That a number of private insurance companies have found that policies supporting coverage for transgender people to have surgery, are -- should be --I'm sorry.
- A. No, I'm sorry. I can't follow. Are you basically saying they pay for it?
- A. Okay. No, I'm not surprised, but I wasn't -don't know much about insurance.

It, again, goes back to your question. We were talking about people who have severe dysphoria. Do they just try other treatment? I don't know. Or do they just blanketly offer it to anybody. I don't know the answer. I don't know anything about it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Page 166

Q. So you are aware of the letter that was -that 600 academics and clinicians signed on to challenging the New Atlantis paper?

- A. I don't know the number, but there was a petition signed, published, yes, sir.
- Q. And that -- that particular letter states that the report's conclusions should not be viewed as a source of scientific or medical justification to support any legislation, judicial action, policymaking, or clinical decision-making affecting the lives of LGBT people or their families. Were you aware of that?
- A. Aware of the sentence? I'm sorry. Was I aware of that sentence?
  - Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I don't remember specifically, but I remember the document, yes, sir.
- Q. Do you recall that it said that: "We affirm that the sexuality and gender report does not represent prevailing expert consensus opinion about sexual orientation or gender identity-related research or clinical care"?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Do you disagree with that?
- 2.4 A. Well, I wouldn't have written the article if 25 I agreed with it. Of course I disagree. If you look

Page 167

at their credentials, they're mostly young assistant professors in the social sciences or literature or women's studies. There are one or two names that I do respect, but the ones I do, I respect their opinion. The majority of them are angry about the place we published it.

There were very few attacks on the substance of the document, mostly attacks on: A, the strange bedfellows; and, B, was on ignoring certain papers. I had to cut somewhere.

- Q. So who are the clinicians and/or the researchers who signed on to this letter whose opinions you approve of.
- A. Well, I approve all their opinions. I didn't think most of them are scientists, but most important, science isn't about opinions. Science is about facts. And I know we live in an opinion-driven world, but the fact is there is no study. And rather than beating me up about the head for the fact there is no study, and other experts disagree, I can go through the H Pylori where the consensuses were wrong.

I hope their consensus is right. I hope all transgender people are happy transgender, they're well-adjusted, whatever treatment they get, and we reduce the mental illness and the suicide. So I have no desire to prove them wrong, but I was surprised at the personal nature of the attacks versus citing what I said that was wrong.

Page 168

Page 169

No one has pointed out the mistakes that I made, and if you read -- it's extensive literature review and the basic propositions are so simple. There are no little boys born as little girls and little girls born as -- I don't even know what it means. But people were certainly mad.

Just as an aside, is it the 14th? Yesterday in Australia somebody claimed to have found the process that makes little boys and little girls. That's an amazing discovery in science, just unbelievable. That could be a real key to this thing, really. Every embryo would be a little girl unless there is a particular protein that intervenes. Whether it's true or not, it is fantastic research.

- Q. What are the best studies you think in terms of showing the efficacy -- that get the closest to what you think a study should be --
  - A. Well, unfortunately --
- Q. -- in terms of showing the efficacy -- I'm sorry. Let me just finish.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- in terms of showing the efficacy of

surgery to treat gender dysphoria?

A. Unfortunately, nobody has asked me or paid me. I've done most of this work on my own, my own money to look at that issue. I'd love to review all the studies out on the efficacy of surgery. I collected a bunch of them. That is part of the references I sent you, but it's so complicated in the surgical part, that there are no studies that I would endorse as really being definitive one way or the other. I'd say we know very little. But I do believe in the physician's creed: Above all do no harm.

And cutting a little boy's penis off just seems to me a potential for disaster. Should he want -- and they say only a small fraction change their mind, but should he want to identify -- and by the way, I find that strange, because I find children go back and forth quite often, but it's probably not true for adults.

- Q. So are you saying you believe the standard of care for children with gender dysphoria is to provide genital surgery to them?
- A. No, sir. Are there advocates for very early gender -- yes, sir, there are advocates for very early hormone blocking, and the earlier the gender surgery done the better. There is paper after paper that

Page 170

states that. I believe Schechter wrote a paper that says that.

Q. What paper is that?

4 5

2.4

- A. Well, I don't remember. I have to go -- he's written quite a bit. But the idea is, the earlier the adjustment procedure, having the surgery, the younger the patient is. I'm not talking about teens now, I'm talking about 20s, 30s, 40s. It would seem to me more likely to get a benefit out of a younger person than an older person.
- Q. So when you're talking about a little boy, you're talking about a 20-year-old individual?
  - A. Who's the little boy?
- Q. You said that you were objecting to having surgery on a little boy. And I'm trying to understand --
- A. I apologize. I object to putting a little boy in a gender-affirming environment where he knows nothing else but that being raised as a little girl, let's say. Let's say he's a biological boy, raised as a little girl. Then he's on this developmental path, puberty blocking, hormone addition, and then surgery, that when he wakes up in 20 years after being on this path -- but certainly the surgery doesn't occur in adolescence. We're not that barbaric yet.

you could show they had plastic surgery and they have a more positive outlook on life and they're less burdened in their daily work, yes. I would not be surprised at all

- Q. So your criticism or your opinions are limited to the notion that the surgery -- or I'm sorry, that the research does not show that this surgical treatment is effective; is that -- am I understanding correctly?
- A. Well, it doesn't show it is safe and effective, and effective also means cost effective compared to other treatment. Make it simple. When you talk about kidney transplant and whether it's a successful transplant -- and I've worked on this problem. You can take one of two measures. One is, how well does the kidney work? And you get 99 percent effective now. We have very high rates of successful surgery.

The other measure is, how good is the quality of life after a year? And I'm sorry to say the results of surgery are much better than the results when you measure quality of life. The fact is, the majority of people with transplants don't die of the transplant, they die of other diseases that occur in part because their immune system is weakened.

Page 171

Page 173

Page 172

MR. KILPATRICK: Do you mind if we go off the record?

MR. KNIGHT: You want to take a break? Sure. (Recess taken.)

## BY MR. KNIGHT:

- Q. In your report when you talk about safety, were you talking -- were you opining about complication rates in surgery for treatment of gender dysphoria?
  - A. No. sir.
- Q. You were talking about safety in a more general way, or you were talking about -- let me make sure. You were not addressing complication rates compared with respect to surgery; is that right, comparative complication rates?
  - A. No, that is right.
- Q. You were talking about surgery as opposed to another form of treatment?
  - A. Well, the end point is dysphoria, yes, sir.
- Q. Would you agree with Dr. Schechter that surgery can be effective treatment for some individuals with gender dysphoria?
- A. I don't know that for sure, because I don't have the experience. But I would be surprised if there are no individuals for which it is good. I mean, could there be an individual out there that's dysphoric and

So the issue of how much did surgery, even the most basic transplant, contribute to the increase in quality of life, is what is critical. That is the piece. That is the piece I'm missing that I would like to see. But could there be -- are there likely to be patients for which it has a positive impact equal of life? Sure.

- Q. And are you suggesting that kidney transplants should not be provided for patients because you don't think there's enough research to support it?
- A. Well, no, there is quite a bit of research now on quality of life. In the early days -- when did it start, in the '80s? Starzl did it at Pittsburgh. There wasn't enough research. But now we have research, but we also know there are a lot of patients we don't transplant that we used to transplant.

I was involved in a case whether or not a man on death row should be provided a liver transplant. And these are very complicated issues when it comes to quality of life. But, yes, I would love to see a study that shows that surgery improves quality of life for transgender patients, and particularly with respect to the risk of dysphoria.

Q. But my question was about transplants, and maybe I'll ask kidney transplants. And I will ask it

Page 174 Page 176 1 slightly differently than I did before. 1 that hormone therapy improves people's experience with 2 2 Are you saying that in the early days when gender dysphoria? 3 3 the research was not there to show that a surgery A. I didn't say that. I said there is not 4 transplant was effective -- safe and effective 4 sufficient evidence at a group level that it's an 5 5 treatment for someone with kidney failure that that effective treatment, meaning the percentage of 6 6 should not have been provided? people -- I don't remember the Murad study right off 7 A. Well, now we're getting confused. Because in 7 the top of my head, but I'm 99 percent sure it did not 8 the kidney transplant your kidney is failing, you have 8 talk about the incidence of gender dysphoria or show 9 9 end-stage renal disease. The goal of the surgery is to the decreases. I will go back to check the study to be 10 10 have a translated kidney. So that is a medical sure. 11 11 (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) procedure. 12 12 MR. KILPATRICK: I'm sorry, Jim, did we ever In this case, it's an indirect case, because 13 13 mark the New Atlantis? Yes? What number was that? 5. it is a surgical procedure, but the outcome, which is 14 what is critical in a clinical study, is the risk of 14 Okay, thank you. 15 15 dysphoria, and do we have evidence that it reduces the BY MR. KNIGHT: 16 16 risk of dysphoria? Q. I just want to direct you to couple of the 17 So it is a very good point you are making. 17 studies that she mentions here. 18 18 When people got the kidney transplant, if we could show So the De Cuypere study -- I'm looking at her they now have an effective kidney, that's all -- that's 19 19 reference list on page 38. 20 20 what we asked for in the early days. It took a long Are you familiar with that study? 21 A. Yes, sir. 21 time to show improvement of quality of life. Maybe 22 22 that's what we do here. Maybe that's what we do here. Q. And does that show the efficacy -- does that 23 23 study show the efficacy of surgery as treatment for I don't know. I don't know. 24 24 O. Isn't that what the studies show here with gender dysphoria? 25 25 respect to gender dysphoria, that surgery improves A. I don't remember specifically. I mean, I Page 175 Page 177 1 1 quality of life for individuals with gender dysphoria? knew of the study. There are so many studies here, I 2 2 A. Actually, the studies are silly. They show would have to go back and take a look at it. I do 3 that you spent \$50,000, people have a better body 3 remember that they have some statements about cause and 4 4 image. You spend \$50,000 on me, I'll have a better effect, whether it is actually the percent that 5 5 dysphoria -- I don't think it is, but I want to make body image too. 6 I go back. Dysphoria is a serious mental 6 7 7 illness. Does anyone show that the incidence, the Q. So I guess what I am trying to get at is, in 8 8 prevalence, or the risk of dysphoric behavior is general, what is it you think is wrong with these --9 reduced, the answer is no, and that is what brothers 9 all these studies that have been done to show that 10 10 surgery and hormone therapy are effective treatment for Q. Well, we looked earlier at one of the studies gender dysphoria? 11 11 12 you had cited. Let me just find my reference to that. 12 A. First of all, the investigators clearly are not equipoise. They're not equal between the 13 So the Murad story that you cited in the New 13 14 Atlantis paper? 14 hypothesis that works and that doesn't. The people 15 15 A. Where are you, sir. that published this can be on one side or the other, 16 Q. Where in the New Atlantis did you cite it? 16 but it's clear, in my opinion, their analysis follows, 17 A. Yes, sir. Oh, I thought that is what you 17 at least in part, from their prior beliefs about it. 18 were reading from. 18 Second of all --19 Q. I'm not. I was going to ask -- so I'm 19 Q. I'm sorry. How do you -- why do you come to 20 20 reading from the study itself. The Murad study that conclusion? 21 21 concludes that gender reassignment with the use of A. Because they'll have statements -- for 22 hormone therapies -- I'm sorry. With the use of 22 example, I mean, she has a statement that transitioning 23 hormone therapies were associated with the improvements 23 is medically necessary. I believe she has the

statement that transition is medically necessary. What

do you mean to transition? What is it that gets

24

25

24

25

in gender dysphoria. I thought you were saying --

trying to tell me that there were no studies showing

2.4

Page 178

transitioned? That's an opinion piece. What does it mean to even transition? You are a transgender woman. Does "transition" mean to transition your appearance? Then that's an empirical question. The most important question is the idea that you feel good about yourself.

Like the goat gonads. Once you have a third gonad into your testicle sack, you feel empowered sexually. Is not surprising at all. It's called the theory of sum cost to economists.

So they don't actually measure the gender dysphoria, they don't actually break it down into the incident rate, and they don't show, which is clinical trials 101, a significant difference between people who get the treatment and people who don't in terms of risk of being gender dysphoric. So as they improve body image, feel better about themselves, more positive outlook in life, those are fine. You know, they may be fine for surgery. They aren't fine in psychiatry.

The question is, are these people having serious life adjustment problems, and are those problems alleviated by the surgery? That is all I want to say on that.

- Q. But you don't think there is any study that shows that?
  - A. I could not find a study that shows that; not

You're saying they all have a position about whether it's effective prior to doing the research?

Page 180

A. Yes. Just read the Hopkins thing. They're advocates one way or the other way. I mean, the way I was treated at Hopkins by people, including the dean, is if I -- I'm going to finish this. If I had not been a very senior person, it would have ended my career.

I would tell any young person who wants to be an independent medical researcher, don't work on this topic, because this topic will destroy your career. Because when a topic becomes so political, we have people on one side, people on the other, then all we do is talk past each other. We use all sorts of inflammatory language.

And the question ought to be, what really works for these people? And I hope surgery really works for them, but, boy, I will tell you with all the patients they've had and all they've done, not to be able to demonstrate any stronger than this makes me really worried. When they have very strong studies showing breast augmentation, tummy tucks, face lifts, and all that give people a much more positive image of themselves.

I don't see the same with the transgender. And one of the reasons I don't is these are very

Page 179

even close.

Q. But you said that these researchers -- and I'm asking about all of these researchers -- who find that their research shows that the treatment is effective, you are saying that the problem is that they are not on equipoise?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And what does that mean?

A. Equipoise, meaning they have no prior hypothesis about whether it works or not. Because if you believe it works, then you should not be giving people an alternative treatment. You're supposed to be neutral. This is science. There isn't advocacy. We're not selling medication. This is science. You should be equipoise between the two hypotheses that it works or it doesn't.

But I didn't mean to say there's bias on one side. There is bias on the other side. People who opposed this. No matter what, they oppose it. And that may be fine too, but that's not what science is about. Science is about testing empirical propositions. And I want to test that this works for these people. That is all I want.

Q. And you are saying all of these researchers are -- have a -- I guess I'm trying to understand.

Page 181

troubled people. Are they less -- significantly less troubled afterwards? I hope so, but I don't see the results.

And by the way, when the results are not positive, like the suicide rates stay high, they say, well, that is social stigma. Budge just got a whole bunch of stuff on social stigma. Some of the arguments that the reason they don't do as well is because of social stigma. Social stigma is there. But the question is, how do you function in the world you have around you? I'd like these treatments to work, very much.

Q. Would you agree that many of these studies show a reduced suicide rate among people who have had the treatment as opposed to those who have not?

A. Well, I have seen studies that claim that. But I want to say that being in a supportive environment and doing these other things seems to have an effect on significantly decreasing the suicide rate. We're talking about a suicide rate, by my memory, that is 15, 20 times as high as the suicide rate. So if you take that down by a few percentage, then that would be positive evidence that it makes a difference.

Is that cost effective versus other treatment? Is the control group correctly done? Would

2.4

Page 182

it be true if you just gave them an active control of time and attention? I don't know, because particularly in psychiatry, placebos are so powerful. Placebos are so powerful. The fact that you're having a surgery and people are fussing over you and they're trying to help you, does that produce the positive result? I don't know. I want to know. I want to know. I just truly don't know.

Q. So the researcher that would be able to do this would be, from what you're telling me, would have to be someone who is completely removed from treatment with respect to people with gender dysphoria.

Is that what you are saying?

A. They could be treating. They'd have to be open minded. I doubt they could be part of a gender mill, which I'll encourage some of these patients. And I go to their lectures. They've never met a child who comes in that wasn't having some sort of sexual -- they're transgender. Two years old, three years old, they're transgender. And they put them in an environment that propagandizes them. Particularly the parents.

The parents I'm really concerned. I have seen -- I believe he has triplets, let me say this.

Parents of two boys, and mom tells me when they came

don't want the stigma of being a disease, but on the other hand, if you have this condition, you do have this condition, and we'll treat this condition.

- Q. So you are saying all the literature treats every transgender person as someone with gender dysphoria?
- A. Not every literature. I believe these gender mills run by surgeons that make their money off transgender surgery. I'm not saying consciously. I think they have an unconscious bias to believe every patient that comes in has gender dysphoria. Most of them would not know gender dysphoria if it bit them in the ass. They didn't become plastic surgeons to worry about people feelings. They became plastic surgeons to do surgery.

I teach surgeons. I know who becomes a surgeon, particularly orthopedic and plastic surgery. So do they think they're doing well? Yes. But can they demonstrate it? No. All I want them to do is demonstrate it. That's all I want.

Q. Do you understand that surgery is not provided by -- to a transgender individual without a clinician who is trained as a mental health practitioner to treat gender dysphoria?

A. And I'm telling you right here and now it's a

Page 183

out, it was clear that one of the twins was a male and the other was a female.

I said, At what age? At three days. I knew that was a female at three days. Yes, I've seen these patients. Three days. She wants a girl and she's going to have a little girl. There's nothing wrong with this. The point is, do these treatments work? All I want to know is what works.

Q. So you're saying every clinician treating gender dysphoria tells everyone who walks in that they have gender dysphoria?

Is that what you are saying?

A. I've explained to you that people that come into the gender clinic that show the condition, A, seem to be told they have gender dysphoria and are immediately put in a supportive environment. If there are others that that does not happen for, in other words, we say, you're struggling with your gender identity and that's fine. We don't think you need any treatment. You are not dysphoric. Where is the publication on those patients?

I'm telling you I see a one-to-one correspondence in the literature between being transgender and being gender dysphoric. So we got rid of the label of gender identity disorder, because we

Page 185

Page 184

joke. They have a master's level clinical psychologist at Johns Hopkins that -- talking to great big surgeons. And I'd be very interested in seeing their data on how many people they don't have gender dysphoria.

Because these people are struggling, and they see they're struggling. That's not enough. That's not enough. Do they have major depressive disorder? Can they not get of out of bed this morning? What is their dysfunction? Then let's go after that dysfunction. If that requires hormone, if that requires surgery, that's fine, but let's get the evidence that it works. That is all I'm asking. Is it that great? That's all I want.

MR. KNIGHT: Let's take about a five-minute break.

MR. KILPATRICK: Okay.

(Recess taken.)

## BY MR. KNIGHT:

Q. When we broke, Dr. Mayer, I think you were talking about the research, and I think you were telling me that researchers -- let me just -- I think you were talking about clinicians and that clinicians provide or make a gender dysphoria diagnosis for everyone who walks into the gender dysphoria clinic.

Did I misunderstand what you were saying?

2.4

Page 186

A. I don't know if it's everyone that walks in. I tried to get evidence on how many transgenders they do not believe are dysphoric, and I have not been able to get that. I've not been able. You'd think someone would publish it somewhere unless people believe that if you're transgender you're automatically gender dysphoria, which really just gives gender identity disorder a new name.

- Q. Well, do you have any basis for this -- your position that everyone is being diagnosed with gender dysphoria?
- A. No, I don't believe everybody's being diagnosed. I think the bulk is. Or where is the group -- where are the statistics on the group that are not being identified. Let me say it better: I have no access to any data on people that are found to be transgender and not found to be gender dysphoria. I would like to know what happens to them. How well are they adjusted? How will they go on in life? If we're serious about this condition, we have to look at the people who are transgender.

And I do believe some of the advocates believe every transgender has gender dysphoria, because it's the struggle they have with the gender that is opposite their sex. And that is not fair, because we you're struggling so badly that you can't function, then we have to do something about that struggle. It's debilitating you. Might that be hormone therapy? Might that be surgery? Might that be talk therapy? Might it be a combination? Yes.

Page 188

I want the answers to those questions, but, yes, I have asked for information and data, including from advocates, including from Bailey who is a well-known sociologist in this, on where are the transgender people who are well-adjusted? And I don't see any papers about it. Is there an equivalence? And I don't see any reports on them.

Q. So when you were talking about the -- what you were pointing to in terms of the basis is the absence of studies.

Is that what I'm understanding?

- A. Absolutely. I'm here to say that there's an absence, not only of studies, but there's an absence of evidence. We need evidence.
- Q. What other evidence would there be other than studies and clinical experience?
- A. There would be open -- let me say, there is one gender clinic in UK, they're very open about the data. They're open about how many people come in. They're open about how many go on to different

Page 187

worked too hard to get rid of that diagnosis.

- Q. But, again, I'm asking, do you have any basis for saying that everyone who --
- A. I didn't say it, so I can't have a basis for it.
  - Q. Okay, well --
- A. You've repeated it three times. Please don't repeat it a fourth, because I didn't say it. If you want to ask me if I said something, please repeat something I said or have her read it back. Thank you.

I didn't say it. I didn't say anything about every transgender being dysphoric.

- Q. I believe what you said was most gender persons with gender dysphoria.
- A. I don't even know that. I know I have not been able to get any data, and nobody is publishing on the -- you find me a publication on well-adjusted transgenders. I have tried to find it. Could there be a community somewhere in Greenland of perfectly well-adjusted transgenders? Why not? Why in the world would they need any surgery? Why would they need any treatment?

The idea was, get the illness off the back of the transgender and say, Look, you're perfectly fine as people. I truly believe that in my heart, but if Page 189

procedures. They're very open with the data. Our centers are not open with the data. I've written to the centers. I've gotten no response.

I just want a breakdown. I'm asking them for a breakdown of their patients. What else can I do? Nobody pays me to do any of this stuff.

It seems to me if you go back to do no harm, you have to have evidence that treatment is effective and safe as a treatment for gender dysphoria. That means the incidence or prevalence of gender dysphoria is decreased by application of this treatment. I don't see evidence to that.

Q. I believe you said earlier that the researchers in this area are not at equipoise.

(Reporter clarification.)

## BY MR. KNIGHT:

- Q. And what is your basis for that statement?
- A. Well, because it is such a political area, the only people who write in this are people who do so at tremendous professional risk from both sides. Whenever an area becomes this political, like gun control or anything, abortion, you try to be an independent researcher at your own risk. I never would have done this 10 years ago. I never would have written with Paul McHugh 10 years ago. I knew what the

Page 190

blowback would be.

And, by the way, he is the former chair for 25 years, the most senior psychiatrist, and Hopkins, in fact, tried to fire him. Tried to get rid of a tenured professor because of his position on these issues.

So we have advocates one way that say it's immoral, it's against their religion. We have the advocates the other way who say, these people are suffering, we have to give them A, B, C, and D, and reduce that suffering. And I'm in the middle. I'm sure there are other people too. I just want to find the evidence.

Why not have a board, an independent board, you send these patients to made up of people who have no dog in the fight, and they review the reports of why you believe this patient deserves or needs this surgery and let that board decide? We do that in a lot of cases, including burn surgery.

- Q. I don't understand. What kind of board are you talking about?
- A. A hospital can have a board or an insurance can have a board like an appeal board. You come in and you state your case. So, for example, they won't give you -- I just worked on one. They wouldn't give someone testosterone because they thought he was using

Page 191

it for sexual enhancement. The board simply looked at his T level. His testosterone was three standard deviation, and they decided to do it.

So if you have a specific patient where you believe that this could be of benefit, and you have evidence to that, then why not approve it for that patient?

Somehow you seem negative against that. I'm not sure why you'd be -- I can't imagine why you would be negative.

- Q. Well, I think that's exactly what we are talking about in this case, which is that decisions about whether these treatments should be provided should be decided on an individualized basis.
- A. Yes, but I don't believe it should be decided by anyone who makes a dime out of that case. I feel that very strongly. He or she should be allowed to testify -- the reason academic physicians are so important is because we don't have a clinical practice. My reputation in 45 -- I've been a tenured professor for 45 years -- rests on my opinion, my prestige, my honesty, my ethics. People trust me. I believe that. They trust me not to have a dog in any of these fights. Have people like that. I've worked for Jehovah's Witnesses whether to give their child a transfusion.

Page 192

When you say that's what this case is about, I don't know what this case is about. No one told me what the case is. Maybe I should have known what the case is about. I don't know what the case is about. I was asked to look at one report and comment on whether or not there is sufficient evidence to show that these are safe and effective for treatment of gender dysphoria.

- Q. So you really don't understand that this is a case about denying coverage for treatment for people who are transgender, is that what you're telling me?
- A. First of all, they can't be treated for being transgender, because transgender is not a disorder, so that can't be the issue of the case. If you mean they're being denied treatment for gender dysphoria --
  - Q. That is what I mean.

A. Well, I've read it in the complaint. I've read it in Budge, but compared to most cases I'm on, I've read virtually nothing about these two women. Budge -- and I'm sorry I don't want to tell you, but Budge doesn't rate very high in my impression of medical expertise. So the fact of the matter is, I don't know much about these -- about these two women, and I certainly don't know what decisions are made on their behalf. I'm not sure how it would affect my

Page 193

opinion.

Q. I've tried to understand the issues with respect to the research that is out there, and I'm still -- you've said that these investigators -- one thing you've told me is that you believe these investigators who have done the research in this area are not in equipoise.

What are the other problems with the surveys?

A. The fact is, the area is so political that -you know that. The academic leads their advocates one
way, advocates the other way, and they're fighting
about some greater grand principle of -- I don't
exactly know. Is it a religious principle or something
like that? I want to take care of patients, so it's a
problem when something gets this political, it's hard
to find people who are independent.

So you have a treating physician. And we used to call this -- by the way, I think the term is gone -- but compassionate care. And as director of research, I often passed on compassionate care. That is where you have a treatment that's still in research, and you apply -- the rules are different now. But you apply to use on a patient for which it is not indicated, it goes to a board, and the board decides whether it should be used on that patient. That board

	Page 194		Page 196
1	has got to be independent. My guess is there are	1	MR. KNIGHT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
2	patients for which it would be used. And my guess is	2	(The videotaped deposition of
3	there are patients for which they'll say there is no	3	LAWRENCE S. MAYER concluded at 3:51 p.m.)
4	adjustment in life severe enough that they'll need to	4	•
5	have this treatment.	5	
6	Q. I guess I'm trying to if you were saying	6	
7	that this issue is so politicized that you seem to	7	
8	be suggesting that research in this area is not	8	
9	possible.	9	
10	Is that what you're saying?	10	
11	A. I worry I worry about it. I do worry	11	
12	about that. I hope it's not true, but I worry about	12	I, LAWRENCE S. MAYER, do hereby declare under the
13	this country is so polarized and so many different	13	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
14	views. I mean, take it that there are people who not	14	transcript; that I have made any corrections as appear
15	only hate the ACLU, but when they find out I'm a member	15	noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached hereto;
16	of the ACLU, they want to kill me and shoot me. How	16	that my testimony as contained herein, as corrected, is
17	can you be part of that organization? I don't	17	true and correct.
18	understand, I really don't understand it.	18	EXECUTED this day of,
19	Why are we so opinionated that it drives our	19	20, at,
20	facts? There are people out there suffering. What	20	(City) (State)
21	works for these people? How do we demonstrate? I	21	(City) (State)
22	would be better at the equipoise if I could see a spark	22	
23	of curiosity in people like this doctor. Is he curious	23	LAWRENCE S. MAYER
24	about whether it works? No, he's convinced it works.	24	Environ (en en marient
25	It works in every case. It's wonderful it works.	25	
	Page 195		Page 197
1	Nonsense.	1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
2	Q. And that is your opinion about all of the	2	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
3	researchers	3	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
4	A. No, it's not. I don't know all of the	4	before me at the time and place herein set forth; that
5	researchers. I can talk I like to make general	5	any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to
6	characterizations as a statistician. You're very	6	testifying, were duly sworn; that a verbatim record of
7	concrete and like to get the kind of like an	7	the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand
8	engineer. Specifically I don't know all the people	8	which was thereafter transcribed under my direction;
9	doing research, but I can tell you being beat about the	9	that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the
10	head and neck by people sending in 500 people and	10	testimony given.
11	writing a letter to the president of the university,	11	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
12	and requesting the dean fire me. You'd never survive	12	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
13	that as a young professor. Never.	13	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of
14	So would I if someone came to me, like me,	14	the transcript [X] was [] was not requested.
15	and I'm thinking my wife died, left a trust fund of	15	I further certify I am neither financially
16	endowing a physician at Hopkins or Harvard to study	16	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of
17	this issue, it would be very hard to fill that	17	any attorney of party to this action.
18	position, even with support, because it is so	18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
19	political.	19	subscribed my name.
20	And part of that is people view it as a civil	20	Dated: JUNE 21, 2018
21	rights issue, and that is very important. Because once	21	
22	you view it as a civil rights issue, you appear to be	22	
23	on a side that is against people's rights.	23	
24	MR. KNIGHT: I think I'm done.	24	PATRICIA Y. SCHULER
25	MR. KILPATRICK: I don't have any questions.	25	CSR NO. 11949

	Page 198	
1	*** ERRATA SHEET ***	
	TRANSPERFECT DEPOSITION SERVICES	
2	216 E. 45th Street, Suite #903 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017	
3	(212) 400-8845	
4	CASE: Boyden v. State of Wisconsin DATE: June 15, 2018	
5	WITNESS: Lawrence S. Mayer REF: 21911	
6 7	PAGE LINE FROM TO	
8		
9 10		
11		
12 13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
	Lawrence S. Mayer	
22	Subscribed and sworn to before me	
23		
24	this day of, 20	
25	Note - Dall's	
23	Notary Public	

	 		l	l
A	accident 30:24	105:19	34:16 36:6 37:13	105:9,18 106:9,10
<b>ability</b> 26:21 47:2,3	accumulated 115:3	adoptions 32:15	38:21,22 40:24	107:21,22,23
able 40:5 83:2	accurate 7:23	adult 31:15,17	42:15 44:20 46:1	<b>amici</b> 4:16 103:7
91:18 121:21	107:20	91:20 103:13	55:2 64:21 74:17	amicus 29:21 99:5
130:10 144:1	<b>ACLU</b> 3:4 5:23	127:15 128:4	76:14 77:17,19	103:1 107:16
180:19 182:9	64:12 145:4	140:12 146:22	79:10 80:11 82:7	116:2 163:22
186:3,4 187:16	194:15,16	adulthood 114:3	93:21 101:16,20	<b>amount</b> 33:2 116:8
<b>aboard</b> 24:17 25:3	act 49:1,4 148:14	adults 44:18 47:24	107:9,15 108:6,15	123:3 127:8
abortion 189:22	action 32:6 166:9	49:10,18 62:25	112:23 134:22	analogies 25:15
abroad 32:4	197:16,17	63:20 75:12,16,19	140:1 142:14	analogy 134:6
<b>absence</b> 188:15,18	actions 31:21	103:8 104:5,21	149:15 171:19	162:9
188:18	active 26:15 42:12	113:4,7,10 125:7	181:13	analysis 13:6 20:24
absolutely 12:24	63:11 96:7 122:24	125:7 128:14,21	agreed 146:3	21:24 63:4 103:24
38:9 41:15 42:17	122:24 123:6,7	137:25 138:4,4	166:25	110:14,17 177:16
52:6 81:22 83:19	124:18,20,22,23	140:7,16,16,23	<b>agrees</b> 34:15 113:3	analyze 132:10
85:14 140:18	127:12 182:1	169:18	ahead 5:16 7:8	<b>Andrews</b> 1:4 156:5
142:13 144:24	activity 135:25	adverbs 123:25	101:19 120:5	156:23 157:15
146:19 163:1,13	actors 31:18	advice 14:17 15:6,9	150:24	and/or 167:11
188:17	actresses 31:18	153:7 160:20	<b>al</b> 1:8	Angeles 31:18
abstract 45:16	actual 6:19 25:5	advocacy 179:13	alienation 88:25	<b>angry</b> 167:5
100:13	add 60:9 136:10	advocate 26:15	alignment 112:18	anorexia 25:16
academic 10:8	162:13	130:14 133:1	ALINA 1:4	125:20 161:11
14:25 115:25	added 110:21	137:5,5 156:12	alleviated 178:21	anorexic 90:15
133:2 141:23	adding 44:5	advocates 26:25	alleviating 160:6	163:3
164:22 191:18	addition 60:6	27:2,7,10 44:3	allied 154:4	anorexics 158:25
193:10	170:22	64:9 102:2 122:7	allowed 191:17	answer 28:7 33:20
academics 166:2	additional 8:17	126:19 127:3	allowing 106:18	35:2,3 43:14
Academy 105:18	address 88:18 91:6	132:25 133:15	<b>alter</b> 162:19	57:25 63:15,25
106:9,11	95:8 97:20 103:12	136:24 137:3	altering 75:1	65:7,8,11 81:2,21
accept 37:20 43:18	142:15	169:22,23 180:4	alternative 179:12	92:11 95:15 96:16
44:7 91:14 102:10	addresses 136:13	186:22 188:8	alternatives 88:5	97:23 105:22
117:25 118:1,10	addressing 13:20	190:6,8 193:10,11	Alzheimer 17:4	120:11 130:19
118:21 119:21,22	19:24 20:11,21	affect 192:25	Alzheimer's 16:11	132:1 148:2,5,6,7
120:8,9 121:15	125:23 171:12	affiliations 135:9	16:20 17:1	165:8,25 175:9
127:1	adjectives 123:25	<b>affirm</b> 166:17	<b>AMA</b> 35:10 36:7	answered 64:17
acceptance 118:10	adjudicative	affirmative 81:20	37:2,7 38:20,22	147:23 148:1
151:7	149:19	120:16 128:9	40:14,16,21 41:6	answering 45:18
accepted 67:10	adjusted 186:19	affirming 104:1,9	56:1 76:17 77:13	148:16
108:25 127:24	adjustment 170:6	120:13	77:15,19 97:21,22	answers 188:6
accepting 44:16	178:20 194:4	<b>affirms</b> 120:16	97:23,24,25	Anthony 30:19
48:3 91:12 92:22	administered 5:8	<b>afford</b> 164:11	155:13 160:23	antidepressant
118:17 126:11	admire 160:5,8	<b>afield</b> 154:16	amazing 26:20	147:12
access 35:17 37:4	admit 142:11	age 124:25 183:3	155:19 168:13	antidepressants
52:5 76:10 79:4	148:17	aging 17:2	<b>AMA's</b> 77:12	98:9 123:19
150:6 164:17	adolescence 170:25	<b>ago</b> 12:15 102:6	American 6:24,24	antidepression
186:16	adolescent 140:12	189:24,25	35:11 44:25 72:8	40:16
	adoption 32:15	agree 33:7,22 34:7	74:17 76:6 105:5	anti-gay 25:11
	1	1	ı	1

		1		
anti-transgender	191:6	174:20 188:7	124:24 182:2	badly 157:10 188:1
25:12	approximate 96:4	192:5	attitude 43:5 91:3,4	<b>Bailey</b> 130:14
anxiety 67:1 88:24	approximately	asking 11:9 18:17	attorney 5:22	188:8
93:15 119:17	8:12 106:5	22:13,14 38:1	197:17	BALDWIN 3:4
anybody 26:13	area 23:25 43:15	41:19 44:18,20	attorneys 5:13	ban 73:24
33:18 38:12 42:13	108:18 111:6	45:20 58:13 64:25	64:12	bandwagon 132:21
115:16 156:16	113:18 189:14,18	65:2,3 75:21	attractions 49:1,4	Banner 22:1
165:24	189:21 193:6,9	81:12 84:7 100:9	attractive 27:20	barbaric 170:25
anymore 14:16	194:8	127:3,4 128:12,17	augmentation 43:3	base 41:2
anytime 19:11	areas 15:12,15,23	129:19 131:3,9	180:21	based 41:18 80:21
<b>APA</b> 72:14 77:20	81:19 109:19	137:3,4 139:6,7	Australia 168:11	154:1,2,23,25
apologize 60:9	111:20	139:22 147:24	automatically	155:23 161:20
69:24 170:17	<b>argue</b> 41:17 85:15	159:13 179:3	186:6	<b>basic</b> 111:19 168:6
appeal 190:22	85:16 145:14	185:12 187:2	Avenida 2:3	173:2
Appeals 4:19	argued 95:23	189:4	Avenue 3:6	basically 118:23
150:18	<b>argues</b> 136:18	<b>aspirin</b> 82:2 84:7,8	avoided 108:12	149:9 165:17
<b>appear</b> 39:10 52:8	arguing 112:4	ass 184:13	aware 53:5,13	basis 157:13 163:13
143:17 195:22	argument 80:20	assert 151:11	54:15 60:4 68:10	186:9 187:2,4
196:14	88:8 107:12 145:3	<b>assigned</b> 29:11 33:9	70:13,15,16 71:16	188:14 189:17
appearance 46:16	Argumentative	33:11,15,17,25	72:8 76:6 79:25	191:14
48:1,5 50:24	54:5	34:1,3,6 39:22	80:3 86:21 135:18	<b>bathroom</b> 28:8,17
66:20 68:15,19	arguments 181:7	assist 117:5	135:22 149:18	28:23 29:5,6,7
73:5 84:2 88:19	arrogant 154:8,9	assistant 167:1	165:3,9 166:1,11	bathrooms 28:19
125:24 145:9	154:14,15	assisted 117:9	166:12,13	bear 145:4
178:3	<b>art</b> 130:5	associated 87:21	<b>a.m</b> 1:15 2:4 5:5	<b>beat</b> 195:9
appearances 3:1	<b>article</b> 4:14 22:4,8	118:19 143:9,9		beating 167:18
30:8 31:6	71:11 109:12	147:13 175:23	<u>B</u>	beautiful 50:12
appearing 39:12	113:6 135:19	association 35:11	<b>B</b> 7:22 167:9 190:9	147:14,16
appears 7:20 35:21	136:12 138:24	72:9 74:17 76:7	babies 55:18	beautifully 130:13
36:14 134:18	139:3 141:18,21	80:13	160:15	<b>beauty</b> 87:3,4
appendix 7:22 30:5	142:11,14 143:7	assume 46:5 70:15	baby 48:17 86:20	<b>bed</b> 17:16 160:18
appendixes 7:17	166:24	71:3 101:23 106:8	160:16,16	185:8
application 25:7	<b>articles</b> 19:22,24	138:21 145:4	Bachelor 6:20	bedfellows 71:13
189:11	20:7,11 21:21	assuming 76:14	back 8:21 10:3	167:9
<b>applied</b> 9:6,8 12:16	22:2 60:22,23	77:12,14	11:24 19:16 40:14	beginning 2:4
<b>applies</b> 14:9 103:24	88:19 112:23	<b>Atlantis</b> 4:17 19:23	56:23,25 62:13	<b>behalf</b> 2:2 4:9
apply 31:22 193:22	artifact 112:3	70:17 71:6 134:19	65:12 83:16,16	192:25
193:23	<b>Asia</b> 32:10	166:3 175:14,16	90:14 93:24 98:20	behaves 112:17
applying 135:14	aside 103:7 168:10	176:13	116:8 117:19	behavior 112:6
approach 24:5	asked 24:13,16	attach 15:13	138:17 150:10	175:8
approached 24:1,6	28:21 31:8 42:3	attached 164:24	152:19 157:20	<b>beings</b> 37:20,20
24:8	51:11 52:14 57:1	196:15	165:21 169:16	140:11
appropriate 36:8	57:11 73:15 86:22	attacks 82:24 167:7	175:6 176:9 177:2	<b>belief</b> 115:4 159:24
72:10 74:19 78:14	103:22 127:25	167:8 168:2	187:10,23 189:7	159:25,25
79:9 154:11	135:22 147:22	attending 12:23	bad 51:23 52:1	beliefs 47:19
157:23	153:2 156:22,25	27:5	64:6 82:10 83:15	159:16,19,20
<b>approve</b> 167:13,14	157:1 169:2	attention 122:25	149:5	160:4 177:17
	I	I	I	l

<b>believe</b> 7:25 9:6	23:23 44:13 62:14	34:22 39:23 48:9	48:17 51:12,12	breakdown 189:4,5
10:9 11:11 22:12	68:13 74:23 78:14	48:11,11 55:24	76:21,23,24 87:4	breast 22:5 43:3
24:17 25:22 27:1	79:9,11,12 84:5	146:5,6	87:5 136:16,17	83:15 93:20,21,22
28:21 31:17 34:18	88:21 103:7 114:3	bit 9:22 42:11	137:16 154:22	94:19,22 95:7,22
46:7 47:15 49:9	114:19 116:7,25	73:20 102:16	168:7,8	95:23 180:21
51:6 52:4 54:16	126:15 135:25	108:9,12 170:5	<b>bother</b> 24:23,23	breasts 47:5 91:22
59:7,9,10 61:20	155:23 158:15	173:11 184:12	25:9 41:12,13	brief 4:16 29:21
62:23 65:10 66:14	160:10,12 168:18	black 22:16	<b>bothered</b> 51:19	99:5 103:1,7,11
68:6 69:21,22,25	bet 42:13 65:15	blanket 149:10		107:16 108:15,18
71:20 72:20 73:7	better 40:18,19	blanketly 165:24	<b>bothering</b> 89:24 152:3	116:3,6,11,17
	, and the second	blew 94:4	<b>bothers</b> 151:13	117:2 163:22
83:21 85:13,17 86:9 90:24 92:18	42:10,14 50:6,7,7	blockers 44:11 60:3		bright 108:10
	50:20 66:1,4		<b>bothersome</b> 134:9	
92:19,19 94:24 97:7 99:6,10	68:16 88:19,20	<b>blocking</b> 44:4,5 169:24 170:22	134:10	<b>bring</b> 57:15 60:22 96:5 123:17
100:25 104:7	90:16,17,17 91:17 91:18 94:8 95:17		bottom 15:5	
		<b>Bloodletting</b> 56:18 <b>Bloomberg</b> 71:8	bought 164:15 Box 3:17	124:25
111:5 112:10	95:18 101:9	U		<b>brings</b> 21:15
115:6 116:9	115:10 148:18	blossoming 59:23	boy 11:4 29:14 34:9	Brinkley 101:1,1
118:15 122:20	169:25 172:21	blowback 190:1	34:10,10,11,11,20	British 8:11,14,24
126:17,19 127:4,7	175:3,4 178:16	<b>board</b> 4:20 67:23	34:22 36:21,22	10:5,6 12:14
127:14 128:3,13	186:15 194:22	149:19 150:18	47:23 112:16	<b>broad</b> 19:10 21:6
129:20 134:7,9	betwixt 134:12	190:13,13,17,19	137:20 155:18	135:23
136:1 138:13	be-all 142:3	190:21,22,22	170:11,13,15,18	broader 95:4
139:3 142:18,19	bias 179:17,18	191:1 193:24,24	170:20 180:17	160:14
146:23 151:21,23	184:10	193:25	<b>Boyden</b> 1:4 7:18	broadly 11:18
153:6,17 157:3	bibliography	<b>body</b> 34:20 37:8	156:5,23 157:14	28:24
158:16,17,20	141:15	48:6 50:8 66:4,20	198:4	broke 185:19
160:11 161:8	bifurcation 27:23	77:11 85:9 90:10	boys 34:20 51:12	Brother 145:10
163:21 169:10,19	<b>big</b> 60:11 87:1	90:16,17,23 91:2	51:14,15 168:7,12	brothers 127:2
170:1 177:23	136:5 185:2	91:8,21,25 92:4,9	182:25	175:9
179:11 182:24	<b>bill</b> 28:17 45:1,2,4	92:10,12,15,22,24	boy's 169:12	brought 123:1
184:7,10 186:3,5	<b>billing</b> 93:25 94:1,1	93:1,6,8,11 118:1	<b>Bradley</b> 116:15,15	brush 135:24
186:12,22,23	biography 100:12	118:2,7,18,18,20	116:16,18	Budge 4:22 26:5,19
187:13,25 189:13	biological 39:15	119:19,22,23,24	bragging 46:25	37:23 39:6 153:19
190:16 191:5,15	48:13 99:14	119:24,25 120:4,9	<b>brain</b> 14:6 60:10,12	153:21,22 181:6
191:22 193:5	112:19 134:20	121:15,16,19	106:20,25 107:2,5	192:18,20,21
believed 81:20	170:20	123:10 134:6	107:11 108:23	Budge's 156:4
97:22,23	biologically 34:11	145:20 146:12,12	109:12,13,19,22	bulk 138:22 186:13
believes 97:9 115:2	36:22 38:15	146:14,25 147:1	109:24 110:2,2,19	<b>bunch</b> 97:19 169:6
beneficial 86:5	118:23	147:19 158:23,25	110:19 111:4,12	181:7
beneficiaries	<b>biology</b> 20:25 23:12	161:22,23 162:11	112:4,4,5,6,17	burden 66:2
148:25	26:22 99:14 146:5	162:12,14,19,22	139:14	burdened 172:2
<b>benefit</b> 72:18 76:1	157:9	175:3,5 178:15	<b>brains</b> 107:12	<b>burn</b> 95:13,14
154:7 170:9 191:5	biostatistician	<b>body's</b> 82:20	111:3,20,21,22,22	190:18
benefits 82:4	21:12	book 99:14 100:24	<b>break</b> 35:16 56:19	burned 94:4
<b>Bermuda</b> 50:19	<b>bipolar</b> 148:13,14	books 129:23,25	102:14 117:13,14	business 80:5
63:13	<b>birth</b> 33:10,11,15	border 101:2	154:20 171:3	<b>butt</b> 38:2
best 16:5 23:15,22	33:17,25 34:1,4,6	<b>born</b> 34:5,25 35:1	178:11 185:15	<b>butts</b> 55:18 87:1
		l	l ————————————————————————————————————	l .

,	I		I	I
160:15	31:5,10,11,13,24	58:18 62:5 71:14	103:22,23,25	<b>claim</b> 77:9 106:18
<b>buy</b> 163:18 164:11	31:25,25 32:11,16	71:15,22 79:13	104:5,6,10,12,18	133:15 161:19
164:12,17	40:2,4 70:13	85:22 113:21	104:20 112:24	181:16
	72:19 73:18,19,23	119:14 123:17	120:11 137:18,23	claimed 101:9
C	74:2,4,5,9 75:11	142:20 150:4	138:3,5 140:4,15	168:11
C 3:15 190:9	75:16,18 94:3	156:15 168:9	140:16,18,19,20	claiming 103:15
California 1:14 2:4	95:1 99:5 103:2	170:24 192:24	169:16,20	<b>claims</b> 26:20
5:4 154:2,3 197:2	117:12 139:10,24	certainty 83:6	children's 120:12	clarification 35:7
call 14:24 26:11	160:17 173:17	<b>Certified</b> 2:6 197:1	<b>choice</b> 25:4 97:13	189:15
29:5 39:22,22	174:12,12 190:23	<b>certify</b> 197:2,15	127:15 128:7,21	<b>clarify</b> 26:24 149:4
126:8,21 193:18	191:12,16 192:1,2	cesarean 55:24	149:11 151:20	classic 50:6
<b>called</b> 6:19 28:23	192:3,4,4,10,14	<b>chair</b> 24:7 108:20	cholesterol 82:7,7,9	<b>clean</b> 87:6
105:5 113:7 146:4	194:25 197:13	190:2	82:10,14,19,20	clear 12:20 36:13
150:5 178:8	198:4	challenges 136:14	83:4 84:11,12	65:14 100:5 113:3
calls 73:12	cases 13:10 27:25	137:14	cholesterol-lower	156:3,8,20 158:8
Canadian 56:2	28:25 29:9,23	challenging 138:25	82:2	177:16 183:1
cancer 83:15 93:20	30:5,7,10,13,14	166:3	choose 24:19	<b>clearly</b> 52:1 151:11
93:20,22 94:19,21	30:16 31:1,9,12	<b>change</b> 47:10 91:1	123:21,23 127:16	177:12
<b>Carcaño</b> 28:11,14	32:12 50:4 52:13	91:2,2 98:23	127:20,21,22,23	clinic 9:20 16:14
29:3	64:4,6 138:20	106:20 107:6	128:5	57:14,14 60:20
cardiology 15:11	150:4 161:3	110:19 126:17	<b>chose</b> 24:20 96:22	71:24 133:14,17
15:18,25	190:18 192:18	127:4 158:24	chromosomes	183:14 185:24
care 14:19 18:8	<b>causation</b> 27:18,19	162:19,20 169:14	34:19	188:23
35:5,12,17 36:9	27:19,21 158:1,2	changeable 138:14	cisgender 47:5	clinical 8:3,7,9,25
36:11 37:4,10	cause 27:20 177:3	changed 159:6	50:24 51:8,8	9:13,24,24 10:5
55:11 56:12 72:11	<b>caused</b> 40:24	changes 98:24	110:1 111:22	12:9,22 13:4 14:8
74:13 78:9,14,24	causes 33:8,23	109:24	142:24 145:24	14:17,20 15:6,8
78:25 79:3,4,6,9	126:13	changing 68:15	146:25 147:8,13	18:18,20 19:10
79:11,13 83:22	causing 46:16	85:9,9 125:24	cisgendered 52:17	23:6 25:7 38:1
133:4 149:20,23	caveat 45:4	characteristics	68:19	39:20 41:1,14,16
166:21 169:20	cease 48:25 49:3	45:14 48:14 67:3	citation 107:8	41:17 55:4,6,13
193:14,19,20	Cecilia 141:4	85:8 90:25	164:6	56:10 59:14 83:17
career 62:16 180:7	cell 34:19 92:14,15	characterizations	citations 26:16	86:9 93:17 114:9
180:10	92:15,16	195:6	99:11,12,25 100:2	114:25 121:1
careful 14:19 35:15	cells 34:20,21	characterize 125:8	cite 99:6 104:22	125:19 129:17,24
35:20 78:13 153:9	cent 65:19	characters 17:2	106:17 108:8	130:6,7,9,16,17
carefully 83:17	Center 24:15 135:6	charged 81:19	112:21,24 141:24	131:12 140:12
114:5 121:1	135:11	Charlatan 100:24	142:1 148:19	147:20 153:13
Carlota 2:3	centers 99:6 148:21	100:25	163:21 175:16	155:8 161:2
<b>Carolina</b> 28:13,16	189:2,3	cheaper 46:11	cited 108:2,9 139:4	166:10,21 174:14
29:4 30:15	century 24:22	check 176:9	141:7,8 142:6	178:12 185:1
case 1:6 5:15 7:19	108:25	checked 152:19	150:6,7 175:12,13	188:21 191:19
22:19 26:5 27:16	certain 31:21 45:13	Chicago 3:8	citing 99:2 139:23	clinically 58:8
28:5,8,11,13,15	52:24 148:25	<b>child</b> 112:14 138:8	142:2 168:2	89:19 145:16
28:17,19,20,22,23	164:1 167:9	140:9,12 182:17	citizen 117:11	clinician 19:16
29:3,4,5,6,7,13,16	certainly 10:24	191:25	City 196:20	26:15 43:11 65:8
29:18 30:15,21	24:22 32:14 48:24	children 44:10	civil 195:20,22	84:22 114:21
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

,	İ	İ	İ	I
124:1 130:21	119:16,18	complex 20:24,25	conference 13:23	160:5
131:1 157:19,20	coming 11:9 14:25	21:2	13:24 60:24	contrast 129:22
157:21 183:9	60:10 134:1	complicated 27:3	configuration	contribute 173:2
184:23	comment 14:20	36:10 97:18 104:3	77:11	contributions
clinicians 15:1	40:15 48:19	117:12 150:8	confirmation 143:1	117:1
18:24 44:21 46:19	110:20 192:5	169:7 173:19	158:25	control 42:13 63:12
62:5 71:4,7	comments 149:6	complication 171:7	confirmed 113:8	96:7,7 122:24,24
101:25 125:8	Commitment 4:15	171:12,14	confirming 146:4,4	123:6,7 124:16,17
131:2 132:4,24	committed 78:7	concept 33:17	<b>conform</b> 48:6 118:2	124:18,21,21,22
166:2 167:11	115:23	111:4	118:5	124:23 130:1,4
185:22,22	common 11:22	concern 25:8	confused 78:24	132:6 181:25
<b>clinics</b> 61:8 91:9	164:22	107:25	174:7	182:1 189:22
122:8 126:5	commonality 162:4	concerned 10:17	conjuncture 132:9	controlled 38:1
128:10	162:4,18	24:11 42:18 64:13	connected 18:6	41:1 100:20
<b>close</b> 15:13 160:13	commonness 21:6	74:25 84:19	consciously 184:9	119:11 128:12,13
179:1	communities 102:3	140:18 182:23	consensus 151:1	128:17,20
closely 18:6	community 4:15	concerns 44:13	166:19 167:22	controversial 24:9
<b>closest</b> 168:19	33:7,23 187:19	64:15	consensuses 167:21	31:16,23 100:3
<b>club</b> 13:9 60:21	companies 165:4	concise 148:18	consequences	controversy 113:14
Cochrane 55:15	165:12	concluded 111:11	79:15	conversation 61:9
coin 96:12	comparative 42:23	149:20 196:3	conservative 56:5	conversion 113:16
cold 131:20	171:14	concludes 143:8	108:13	converting 138:17
<b>colleague</b> 5:21 24:6	compare 96:13	175:21	consider 25:11	convinced 112:2
24:7,14 57:18	131:7 143:3	conclusion 73:12	32:17 62:2 88:4,5	194:24
58:4,13	161:13	99:13 111:15	95:13	convincing 110:17
colleagues 70:12,20	compared 42:7	177:20	consideration	Cooper 5:22,24
70:23 71:8 79:17	63:8 65:18 75:6	conclusions 72:16	78:13	copublished 135:5
79:20 141:11	129:4 143:16	104:4 136:2 142:9	considerations	copy 164:11
collected 169:6	171:13 172:12	156:19 166:7	96:19,20,24	corner 157:7
<b>College</b> 105:5,9	192:18	conclusive 103:21	<b>consistent</b> 43:20,21	corporation 97:10
107:22,23	compares 142:23	concrete 195:7	46:14 47:18	correct 6:25 8:1
combination 9:2	comparing 161:10	condemn 71:12	106:19 138:10,18	12:10 17:7 60:16
107:18 188:5	comparison 63:9	condemning 71:11	consistently 128:9	63:21,22 64:20
come 9:8 10:12	63:11 112:1 129:5	condition 21:11	construction 67:20	70:7 74:21 77:17
28:6 43:18 46:18	129:6 162:8	38:6 39:5 40:13	consult 31:9	87:19 96:18
57:24 61:7,9	compassionate	53:18 55:12 61:5	consulting 31:8	103:14,19 110:8
99:19,20 126:6	193:19,20	66:12 72:7 80:23	161:3,4	134:24 142:17
131:20 162:14	competitors 42:8	82:12 88:17,18,24	contained 196:16	157:6 160:1
177:19 183:13	complaint 73:19	89:5,6 99:23	content 25:2 70:25	162:22 179:7
188:24 190:22	192:17	143:16 154:12	71:13	196:17
comes 22:15 26:21	<b>complete</b> 7:23 83:5	183:14 184:2,3,3	context 57:16	corrected 196:16
32:2 45:3 92:20	100:1 144:8 153:3	186:20	continual 140:13	corrections 196:14
115:15 173:19	164:19,23	conditions 21:9	continued 25:20	correctly 118:4
182:18 184:11	completed 142:24	40:10,10,10 75:4	continuing 18:22	133:11 161:12
comfortable 68:24	completely 161:17	81:13 163:2,2	contradiction 39:6	172:9 181:25
69:8,12,19 91:24	182:11	<b>condoms</b> 31:15,19	40:6	correspondence
93:6,8,15 118:22	completion 197:13	conduct 32:6	contrary 37:23	183:23
			<u> </u>	l

corresponding	<b>create</b> 130:23	dangerous 56:1	deciding 43:13	144:1 180:19
33:16	credentials 157:8	data 21:2,7,20	56:11 64:3 135:2	184:19,20 194:21
cosmetic 42:14	167:1	23:14,15 59:13	decision 74:24	demonstrated 42:6
45:2 50:22 89:2,7	credible 34:17	62:13 63:3 83:1,2	78:19 81:4 99:7	43:12 77:23 87:11
94:6 95:5 96:11	creed 169:11	110:15 125:25	105:19 115:3	87:17 114:12,25
cost 144:14,25	Cretella 104:23,25	126:3,16 131:16	148:20 150:18,25	demonstrates 37:8
145:2 172:11	109:5	131:17,18,19	decisions 55:2	demonstration
178:9 181:24	criminal 31:25	133:9,12,14 158:1	160:24 161:1,2	88:7,8 144:2
costs 101:10 144:12	crisis 11:23 50:25	185:3 186:16	191:12 192:24	demoralization
COUNSEL 3:1	51:5 54:1	187:16 188:7,24	decision-making	91:5
counseled 120:7	criteria 37:23,24	189:1,2	166:10	demoralized 51:20
counseling 26:19	critical 37:24 85:14	date 197:18 198:4	declare 34:10 97:17	denied 53:14,23
86:11 117:25	135:15 155:25	Dated 197:20	196:12	192:15
118:17 119:8,21	156:1 173:3	dates 16:4	decline 102:8 126:1	denying 192:10
119:21 120:7	174:14	Davidson 78:4	126:2	denying 192.10 department 1:7
153:25 154:5,17	criticism 156:7	day 19:14,17,20	decreased 65:17	3:14 4:19 18:7
countries 72:24	172:5	62:14,14 115:11	66:5 82:24,25	24:13 32:8 71:23
101:17	criticized 113:18	196:18 198:23	189:11	149:19 150:17
country 8:17,18	criticizing 146:8	days 173:12 174:2	decreases 176:9	Departmental 4:19
14:23 32:7 93:25	cross 21:3	174:20 183:3,4,5	decreasing 181:19	150:18
155:13 194:13	Crown 94:4	day-to-day 121:19	dedicated 135:13	departments 18:4
County 31:18	crudely 98:11	121:21	deeply 43:22 47:19	dependent 48:16
couple 57:1 176:16	crusade 48:22	De 2:3 176:18	134:13 138:11	depends 21:13
couples 31:2,3	CSR 197:25	deal 24:23 35:23	defendants 1:9	27:17 99:13
105:20	cultural 77:6	55:19 64:15 66:21	3:12 4:10	121:17 136:7
course 35:19 86:3	culturally 48:15	77:24 81:14	<b>defined</b> 34:19 77:5	deposition 1:13 2:2
89:14,22 90:20	77:5	113:22 129:10	definitely 11:15	5:14 7:9,15 196:2
92:1,21 100:14	cure 9:9 40:9 50:11	151:13	definition 29:17	197:12 198:1
101:6 112:16	95:21	<b>dealing</b> 19:3 104:12	34:16 77:6 125:12	depositions 30:8
119:15,15 124:3	cures 143:25	deals 111:25	125:13,14 138:12	31:7
126:14 138:1	Curiae 4:16	dealt 28:2,4	155:23	depressed 42:25
142:10 146:1	curiosity 194:23	dean 180:5 195:12	definitions 87:2	89:24 94:23 98:21
151:12 166:25	curious 36:25	dean-type 79:21	definitive 83:22	122:1,2 146:17,18
<b>court</b> 1:1 30:7	137:18 194:23	dear 57:18	84:14 85:2 130:17	147:7
courtesy 164:22	Curriculum 4:11	death 53:6,12,18	131:25 144:2,4	depression 43:1
cover 108:23	<b>cut</b> 167:10	173:18	169:9	66:18,19,24 67:2
<b>coverage</b> 72:20,23	cutting 161:6	debatable 107:3	definitively 113:20	88:24 93:16 94:24
73:8,24 74:6	169:12	debilitating 188:3	<b>degree</b> 6:2,4,10,13	94:25 95:9 98:23
75:18 95:12	<b>Cuypere</b> 176:18	decades 56:18	6:19,23,24 8:2,13	98:24 119:17
158:12,15 165:13	CV 20:21	<b>decent</b> 100:19	8:18 27:4 108:6	120:23,25 121:23
192:10		<b>decide</b> 33:5 51:15	delivered 160:20	121:25 122:5,13
<b>covered</b> 15:15 57:3	<u>D</u>	56:8 84:5 124:2	delivery 55:25	147:1,7,8,11,13
95:10 165:5	<b>D</b> 190:9	130:10,16 155:2,3	demeaning 93:7	147:20
co-morbidities	daily 91:18 172:3	155:5,9 190:17	dementia 16:12	depressive 90:1
143:11	damage 81:6	<b>decided</b> 191:3,14	17:1 21:11 140:8	156:16 185:7
<b>crack</b> 32:9	damaged 27:21	191:15	demonstrate 53:8	<b>deputy</b> 24:14
crazy 26:17 159:1	<b>Dame</b> 116:16	decides 193:24	63:23 113:20	describe 31:13
	<u> </u>	ı	<u> </u>	I

description 74:9	157:6 185:23	<b>disagree</b> 49:6 72:14	dissecting 62:12	dozen 52:25 68:1
deserves 190:16	187:1	79:23 80:10,11	distinction 72:25	<b>Dr</b> 4:8 5:13 6:2
deserving 146:2	dianetics 156:2	97:6 107:17	94:7 140:15	7:14 24:4 25:20
158:4,5	die 172:23,24	108:17 111:15,18	distress 39:20 40:5	26:5,5,9 46:25
design 123:15	died 195:15	114:10,11 162:24	45:23 46:17 89:20	56:25 65:15 78:1
129:2,16 130:5,10	diet 90:15	163:1 166:23,25	89:23 93:1 120:23	78:2,2 85:11
130:15,25 131:3,4	difference 33:9	167:20	129:11 145:17,20	102:25 110:22
131:12,21 132:5,9	39:21 68:17,20	disaster 169:13	146:14,20 147:5,5	112:21 113:2,13
133:8	111:12 112:8	disavowing 71:5	147:18 148:12	114:10 115:8,10
designed 121:1	123:4 130:2	discipline 21:3,5	159:2	115:17 116:19,20
131:6	140:11 143:22	disciplines 21:18	distressed 89:19	127:25 134:19
designing 127:14	178:13 181:23	discordance 18:11	146:16 159:2	149:5 150:14
129:13	<b>different</b> 6:20 8:21	20:11 41:8	DISTRICT 1:1,2	153:21,22 156:4
designs 123:16	10:14 14:3 17:15	discover 51:16	division 9:4	164:14,14 171:19
<b>desire</b> 56:9 168:1	22:16 23:13 33:24	discovery 168:13	<b>doctor</b> 30:24 34:4	185:19
despair 90:20	38:9 39:13 48:18	discrimination	34:10,21 55:6	<b>draft</b> 24:17
desperate 76:2	52:7,16 59:21	44:15 160:9	117:20 194:23	<b>drafts</b> 116:23
destroy 180:10	70:23,23 87:2	discuss 57:15 60:22	<b>doctors</b> 16:23 37:4	<b>dramatic</b> 46:12,13
<b>detail</b> 73:21	99:2 106:9 110:2	discussion 149:7	document 77:22	draw 136:2
details 46:17	115:9,23 119:5	discussions 59:13	78:6 79:24 109:9	<b>drive</b> 21:14
determine 13:5	121:24,24 123:16	153:16	134:17 148:19	<b>drives</b> 194:19
156:15	125:13 131:19	<b>disease</b> 9:5,21 86:1	149:25 150:15	drove 26:16
devastating 90:6	136:13 137:21	174:9 184:1	163:25 164:8	<b>drugs</b> 40:16 82:3
develop 81:7	161:17,24 162:1,2	diseases 172:24	166:16 167:8	<b>DSM</b> 18:15
112:18	162:2 163:7	dismissive 162:5,7	documents 76:10	<b>DSM's</b> 49:6
development 39:8	188:25 193:22	162:21	99:3 150:1,1	<b>DSM-3</b> 9:7
90:7 138:4 140:9	194:13	<b>disorder</b> 35:18,19	dog 21:13,13	<b>DSM-4</b> 61:17
140:13,14	differently 39:11	37:12,14,15,22	190:15 191:23	<b>DSM-5</b> 61:17
developmental	174:1	38:7,12,13,24	<b>doing</b> 7:9 14:6,16	<b>duly</b> 197:6
138:1 170:21	<b>difficult</b> 95:16 96:3	57:7 61:5,16,22	17:10 24:18 25:20	dysfunction 185:9
deviation 191:3	160:12	76:13 79:8 90:23	43:15 56:4,17	185:9
deviations 130:3	difficulty 47:17	91:1,2 97:23	63:7 72:6 75:5	dysmorphia 90:23
Dhejne 141:4	77:24 163:6	134:6 151:15,24	78:20 84:6 96:4	161:22,23
142:14	dilutes 27:14	156:16 161:22,23	96:19,25 115:11	dysmorphic 134:6
diagnosable 147:1	<b>dime</b> 191:16	162:12,15 183:25	138:21 142:5	158:23 162:11,14
diagnose 157:6	dime's 110:15	185:7 186:8	151:19 160:6,9,11	dysphoria 10:12
diagnosed 37:12	direct 12:22 57:24	192:13	180:2 181:18	16:9 17:11,17
39:16,17 186:10	150:20 176:16	disorders 16:13	184:18 195:9	18:11,15,19 19:4
186:13	directing 103:5	156:17 161:18	domestic 97:23	19:24 20:15 22:25
diagnoses 38:6,7	109:7	disparities 21:23	donate 88:11	23:4,7,10,21,25
57:8 152:9	direction 197:8	22:12,13	130:18	28:2,4,8,9,21,22
diagnosing 157:4	directly 43:1 88:23	<b>display</b> 143:20	<b>door</b> 86:8	29:1,25 30:11,17
diagnosis 17:17	director 24:15	dispute 106:2	double-blind 96:1	32:18,24 33:1
18:14 32:21 37:18	193:19	157:16	96:3,17,19	35:14 36:13,16,19
37:19 38:11 39:3	Directory 163:22	disputing 157:13	<b>doubt</b> 26:18 41:15	36:24 37:22,24
39:4,19,20 49:7	163:24	disruption 40:24	72:18 120:18	38:4,8,25 39:19
79:1 147:5 156:4	disabled 51:20	dissatisfied 66:20	182:15	39:24,25 40:1,13
	•	•	•	•

10.24 11.2 7 21	171:21 173:23	114:14,15 122:4,5	embarrassment	128:10 170:18
40:24 41:3,7,21 42:2,11,15,25	171:21 173:23	177:4 181:19	24:12	181:18 182:21
43:8,14,18 45:24	174.13,10,23	effective 36:24 42:1	embrace 90:4	183:16
47:25 48:1,4 49:7	175.1,0,24 170.2	42:7,22 43:1 44:8	131:21	environments
49:13,19,23,25	178:11 182:12	44:21 63:4,14,24	embryo 168:15	44:12 104:1
50:3,5,9,11 51:7	183:10,11,15	65:1,11,13,20	emerging 57:22	envision 130:13
53:14 55:12 57:6	184:6,11,12,24	66:15 67:4,8,11	empirical 114:2	
57:23 59:21 60:18	185:4,23,24 186:7	67:13,16,17,19,25	178:4 179:21	epidemiological 13:6
61:4,22,23,25	186:11,17,23	68:6,9 71:21,25	employed 88:17	epidemiologist
62:22,24,25 63:20	187:14 189:9,10	72:1,1,2 75:7	employee 1:8	13:5 61:21
64:23,23,25 65:6	192:8,15	80:25 85:3 87:18	197:16	epidemiology 9:3,3
65:16 66:3,3,5,15	dysphoric 17:14	88:2,2,3,3,7	employees 73:10	14:8 20:24 33:1
66:17,18,25 67:1	36:2 50:15 52:2,6	103:18 113:4,9	74:1,7	59:13 61:25 62:1
67:8,16 68:5,11	54:19 79:2,10	114:13,14,17	empowered 178:7	62:7 115:15
68:13,23 71:17	81:11 86:23 89:15	129:21 130:11	encourage 73:3	EPPC 135:13
72:3,12 73:9,10	92:8 96:6,15	132:1 139:1	182:16	equal 37:4 52:5,18
73:24 74:1,7,20	98:22 104:10,17	149:21 151:3	encouraged 112:14	79:4 173:6 177:13
76:9,19 77:17,24	104:20,20 119:12	171:20 172:8,11	116:11	equally 46:10 63:14
80:2,8,15,17	121:16 123:20,22	171:20 172:8,11	ended 180:7	equipoise 97:1
81:21 84:3,4 85:1	125:22 126:4,8,18	174:4,4,19 176:5	Endocrine 79:25	177:13 179:6,9,15
85:15,23 86:6,22	127:6,15 128:14	177:10 179:5	80:5,10	189:14 193:7
87:18,22 88:23	128:16,21 131:19	180:2 181:24	endocrinologist	194:22
89:12,14 90:9,18	146:24 155:2	189:8 192:7	47:13 132:14	equity 85:7 145:3,4
90:22 91:7 95:19	171:25 175:8	effectively 88:17	endocrinology	equivalence 188:11
95:20 96:14 97:20	178:15 183:20,24	101:13	108:20	equivalent 6:18
98:6,12,16,18,21	186:3 187:12	effectiveness 37:9	endorse 81:22	8:16,23 123:2
99:22,23 100:8,15		142:15,25	169:9	124:24
100:17,20 102:12	E	effects 43:4 44:6,7	endorsed 40:16	ERRATA 198:1
103:8,18 113:7,11	E 198:2	64:14 65:22,23,23	41:11,13	<b>ESQ</b> 3:5,15
113:25 114:3	earlier 62:21	efficacious 46:10	endowing 195:16	established 37:8
115:7 119:1,1,4	117:24 122:18	efficacy 21:22,25	<b>end-all</b> 142:3	49:9 55:12
119:12 120:22	152:14 153:6	22:14,18,19,20,22	end-stage 174:9	estimate 130:2
121:6,18 122:22	159:9 161:8	59:20 63:2 100:7	<b>enemy</b> 48:1	<b>estimates</b> 144:8,16
125:5,9,11,15,23	169:24 170:5	100:17 103:12	engaged 53:15	144:20,22
126:13 127:8	175:11 189:13	119:21 121:5	engineer 195:8	et 1:8
128:4 129:14,18	early 83:5 140:10	131:7 153:11	England 6:16	ethical 96:18,20,24
130:9,23 132:18	142:6 169:22,23	168:19,22,25	<b>English</b> 6:22 12:14	159:24
133:13 139:1	173:12 174:2,20	169:5 176:22,23	enhanced 45:13	ethics 135:5,11
143:4,10,25	easy 95:18	<b>effort</b> 61:18	enhancement 191:1	191:22
145:17 146:11,11	<b>eating</b> 158:24	either 50:17 72:24	enrolled 19:19	<b>ethnic</b> 21:23
147:5,18,20 149:1	economists 101:11	89:5 96:11 118:21	ensure 84:17	Europe 14:22
149:21 151:25	178:9	127:23	enter 153:15	122:23 155:14
152:1,2 154:12	<b>edge</b> 161:6	elaborate 142:1	<b>entitled</b> 4:14 68:18	evaluated 22:20
156:5 157:4,14	education 6:1	elastic 111:3	68:20 86:15,18	114:5
161:10,24 162:21	18:10,22	elective 72:25	94:9 145:12,12,14	evaluating 62:17
163:9 165:22	educational 12:17	element 78:9	environment 91:13	evaluation 22:2,6
169:1,20 171:8,18	<b>effect</b> 83:3 107:1	else's 139:13	120:13,16 125:3	eventual 112:18
		l	I	I

eventually 31:16	exception 138:21	132:13,17 144:14	fact 11:12 36:22	fell 22:2
127:20	exception 138.21 exceptions 102:4	152:13,17 144:14	37:21 39:12 40:9	<b>felt</b> 46:15 117:11
everybody 131:21	exclusion 74:5	157:11 166:19	48:5 55:22 64:10	female 45:21 48:15
everybody's 186:12	75:18 149:23	expertise 22:25	65:21,22 85:20	59:17 68:17,19
evidence 36:3,4	excuse 47:2 89:7	23:9,11 26:8 33:3	91:17 92:8,16	69:15 77:6 92:2,3
37:1 49:21 66:23	EXECUTED	108:18 115:7,9	94:21,22 101:2	92:3,23,23 93:11
67:18 68:2,7 72:3	196:18	154:17 192:22	103:23 113:6	109:19 118:2,6,7
72:16 79:12 95:1	exhaustive 141:16	experts 26:4 27:1,4	115:5 136:18	118:9 119:23,24
97:3 103:17	<b>Exhibit</b> 4:8,11,14	27:4,10,15 64:8	137:9,11 142:18	120:4,8 126:25
111:11 113:23	4:16,17,19,21 7:8	97:7 167:20	143:15 167:18,19	183:2,4
114:2,24 115:2	7:10,11,12,15	explain 34:3 68:20	172:22 182:4	femaleness 92:9
119:9 133:17	87:8 102:23 103:1	110:9,24 111:5,24	190:4 192:22	118:1
151:9 158:22	134:14,17 139:15	151:17	190.4 192.22	females 89:4
163:15,15 174:15	139:17 148:20	explained 109:14	factor 77:23	109:17,21
176:4 181:23	150:12 176:11	183:13	facts 167:16 194:20	female-to-male
185:11 186:2	exist 35:19 46:5	explaining 11:10	failing 174:8	109:16 111:13
188:19,19,20	101:17,20 132:7	<b>explaining</b> 11.10 <b>explains</b> 90:8 151:6	failure 174:5	femininity 86:16
189:8,12 190:12	existence 92:14	explicitly 41:22	fair 186:25	87:2,4
191:6 192:6	expect 148:14	75:23	fairly 143:19	feminists 142:7
evolved 82:16	expect 148.14 expectations 48:18	exposure 27:20,22	fall 142:9	feminization 38:3
exact 107:8 127:21	expense 144:19	expresses 145:19	false 83:19 94:7	39:12 102:9
145:25	expensive 85:7 88:6	expressing 45:23	familiar 18:14 26:4	fetal 108:22
exactly 13:21 16:2	144:15	expression 47:2	27:16 35:4 71:10	field 27:1 97:7
17:13 18:12 40:12	experience 8:3,7,9	extend 62:18	78:2 150:16	113:22,25 115:7
45:6 51:7,10	8:25 9:14 10:5,20	extend 02:10 extensive 25:5	176:20	129:1
52:15 76:11 107:8	50:22 55:5,6,14	100:11 168:5	<b>families</b> 166:11	<b>fight</b> 37:17 61:16
107:13 129:25	56:10 66:19,22	extent 73:11 74:8	famous 101:1,3	190:15
145:18 162:16	75:6 101:22	75:13	fantastic 168:17	<b>fighting</b> 193:11
164:9,10 191:11	109:25 114:8,8,23	extreme 24:22	far 62:16 140:25	fights 191:23
193:13	126:5 171:23	25:14 71:2 112:9	154:16	figure 23:14 62:15
exam 27:6 156:10	176:1 188:21	112:10 133:22,24	feature 88:18	109:1 130:3
EXAMINATION	experienced 114:22	134:3	features 88:24	156:13
4:2 5:11	experiences 56:2	extremely 51:3	Federal 197:12	<b>fill</b> 195:17
examined 5:8	experiment 75:4,5	144:15	<b>feel</b> 40:6 42:10	<b>film</b> 31:17
examiner 156:21	experimental	E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S	50:20,23 66:1	filmmaking 31:15
<b>example</b> 11:5 22:3	149:22	4:6	68:16 69:12 80:18	<b>finally</b> 56:1 137:13
22:5 39:12 46:12	expert 4:8,21 5:15		88:19 90:17 91:17	financial 74:14
50:10 51:8 53:15	9:24 22:11 23:4,6	<b>F</b>	93:14 94:8 95:6	financially 88:3
55:23 59:6 75:1	23:7,19 27:24	face 51:21 52:4	146:1 156:18	197:15
82:6,10 83:7 84:8	28:5,10 29:16,18	94:4 158:18	178:5,7,16 191:16	<b>find</b> 36:3 38:5
84:9 86:11 91:22	31:10 32:17,21,23	180:21	feeling 50:6 90:18	44:21 62:14 85:21
93:19 96:5 120:25	32:25 61:23,24	faces 51:13,14,19	90:19,19 124:9	100:14 101:15
142:4 147:7	62:3,6,11,19 64:1	facetious 123:1	feelings 36:21	102:2,3 109:22
155:12 177:22	64:17 72:23 83:17	facial 38:2 39:12	47:23 145:19	110:3,11 111:20
190:23	100:1 113:24	102:9	184:14	111:23 112:2
excellent 26:10	129:1,12,15,16,17	<b>facing</b> 11:23 14:7	feels 42:14 158:17	121:2,10,11
84:16	130:6,6,8,22	93:1	feet 55:18 160:15	122:16,17 134:9
	<u> </u>		l	l

	1			
142:2 146:17	143:21	functional 40:3,4	50:5,9 51:7 53:14	155:24 156:5
163:7 169:16,16	Footnote 109:11	114:7 123:11,12	54:19 55:12 57:6	157:4,14 160:9
175:12 178:25	footnotes 164:24	functioning 36:17	57:6,14,22,23	161:10,24 162:21
179:3 187:17,18	<b>forbid</b> 55:25	143:11	59:21 60:18 61:4	163:9 166:18,20
190:11 193:16	forces 84:5	<b>fund</b> 195:15	61:5,16,21,22,23	169:1,20,23,24
194:15	foregoing 196:13	fundamental 111:4	61:25 62:22,24,25	171:8,21 174:25
findings 134:20	197:3,5,9,11	funding 116:5	63:20 64:23,23,25	175:1,21,24 176:2
fine 80:19 114:21	Forget 138:4	FUNDS 1:8	65:6,16 66:15,17	176:8,24 177:11
118:24 126:20	forgive 16:4	funny 100:24	67:8,16 68:5,11	178:10,15 182:12
127:1 142:5	<b>form</b> 171:17	<b>further</b> 5:20 197:11	68:12,22,25 69:2	182:15 183:10,11
178:17,18,18	formally 159:7	197:15	69:3,20 70:5 71:5	183:14,15,18,24
179:20 183:19	former 70:12	<b>fusion</b> 110:12	71:17 72:11 73:9	183:25 184:5,7,11
185:11 187:24	152:25 190:2	fussing 182:5	73:10,24 74:1,7	184:12,24 185:4
<b>finish</b> 168:23 180:6	<b>forms</b> 37:11		74:20 76:7,8,12	185:23,24 186:6,7
fire 190:4 195:12	forth 83:16,16	G	76:17,19,20,21,23	186:10,17,23,24
<b>first</b> 6:1 7:21 9:6,6	151:10 169:17	gain 160:21,21	76:24,25 77:2,4	187:13,14 188:23
9:21 23:24 24:6	197:4	163:3	77:16 79:2,9 80:1	189:9,10 192:7,15
91:15 99:3,19	<b>fought</b> 37:16 38:10	<b>Gavin</b> 29:13 99:5	80:8,14,17 81:21	<b>genders</b> 137:21
111:25 112:5	39:2,3	103:1 163:21	85:1 86:5,21,23	gender-affirming
131:16 136:13	<b>found</b> 9:11 34:17	gay 31:2,19 32:9,15	87:18,22 89:12,14	78:8 170:18
140:3,9 150:22,23	55:16 56:3 83:18	32:15 37:17 39:3	90:22 92:20 95:19	general 11:7 14:9
154:13 156:14	110:10,11,17,25	39:3 48:21 97:22	96:13,15 98:5,12	16:14 17:15 20:13
177:12 192:12	165:4,13 168:11	105:19 152:8	98:15,18,20,22	27:18,19 28:7
<b>five</b> 19:19 56:20	186:16,17	gays 32:13	99:4,22,23 100:8	46:3,5 47:7 48:20
58:18 101:14	foundation 3:4	gender 4:18 10:11	100:15,17,20	50:23 59:12,20
102:12	62:4,7 105:21	10:12 11:2,3 16:9	103:8,18,25 104:9	61:15 67:1 81:12
five-minute 185:14	165:7	16:16,25 17:5,7	104:9,17,19,20	98:19 122:5 129:2
<b>fixed</b> 136:15,17	<b>founded</b> 105:15,18	17:11,14,17 18:11	106:19 113:7,10	135:3 144:12
137:15,17,18,22	four 9:20 58:18	18:11,15,19 19:4	113:25 114:3	146:16 151:7
138:13	100:14	19:24 20:11,14	115:7,15 118:3,6	153:10 157:25
<b>flexible</b> 138:14,16	fourth 187:8	22:25 23:3,7,10	120:8 121:5,15,17	171:11 177:8
<b>flip</b> 96:9	fraction 169:14	23:13,21,25 25:15	122:21 123:22	195:5
flipped 96:12	frame 47:10	26:11,13 28:2,4,5	125:5 126:18	generally 20:22
<b>fluid</b> 136:19 137:23	framework 14:9	28:7,9,21,22,25	127:5,8,14 128:3	generate 132:8,9
focal 33:19	frankly 25:17	29:11,25 30:11,17	128:10 129:13,17	genital 124:3
focus 10:24 22:4	140:20	32:18,24 33:1,8	130:9,22 132:18	169:21
37:2 107:19	fraternal 51:14	33:15,15,24 35:14	133:13 134:5,20	genitalia 75:1
focused 15:23 21:8	Friday 1:15 5:4	35:18 36:13,19,24	137:14,16,17,19	Gerald 116:15
21:18 89:11	friend 57:18	36:25,25 37:12,13	137:22,23 138:9	Gerard 116:16
140:17 146:12	front 30:4	37:15,22,22 38:4 38:6,8,12,24,25	138:17 139:1,20	getting 9:4 33:12
focuses 57:20	<b>full</b> 5:16 90:18	39:19,23,25 40:1	142:24,25 143:4,8	54:9,10 174:7
folklore 55:19	126:24	40:8,12,13,24	143:10 146:11,24	giants 79:22
<b>follow</b> 63:6 160:23	function 40:2,3,5	41:3,7,21 42:2,15	147:18,19 148:24	GID 60:19
165:16	49:23 91:18	42:25 43:8,13,18	148:25 149:21	girl 11:4 29:10
follows 5:9 177:16	101:13 109:25	48:4,10 49:7,13	151:25 152:1,2	34:20,23 51:12
<b>follow-up</b> 44:9	121:19,21 127:1	49:19,22,25 50:2	154:12,19,22,23	52:2,3,3 112:17
50:14 66:17 102:8	181:10 188:1	77.17,22,23 30.2	154:25 155:2,24	137:20 145:11,11

			l	l
145:13 168:15	152:22 154:16,18	193:12	H	heart 82:24 89:25
170:19,21 183:5,6	157:25,25 158:8	great 24:21,23,25	<b>H</b> 167:20	187:25
girls 34:20 51:18	164:17 167:20	24:25 35:22 46:16	hairs 143:23	held 43:22 47:19
168:7,8,12	169:16 170:4	46:25 55:19 64:15	half 23:16 31:7,8	115:24 138:11
Giuseppina 109:12	171:1 175:6 176:9	66:8,19,21 77:24	52:25 81:16	help 24:10,16 25:3
give 5:16 42:8	177:2 182:17	79:20 81:14 87:1	102:20 161:3,3	40:9 47:25 48:25
52:14 89:25 90:16	185:9 186:19	113:22 115:24	hand 39:7,8 47:22	49:3 56:8 61:1
90:16 91:3 93:15	188:25 189:7	129:23 133:16	184:2	69:8,12 72:7
93:16 95:16 96:8	<b>goal</b> 174:9	143:24 151:13	hands 86:16,18,22	84:13 88:11 89:23
96:9,12 99:25	<b>goat</b> 101:4 178:6	185:2,12	86:25	90:17,20 92:25
100:1 101:11	God 133:25	greater 62:16 114:8	<b>hands-on</b> 13:11	94:8 101:7,12
107:7 108:14	goes 19:16 47:1	115:6 193:12	<b>happen</b> 106:24	104:9 117:11
122:25 123:8,17	49:23 51:21 81:8	greatly 126:22	130:24 183:17	122:1 182:5
125:3 142:4	93:24 165:21	Greenland 187:19	happened 32:8	<b>helped</b> 47:24 57:21
153:12 154:3,5,20	193:24	<b>Grimm</b> 29:13,14	happens 57:12	60:2,4
164:18,19,23	going 9:4 13:8,16	99:5 103:1 163:21	186:18	helpful 25:16 84:9
180:22 190:9,23	16:4 24:2,2 29:5	group 16:11,12,24	<b>happy</b> 71:14 81:8	161:21
190:24 191:25	44:22 53:3,4 58:6	16:25 17:2,4,5	100:23 101:11	helplessness 90:19
given 20:14 34:13	58:10,11,12,15	63:8,11 96:14,14	167:23	hereto 196:15
37:4 60:23 63:5,5	59:3,4,22 60:11	105:5,14,16 106:8	hard 19:15 37:17	heterosexual
95:2,20 119:12	64:14 72:19 75:2	108:4,8,8,12	38:10 115:16	109:17,20,21
127:15 128:14	81:8 82:11 86:17	124:16,17 129:5,6	136:10 140:15	high 44:14 50:10
158:16,19 197:10	90:1 95:15 96:7,8	130:1 135:9,20,21	152:8 187:1	53:21 54:19 82:6
gives 22:25 89:7	96:9 107:6,7	135:22 176:4	193:15 195:17	82:7,9,10 83:15
186:7	121:14,20,25	181:25 186:14,14	<b>harm</b> 104:9,19,20	104:6 108:8
giving 35:21 63:12	124:8,9 133:13	<b>groups</b> 96:13	169:11 189:7	172:17 181:5,21
80:5,6 96:21	144:16 157:20	143:23	harmful 55:19	192:21
131:23 145:5,6	158:7 175:19	grow 137:18	<b>Harvard</b> 195:16	higher 95:21
179:11	180:6 183:6	138:15	hate 194:15	136:22 137:7
glad 51:11 67:24	gold 135:1	growing 9:4 137:24	Hayes 163:22,24	143:12
97:4	gonad 101:7 178:7	Guardian 141:19	head 52:11 146:8	highly 41:14 110:3
<b>go</b> 5:16,20 7:8 8:17	gonads 101:4 178:6	142:10	167:19 176:7	113:17
11:24 18:23 19:14	good 5:24 15:14	guess 10:3 11:8	195:10	Hills 2:4
32:1,4 34:13	21:14 26:14,17	13:17 24:2 81:4	health 4:19 8:11,14	hired 28:10
40:14 47:4 50:4	27:22 43:13 50:24	84:24 85:21 86:19	8:24 9:17 10:6	hit 94:3
51:24 52:1 53:23	64:5 79:23 81:5	107:7 141:4	12:14 17:8,22	hold 9:24 160:14
56:25 65:12 67:3	83:15 89:3 95:6	145:14 162:2	18:1,7,8 22:1 37:9	holding 55:17
67:23 75:25 86:19	97:19 99:21 108:7	163:6 177:7	51:5 53:20 71:9	homosexuality
88:23 90:14 91:9	117:10,10 120:10	179:25 194:1,2,6	136:23 137:8	31:2
96:21 98:20 99:25	122:16 132:19	guidance 37:2,3	149:19 150:17	homotherapy
101:19 102:15,19	133:2 147:10	151:10	184:23	113:3
109:1 111:18,23	149:11 153:18	guidelines 41:16,17	healthy 37:19 91:4	honest 135:25
112:13 115:12	163:16 171:24	41:18 80:19	151:18 160:22	160:11
116:7 117:17	172:19 174:17	guilty 39:16	hear 162:6	honesty 191:22
118:25 120:4	178:5	gun 189:21	<b>heard</b> 108:11	honor 24:24
122:23 124:5,5	gotten 189:3	guy 108:19 162:14	135:12,16,20,23	hope 113:19 155:11
146:3 150:24	grand 16:15,17	<b>Guy's</b> 6:16		167:22,22 180:16

	 		l	1
181:2 194:12	hours 19:20	45:21 92:3 138:11	immoral 160:2,3	158:21 180:5
hopefully 160:23	house 8:22	<b>identify</b> 7:15 39:14	190:7	188:7,8 190:18
160:25	<b>Hruz</b> 108:19	45:12 48:12,15	immune 172:25	inclusion 49:6
hopeless 90:19	110:20,22,22	58:11 59:8 70:2	<b>impact</b> 173:6	inclusions 136:8
Hopkins 4:14	116:19,20 164:4	92:23 93:10	impersonate	incomplete 164:21
13:16 15:21 16:2	huge 124:3,4	134:17 137:19	112:15	incongruence
16:8,17,19,22	127:23 144:19	169:15	implant 22:5 95:22	39:25 90:9 91:7
17:6,12 24:13	human 4:19 37:19	identifying 10:17	implants 95:24	145:18
31:23 60:21 70:12	37:20 40:8 140:11	11:10 70:5,6,9	implication 75:2	incongruent 91:24
71:4,16 78:3	149:20 150:18	identity 11:21	implications 21:1,1	92:2
129:8 140:10	humongous 61:18	16:16,25 17:5,7	59:25	incorrectly 56:17
180:3,5 185:2	<b>hundreds</b> 16:23,23	28:6 33:9,24	implies 67:25	increase 25:1 84:18
190:3 195:16	131:6	34:25 35:1,18	importance 56:3	155:16 173:2
hormonal 114:4,19	husband 97:24	37:12,14,15,22	important 37:14	increases 158:22
hormone 37:10	152:23,25,25	38:7,12,13,24	51:3 56:11,16	Indecipherable
38:2 41:6 42:1	158:18	39:23 40:12 48:10	59:8 78:9 82:17	49:12
43:25 44:4,4,5,22	hypotheses 109:23	57:6,14 61:5,16	91:13 97:1 103:24	independence
45:25 46:8,13,21	112:20 132:9	61:22 70:5 76:12	129:10 142:12	27:15
47:12 49:10,14	179:15	106:20 115:16	167:15 178:4	independent 27:6
53:1 59:2 62:21	hypothesis 126:22	118:3,6 120:8	191:19 195:21	64:11 132:23
62:23 63:10,19,21	132:8 177:14	133:14 137:15,16	impression 192:21	156:10,12,13,20
64:21 65:5,17	179:10	137:17,19,22,23	improve 24:10 98:9	180:9 189:23
66:14 67:9,15	I	138:13,18 183:19	178:15	190:13 193:16
72:9 73:8,25 74:6	idea 34:21,24 62:1	183:25 186:7	improvement	194:1
74:18 76:8,18	81:7 87:4 88:10	identity-related	143:12,18,21 174:21	indicate 53:17
77:15 80:1,13	97:17 107:1 110:1	166:20		98:14
81:20 83:9,10,12 91:11 93:16 100:7	110:18 111:1,3	ignoring 167:9 ill 86:1 125:16	improvements 143:10 175:23	indicated 86:3 149:3 193:24
103:12,17 110:6	129:2 130:4 146:3	134:8		indicates 78:12
113:9 125:1,2,4	154:21 162:18	illegal 32:5,6	improves 173:21 174:25 176:1	151:1
126:1 127:16,22	163:3 170:5 178:5	Illinois 3:8	improving 158:20	indication 82:12
128:5,8,22,22	187:23	illness 9:7,8,9 42:16	inability 40:2,2	120:15
129:9,21 130:11	ideal 119:18	50:9 79:1 90:4,6	121:19	indications 35:21
131:8,22 143:9	idealistic 9:9	152:11 167:25	inadequate 156:15	55:21 83:14 84:8
144:3,7 152:17	ideas 107:19	175:7 187:23	inadequately 44:3	84:12
157:22 169:24	identical 51:15	illnesses 125:21	inappropriate	indifferent 97:2,3
170:22 175:22,23	145:7,10	image 50:8 66:22	158:9,10	indirect 174:12
176:22 173:22,23	identification 7:10	90:16,17 175:4,5	incidence 38:4	individual 14:10
185:10 188:3	7:11,12 38:16	178:16 180:22	49:22,25 50:2	27:18,21 45:20
hormones 51:1	43:22,23 45:13	imagine 66:4	65:15 175:7 176:8	61:2 64:18 89:17
63:5 80:6,6	46:14 70:3 77:10	149:16 159:2	189:10	90:8 145:16
138:25	89:8 102:23	191:9	incident 178:12	146:10 147:18,19
Horrible 160:20	134:14 150:12	imaging 109:13,13	include 83:9 165:2	155:3 158:2
hospital 14:2 97:11	176:11	110:12	includes 21:7	170:12 171:25
190:21	identified 10:11	immediately	including 18:19	184:22
hospitals 12:21	11:11 186:15	183:16	51:1,1 55:17 71:9	individualized
hour 102:20	<b>identifies</b> 38:14,15	immense 23:22	76:7,18 77:14	191:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

individuals 43:17	197:16	isolation 67:2	197:20 198:4	kinds 21:8 38:23
57:6 60:18 78:3	interesting 27:13	issue 14:20 28:6	junior 8:22	123:7 129:15
78:15,21,24,25	27:23 43:6 66:7	30:21 33:5 52:7	Justice 3:14 32:9	Klag 78:2 79:22
79:2,10,11 80:14	72:4 77:4 81:1	52:15 56:6,7 61:4	justification 166:8	knew 10:23 61:15
80:17 85:19 128:4	90:13 100:1 101:6	82:8 83:24,24,25	justified 156:19	61:15 96:23 97:14
143:17,20 154:12	102:7,11 108:5	84:2,2 98:11		150:5 177:1 183:3
157:4 165:6	145:23	113:21 150:3	K	189:25
171:20,24 175:1	interests 21:18	169:4 173:1	keep 23:20 77:2	KNIGHT 3:5 4:4
individual's 48:6	interim 81:4	192:14 194:7	79:3 83:25 123:24	5:12,20,25 7:7,13
ineffective 98:5,15	intern 8:23	195:17,21,22	147:24 157:20	20:5 25:24 35:8
98:17,18	internal 15:17 60:6	issues 9:17 10:11	159:9	45:17 54:7,13
inexcusable 88:10	internist 57:19	11:2,3 18:23	Kenneth 112:21	55:1 56:19,23,24
infectious 9:5,21	79:22	20:15,25 44:25	kept 152:18	73:17 74:10 75:17
inference 21:19	internship 8:17,20	83:23,23 85:7,8,9	key 122:1 168:14	76:5 87:15 102:15
inferences 132:11	9:22	85:10 95:3 103:25	keynote 33:19	102:19,24 105:24
inflammatory	interpret 136:7	104:4 117:11	<b>kid</b> 104:7 157:7	117:13,17,19,23
180:14	interpreting 161:5	134:13 135:15	kidney 172:13,16	124:12 134:15
influence 25:13	interrupt 124:20	173:19 190:5	173:8,25 174:5,8	148:1,4 150:13
information 81:3	138:2	193:2	174:8,10,18,19	165:11 171:3,5
188:7	intervenes 168:16	I-N-D-E-X 4:1	kids 11:22 19:17	176:15 185:14,18
inherent 111:12	intervention 47:9		36:19 47:24	189:16 195:24
inhibitors 40:17	47:10,11	J	kill 53:10,23,24,25	196:1
initialed 196:15	interventions 47:8	<b>Jehovah's</b> 191:24	194:16	know 10:22 17:19
injury 27:20	66:9 114:4,20	<b>Jim</b> 102:13 176:12	KILPATRICK	18:24 19:6 26:6,9
ink 196:15	140:4,10,22	jknight@aclu-il	3:15 20:2 25:22	26:16 27:19 28:3
<b>input</b> 52:14 56:17	interview 145:23	3:9	54:5,12,14 56:13	28:8,10,12,22
insincere 152:7	145:25	<b>Job</b> 1:25	56:21 73:11 74:8	29:6,17 31:10,11
insistent 43:22	interviewed 156:14	<b>Joe</b> 144:21	75:13,20 87:14	33:8,11,23 34:1
138:10	invented 101:2	<b>John</b> 3:5 100:25	102:13,17,21	34:11,25 42:20
instance 112:16	investigations	101:1	105:21 117:15	43:2,8 44:1,13
insufficient 72:15	113:8	<b>Johns</b> 4:14 15:21	147:22 165:7	45:1 47:13 48:23
80:24 82:15	investigators	16:1,8,19 17:5,12	171:1 176:12	52:21,24 53:10,11
<b>insurance</b> 72:20,23	177:12 193:4,6	24:12 60:20 70:12	185:16 195:25	53:22 54:24 55:20
94:13,18 158:12	involve 30:10,16,25	71:4,16 78:3	kilpatricksc@do	58:3 59:3 60:2
158:15 165:4,12	127:13 128:14	140:10 185:2	3:19	63:1,2,17 64:7
165:20 190:21	involved 30:18	joke 125:20 185:1	kind 8:25 9:17	65:7,8,9 66:5,6
<b>intended</b> 141:16,17	32:12 59:4,5	journal 13:9 60:21	11:23 12:11 13:1	67:4 69:1,3 70:14
interaction 9:10	61:18,18 80:3	134:23,24 141:18	18:19 19:12 34:25	72:22 73:14,16,18
interacts 40:19	94:2 163:17	journals 66:8,10	56:11 58:23 59:1	73:20 74:15,24
interest 112:9	173:17	72:5,6 97:19	59:17 66:13 67:7	75:3,7,15,22,22
142:2	involvement 57:5	135:1	80:22 81:15	75:22 76:20 77:7
interested 9:2,10	involves 55:3	Judeo-Christian	118:16 119:7	77:20 78:4,4 79:2
9:11 15:11 20:23	involving 28:15	135:14	120:21 128:24	79:5,14,15,17,21
21:2,4,20 54:24	31:1,14 73:23	judgment 74:23	134:6,12 135:2,24	79:21 80:18 81:6
59:23,25 61:14	74:5 127:12	84:20,21,22	154:10 156:9	82:18,23 83:5,6
94:1 112:10	in-depth 10:19	judicial 166:9	160:3 190:19	83:25 85:20 86:7
140:14 185:3	irritated 164:20	<b>June</b> 1:15 2:5 5:4	195:7	86:23,24 89:9
	·			

92:7 93:17,25	knows 62:1 170:18	67:23 69:10 77:14	23:11,20,22,24	40:11 42:12 43:6
94:1,11 95:3,12	<b>Kuhn</b> 141:6 142:23	82:6 84:9,11	25:18 26:1 33:2	46:24 47:3 51:20
95:15 96:24 98:4		85:11 87:23 93:19	46:23 62:8 99:24	55:13 66:2 87:7
101:10 104:2,25	L	101:13 117:17	100:11 126:6	88:20 91:21 93:12
105:16,17,25	La 2:3	124:24 128:20	136:24 141:13	97:20 111:22
106:5,8,14,15,25	label 183:25	129:9 139:15	167:2 168:5	118:7 123:13
107:8,13,21 109:5	lack 40:4 103:17	146:3,25 157:25	183:23 184:4,7	127:18 129:9
109:6,24 112:5	lacks 105:21 165:7	170:20,20 185:9	litigation 22:6	131:14 133:17,19
113:2 114:16,17	<b>Laguna</b> 1:14 2:4	185:11,14	23:17	139:15 145:7
115:1,14,17	5:4	level 82:20,22	little 8:6 10:21 11:4	150:16 156:22,25
116:10 117:3	language 180:14	133:13 143:19,24	11:4 16:4 19:15	166:25 169:4
119:10 120:10,19	large 12:1 16:22	144:13 176:4	29:10 34:22,23	177:2 186:20
121:1,9,20,21,22	54:21 129:8	185:1 191:2	35:15 36:21,22	187:24 192:5
122:3,4,9 123:2,4	138:16	levels 45:23	42:10 45:1 47:23	looked 41:2 89:3
126:15 131:1,15	largely 113:8	<b>LGBT</b> 4:15 166:10	51:14,15,18 52:2	122:16 175:11
132:19 133:12,16	larger 106:15	liberal 56:6	52:3,3 64:11	191:1
133:25 134:1,3	largest 97:10,11	license 12:8,11,16	79:19 84:24	looking 26:1 55:4,4
135:21 137:9,21	law 28:15 32:3	12:16,17	102:16 121:3	61:12,13 64:3
139:6 144:14	116:16 154:2	licensed 7:5 8:19	123:25 126:16	95:14 109:9 110:5
146:15 147:3,8,9	Lawrence 1:13 2:2	154:4	127:10 137:20,20	112:5 140:3
147:15 148:9	4:3,8,11 5:7,18,18	life 40:24 51:3 53:6	152:7 160:15	176:18
149:7,24,24	196:3,12,23 198:5	53:11,18 57:20	168:7,7,7,12,12	looks 91:21 109:12
152:19,20,21	198:21	91:19 101:9	168:15 169:10,12	111:7 146:1,18
153:4,4 154:15,24	lawsuit 75:14	109:25 112:16	170:11,13,15,17	147:14 158:18
155:20,25 156:19	Leaches 56:18	131:10 143:13	170:19,21 183:6	Los 31:18
157:1,6,20 158:14	lead 31:16 82:24	158:20,23 172:2	<b>live</b> 106:19 107:1	lose 160:14 163:4
159:11,15,18	160:23,25	172:20,22 173:3,7	167:17	losing 158:17
161:6,20,25,25	leader 66:11	173:12,20,21	liver 173:18	lost 26:12 33:13
164:5 165:20,23	113:21	174:21 175:1	lives 107:1 166:10	lot 22:1 26:11
165:24,25 166:4	leading 50:10	178:17,20 186:19	living 152:24,25	40:22 51:23 60:11
167:17 168:8	leads 193:10	194:4	loaded 156:8	73:21 76:10 79:14
169:10 171:22	learn 37:5	lifetime 137:1	<b>London</b> 6:16 8:2,8	80:18 93:9 95:22
173:15 174:23,23	leave 95:14 97:24	<b>lifts</b> 38:2 180:21	long 43:4 47:20	96:10 101:22
178:17 182:2,7,7	103:7	light 60:23	75:25 80:3 82:21	108:17 113:14
182:7,8 183:8	lectures 182:17 left 195:15	liked 70:24	102:6 174:20	114:23 115:22
184:12,16 186:1		limitations 21:19	longer 35:19 38:11	120:11 150:2
186:18 187:15,15	legal 27:14 73:12 74:14	142:12	79:1 102:16,17	173:15 190:17
192:2,4,23,24	legislation 166:9	limited 9:25 10:21	149:7 150:5,7	lots 43:2
193:10,13 195:4,8	length 63:7	172:6	long-standing	Louis 108:21
knowing 46:17	Leslie 5:22	line 5:22 15:5 92:12	49:18 62:25 63:20	love 54:16 147:9
153:19	letter 71:3 78:1	92:14 109:1 198:6	64:23	169:4 173:20
<b>knowledge</b> 58:17	166:1,6 167:12	list 30:5,13,14	long-term 43:20,21	low 143:19
84:19 116:7	195:11	152:9 164:25	44:8 46:14 47:18	lower 54:10,13
knowledgeable	let's 22:16 35:25	165:2 176:19	50:14 64:13 66:16	95:20 119:12
26:22 86:9	36:21 38:14 45:9	listed 20:21 31:6,10	74:25 77:10 79:15	143:11
<b>known</b> 10:12 108:3 192:3	54:1 56:7 65:12	lists 99:12 literature 13:6	80:7 138:10 look 24:13 30:3,23	lowered 82:19 lowering 83:3
174.3	2 2 0.7 00.12	nicialule 13.0	100K 24.13 30.3,23	lowering ob.5

lowers 54:3	married 152:22	99:13,14,22	21:9 27:1,6 35:11	men 50:23 75:1
lunch 102:14	masculine 51:13,14	102:19 104:21	36:9,11 37:8,9	101:4,7
Lyketsos 24:8	52:4 77:9	112:8 114:15	38:23 53:1,6 55:2	menopausal 83:10
	masculinity 87:5	115:25 118:5	56:11 64:18 73:2	83:13
M	master's 60:5 185:1	119:2 124:20,22	74:13 76:6 78:19	mental 9:9,17 18:7
machine 197:7	match 92:9 93:3	125:10,17,18	80:12,13,22 82:8	37:9 125:21
mad 168:9	material 165:1	127:9 132:20	83:23,25 84:22	136:23 137:8
Madison 3:18	matter 7:18 37:21	133:23 134:4	87:10,16 93:24	167:25 175:6
<b>Main</b> 3:16	40:9 47:25 64:10	137:17,22 138:2	94:12 99:23 151:2	184:23
mainstream 151:1	109:18 110:6	141:15 142:9	154:3,4 156:10,20	mentally 125:16
<b>major</b> 9:4 36:1	124:1 133:18	144:11 146:16	157:17 159:21	134:8
80:12 156:16	179:19 192:22	147:3 148:9 149:8	161:7 166:8	mention 152:21
185:7	Mayer 1:13 2:2 4:3	154:20,24 155:9	174:10 180:9	mentioned 58:7
majority 16:21	4:9,12 5:7,13,18	156:1 157:1	192:22	116:24 122:18
167:5 172:22	5:19 6:2 7:14	158:25 159:9,12	medically 62:24	mentions 176:17
making 93:8 123:3	56:25 78:1 102:25	159:25 171:24	63:16,19 64:1,2	mentor 110:13
154:10 156:3	150:14 185:19	176:25 177:22,25	64:22 65:5 72:11	met 105:2 132:25
160:24 161:1,2	196:3,12,23 198:5	178:2,3 179:8,17	74:19 85:18 86:5	152:4 182:17
174:17	198:21	180:4 192:14,16	88:2 93:22 94:10	methodologist
male 11:11,12	Mayer/McHugh	194:14	94:16 151:3	21:15
45:21 48:15 69:15	26:3 60:2	meaning 40:23	153:23,24 177:23	methodology 21:12
77:6 91:22 92:6	<b>MB</b> 6:19 7:1 8:2	67:24 176:5 179:9	177:24	62:18 110:13
92:15,16,24	McHugh 24:1,4,4	means 33:12 34:2	Medicare 99:7	111:19 112:3
109:17,19 119:22	24:10,15,15,21	34:12 35:1 60:24	148:21	methods 9:7
119:23 120:1,2,9	25:20 61:19 85:12	72:1 76:21 77:5	medication 82:2	metric 161:25
126:24 183:1	90:14 108:24	88:1,2,16 94:11	84:11,15,17 93:15	<b>Meyer</b> 141:2
males 109:17,20	115:25 116:19,19	94:13 112:14	179:14	Meyer/Reter
male-to-female	133:21,22 134:19	122:25 123:7	medications 46:20	142:11
50:13 57:21 58:3	161:19 189:25	124:23 127:22	82:14 84:13 89:25	Michael 79:22
69:11,13 86:17	McHugh's 133:17	130:2,3 144:17	<b>medicine</b> 6:20,23	Michelle 104:22,25
89:4	134:2	151:11 168:8	7:5,6 8:19 9:25	Michigan 3:6
man 24:25,25	<b>MD</b> 6:17,18,19,21	172:11 189:10	12:12,13 15:18,24	microstructure
38:16 40:7 69:14	6:22,23,25 7:2,3	meant 10:23 53:19	18:2,9 44:7,25	109:18
69:15,16 100:25	8:18	82:21	55:20 60:7 66:23	<b>middle</b> 56:7 133:18
118:19,20 158:18	<b>MD/Ph.D</b> 14:24	mean-spirited	medicines 11:25	190:10
173:17	mean 10:15,25 11:5	25:17	65:22 83:4	mid-age 11:23,23
<b>manic</b> 90:1	17:14 18:13,20,24	measure 53:11	Medicine's 4:15	mid-life 50:25
manipulated 54:18	22:19 27:9 28:3	109:25 123:9,19	meet 56:7	mill 182:16
map 142:8	30:20,22 32:19,20	125:4 172:19,22	meets 151:9	millions 155:13
marginal 122:4	34:6 42:8 46:11	178:10	<b>member</b> 39:15	mills 184:8
mark 7:8 176:13	50:2 55:6 59:10	measured 86:16	105:9 194:15	mind 47:11 57:24
marked 7:10,11,12	61:8 62:16 65:19	100:21	members 46:15	82:15 111:8
7:14 102:23,25	68:4 69:1,3,4 70:9	measures 172:15	47:3 106:6,13,15	135:23 148:11
134:14,16 150:12	72:2 79:12,19	Medicaid 99:7	memo 99:7 148:20	169:15 171:1
176:11	81:18 84:1 87:20	148:21	148:23 149:6,8	minded 182:15
marker 82:11	87:21 88:15 92:2	<b>medical</b> 6:6,12,16	memory 17:1,19	mine 25:5
marriage 31:3	92:18 94:2,14	13:24 19:11 20:25	116:25 181:20	minimal 98:23
	I	l	I	I

112017				l
116:8 157:8	moved 86:15	93:12 96:21 118:7	newspaper 141:22	objection 20:2
minority 41:21	moves 48:16	124:16 127:5,10	142:10	54:12,14 56:13
minute 108:14	mud-fuds 14:24	129:5 130:5	nice 127:9	73:11 74:8 75:13
minutes 56:20	multiple 112:1,1	131:12 132:4	<b>Niguel</b> 1:14 5:4	75:20 105:21
102:18 117:15	multi-site 130:17	133:7,12 147:21	noise 143:25	147:22 165:7
156:15	<b>Murad</b> 141:11	151:21 152:7	nomenclature	objectionable
mischaracterizes	143:7 175:13,20	183:19 187:21,21	33:13	75:24
56:13 74:9 75:14	176:6	188:19 194:4	nonconforming	observational
misperception	<b>myth</b> 97:19	needed 37:5	36:25	21:19
162:12,22,23	<b>M-A-Y-E-R</b> 5:19	needs 37:20 39:5	nondisorder	observer 156:9
misperceptions		73:2 82:12 89:6	151:24	obvious 50:16
162:19,20	N	132:21 151:17	nonfinancial 117:1	obviously 62:1
missing 52:20 77:1	name 5:16 29:6	190:16	117:8	103:24 108:10
118:11 141:9	58:12 109:6	negative 87:24	nonheterosexual	144:19
146:21 173:4	116:15 186:8	191:8,10	136:22 137:7	<b>OB/GYN</b> 55:16
Mississippi 97:11	197:19	neither 197:15	nonpsychiatry	occur 112:19
misspoke 69:24	named 5:15 100:25	neuroimmunology	15:23	170:24 172:24
mistakes 40:22	names 149:5 167:3	60:5	Nonsense 195:1	occurring 82:23
98:1 168:4	narrow 11:13,14	neuroplasticity	nontransgender	<b>offend</b> 51:17
misunderstand	34:15	106:21 107:6	145:19 146:13	offensive 26:13
185:25	nasal 131:25	109:15 110:9,24	noon 102:13	offer 22:25 46:20
misunderstood	natal 43:18 45:20	111:2 112:14	normal 39:7 90:7	122:21 128:21
69:2	68:24 69:2,3,5,9	neuropsychiatric	<b>Norman</b> 30:19	165:24
mixed 82:4 156:11	92:6,7	16:13	<b>North</b> 3:6 28:13,16	offered 124:17
modalities 65:18	naturalistic 75:4	neutral 179:13	29:4 30:15	offering 156:4
131:20	naturally 82:23	never 7:6 9:23	<b>Notary</b> 198:25	offers 37:2
models 21:3,4	nature 92:20 168:2	12:13,16,19 13:12	note 72:4	officer 8:22 94:3
23:13	NCD 4:20	22:4,24 26:13	<b>noted</b> 196:15	oftentimes 14:4
mom 48:16 86:15	necessarily 31:9	32:16 36:12 41:9	<b>notice</b> 14:19 46:23	44:25
182:25	46:9 54:16	43:19 59:14 67:10	48:8,23 142:6	oh 7:25 15:8 52:24
money 45:10,10	necessary 62:24	67:13 80:16 85:4	<b>notion</b> 120:7 172:6	55:7 61:6 115:19
64:9 79:14 96:21	63:16,19 64:2,2	88:4 97:20,24	<b>Notre</b> 116:16	150:23 153:2
123:3 144:3,6	64:22 65:6 72:11	105:2 111:8 112:2	noun 20:4	160:20 175:17
169:4 184:8	74:20 77:3 85:18	128:15,15,16	novocaine 30:24	<b>Ohio</b> 6:8
mongoose 34:10	86:5 93:23 94:10	132:25 135:12,16	<b>number</b> 9:25 12:1	okay 19:5 28:20
monograph 26:3	94:16 148:25	135:20,23 151:16	12:3 20:20 43:11	29:7 51:19 56:23
136:4,5	149:13 151:3	153:9,12,12	50:4 54:22 101:17	65:4 69:7 74:16
months 44:12	153:23,24 155:3	158:14 163:11	138:16 165:3,12	87:9 102:15
123:20 160:19,19	177:23,24	164:2 182:17	166:4 176:13	104:13 109:22
moral 48:22 135:14	necessity 37:9	189:23,24 195:12	numbers 54:18	117:15 128:19
159:23,24,25	64:18 80:13 93:24	195:13		139:16,18 141:13
morning 5:24	94:13	new 4:17 19:23	0	150:21 151:10
185:8	neck 195:10	70:16 71:6 111:4	oath 5:8 117:21	165:19 176:14
mortality 13:23	need 36:9,11 39:8	134:19 150:23	object 25:22 170:17	185:16 187:6
motto 135:21,22	40:25 44:8 50:11	151:9 166:3	objected 109:3	196:1
mouth 76:23	72:24 76:24,25	175:13,16 176:13	objecting 107:14	<b>old</b> 10:16 44:12
153:13	77:7,10 78:21,25	186:8 198:2,2	170:14	50:25 91:10,11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

,				I
159:11 160:17	39:15 43:22 46:15	99:6 103:5 104:22	participating 70:13	74:12 84:23 85:21
182:19,19	47:3 89:8 104:2	107:24 109:7,10	particular 14:5	86:24 110:6 114:5
<b>older</b> 138:15	111:22 112:15	139:17 140:3,21	60:25 76:11 77:11	115:11 122:8
170:10	138:12 186:25	141:7 150:20,21	81:14 103:22	142:23 143:3,4
olds 104:2	optimal 88:13,15	151:7,8 176:19	118:7 122:6	147:4 148:8 152:5
once 133:3 178:6	88:16,21,21,22	198:6	126:24 131:24	153:3,5,8 163:10
195:21	89:11 90:12	pages 99:12,12	164:8 166:6	173:6,9,15,22
ones 21:14 28:2	optimality 88:14	103:16 112:21	168:16	180:18 182:16
102:9 109:2 167:4	100:7	139:4,19 165:1	particularly 42:7	183:5,21 189:5
one-to-one 183:22	<b>option</b> 123:18	paid 116:2,10	64:14 81:18 89:2	190:14 193:14
ongoing 84:6,18,20	151:11	169:2	108:22 114:5	194:2,3
open 150:3 153:12	<b>options</b> 122:22	panacea 133:16	144:3 173:22	<b>Patricia</b> 1:24 2:6
182:15 188:22,23	order 7:9 36:15	paper 21:6 22:10	182:2,21 184:17	78:4 197:24
188:24,25 189:1,2	72:6 101:4	22:12 24:9,11,12	parts 108:15	patterns 111:23,24
open-ended 73:20	organization 41:15	25:1,2 26:2 38:5	party 197:17	158:24
operate 40:18	101:25 194:17	43:13 60:4,5	pass 47:2 88:20	<b>Paul</b> 24:1,9,15,20
opine 153:23	organizations	61:14 70:24,25	89:4 118:22	61:19 79:21 85:12
opining 171:7	80:18 151:2	104:22 136:1	passed 28:15 31:17	90:14 108:24
opinion 67:12	orientation 136:14	139:5 140:19	193:20	115:25 116:19,19
81:25 99:16 100:6	136:15,18 166:20	166:3 169:25,25	passionate 26:24	133:17,21,22,23
108:11 109:2	origin 107:21	170:1,3 175:14	passive 96:7 124:21	133:24 134:2
113:9,19,23 114:7	original 62:13	papers 21:8,10	path 170:21,24	161:19 164:4
114:22 128:1	150:23,24 197:12	25:4,6 57:17 60:1	<b>patient</b> 11:1 12:9	189:25
142:3 154:3	orthopedic 184:17	62:17,19 63:23	13:23 14:8,10,12	<b>Paxil</b> 131:24
157:17 166:19	other's 152:22	67:5 72:4 99:13	14:15 26:25 27:7	pay 45:11 73:5
167:4 177:16	ought 43:14 94:9	100:12 141:14	44:22 46:2,3	94:13,18 95:3
178:1 191:21	155:6 180:15	167:9 188:11	52:17,18 58:13	165:17
193:1 195:2	outcome 42:11	paragraph 78:7	59:15 60:23,25	paying 73:2
opinionated 108:11	74:25 123:9,9	87:10 88:13 103:6	61:2 64:3,19	payment 116:9
194:19	174:13	150:22,23,24	85:13,13 86:10,21	pays 45:1,1,4 94:12
opinions 23:1	<b>outcomes</b> 87:23,25	parallel 125:19,19	91:20 97:13 154:6	189:6
25:13 54:8 99:3	outlook 51:3 98:10	parenthetically	155:6 158:2,3	pediatric 108:20
99:10,17,18,19	172:2 178:17	162:13	170:7 184:11	Pediatricians 105:6
103:16 133:23	<b>output</b> 110:16	parents 182:22,23	190:16 191:4,7	105:7,10 106:9
139:24 141:25	outside 33:5	182:25	193:23,25	107:23
142:1,1 148:20	outstanding 108:24	part 8:3 13:24	patients 9:14,16,18	Pediatrics 105:19
154:6,10 156:4,22	overall 12:3 78:9	16:11 20:6 21:24	9:19,25 10:1,3,3	106:11
157:18,22 167:12	119:3 143:12	28:19 36:2 37:15	10:18 11:1,19	peer 135:1
167:14,16 172:5	144:25 145:2	38:11 39:7 40:7,7	13:7,11,20,22	peer-reviewed
opinion-driven	overlap 30:14	61:1,2 74:14,14	14:13,14,16,18	134:23,24
167:17	overwhelmingly	98:19 107:11	15:7 16:8,21	penalty 196:13
oppose 49:10,14,17	9:23 34:18 99:24	130:4,6,7 139:3	17:16 22:24 23:3	pencils 157:7
49:18,20 179:19	101:8	142:6 149:7 150:5	27:2,8,11 37:4,5	penis 169:12
opposed 71:13		153:17 169:6,8	46:4,5,7 53:9,19	penny's 110:15
171:16 179:19	P	172:24 177:17	57:12,21,23 58:1	<b>people</b> 10:15,16,20
181:15	<b>page</b> 4:7 78:6 87:10	182:15 194:17	58:2,11 60:15	11:22 14:2,3
opposite 10:18	87:14,16 88:12,13	195:20	64:22 65:6 73:9	16:12 20:1,4,8,15
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1		 	l
25:13,14 27:15	161:18 162:12	43:21 46:14 47:19	Pittsburgh 173:13	politically 81:19
28:25 29:25 30:11	163:4,17,19,20	89:8 138:11	<b>place</b> 136:25 167:5	politicized 194:7
30:17,18,19 31:2	164:23 165:14,22	person 39:1 40:11	197:4	<b>poor</b> 137:12 157:10
31:20 32:3,10,20	166:11 167:23	43:20 45:23 47:15	<b>placebo</b> 40:18,19	163:20
35:24 36:8,11	168:9 172:23	51:9 62:3 69:19	placebos 182:3,3	<b>Pope</b> 100:25
37:11,16 39:18	174:18 175:3	79:21 88:5 106:18	Plaintiff 2:3	popular 142:8
41:2,4,25 42:9	176:6 177:14	145:6,19 146:13	plaintiffs 1:5 3:3	population 55:8
48:20,21,23,25	178:13,14,19	151:17,18 155:5	4:7 5:14	56:8 76:2 144:23
49:3 50:5,17,20	179:12,18,23	156:11 170:9,10	<b>plastic</b> 26:10,17	164:2
50:23 51:2 52:9	180:5,12,12,16,22	180:7,8 184:5	42:9 43:3 46:24	<b>porn</b> 31:14,17
52:12,23 53:6,13	181:1,14 182:5,12	personal 168:2	49:23 61:25 66:8	<b>portion</b> 139:7,8,23
54:10,19,21,22	183:13 184:14	personally 26:6	66:21 73:3 89:2	<b>position</b> 77:18,21
56:6,6,8 62:22	185:4,5 186:5,16	144:10	95:5 97:5 106:25	107:5 108:3
63:5,5,10 64:8,14	186:21 187:25	persons 38:23	107:11,12 111:3	110:23 136:14,21
66:1,19 68:11,22	188:10,24 189:19	136:22 137:7,14	132:25 133:1	137:4,6,14 138:25
70:1 71:1,14,15	189:19 190:8,11	187:14	144:15,20 164:10	180:1 186:10
71:22 72:7,11,17	190:14 191:22,24	person's 107:5	164:15,16 172:1	190:5 195:18
73:4 74:20,22	192:10 193:16	pertains 197:11	184:13,14,17	positions 139:9
75:11,24,25 76:22	194:14,20,21,23	petition 166:5	<b>play</b> 137:21	140:7
79:3,4 80:6,7 81:5	195:8,10,10,20	pharmacotherapy	player 21:15	<b>positive</b> 50:22 51:2
81:6 83:23 84:21	<b>people's</b> 43:4 98:10	98:5,15	pleasant 123:10	83:3 87:23 101:8
85:25 86:2,4,8	176:1 195:23	Phoenix 15:22,22	<b>please</b> 22:8 187:7,9	120:14,16,17
87:24,24 88:11	perceives 119:25	phonetic 14:24	<b>point</b> 6:21 13:10	172:2 173:6
89:7 90:5,17	120:1	<b>phony</b> 30:24	15:14 17:12 22:8	178:16 180:22
91:10,14,14 94:8	percent 40:18,19	physical 45:14	33:19 47:4 82:17	181:5,23 182:6
95:5,23 96:10,21	81:10,10 92:17	46:16 48:1,5	94:5,6 96:23	possible 48:13
97:20 98:21,24	123:19 125:5	68:15 84:2 125:24	124:16 129:11	194:9
100:23 101:11,17	127:19,21,23	physically 154:7	133:8 171:18	post-cancer 95:8
101:20 102:8	129:8 131:19	physician 12:23	174:17 183:7	potential 169:13
107:1 111:19	137:2 155:18	13:12,13 14:21	pointed 168:4	pounds 77:9
114:16 116:23	172:16 176:7	27:5 45:22 115:25	pointing 141:1	160:22 162:16
118:11 119:2,7	177:4	153:25 154:4,8	188:14	powerful 91:16
121:21 122:5,21	percentage 50:15	155:4,6,8 193:17	poke 111:19	182:3,4
123:17,21,23	126:1 176:5	195:16	polarized 194:13	practical 37:2
124:2,25 125:16	181:22	physicians 14:25	police 94:3	<b>practice</b> 6:23 7:5
125:22 126:10,12	perception 121:18	27:9 55:7 56:15	polices 104:9	8:19 12:11,17,22
126:18,24 127:1,6	perfect 17:19 132:6	56:17 79:23 105:5	<b>policies</b> 104:17,19	79:9 136:2 191:19
127:19,20 128:9	perfectly 37:19	152:6 155:7 161:4	165:13	<b>practiced</b> 7:6 12:13
131:12 132:17	41:3 127:1 142:5	191:18	<b>policy</b> 21:1 135:6	12:19
133:3,13,15,16,24	187:19,24	physician's 169:11	135:11,15,17	practices 78:14
135:24 137:12	performing 79:13	<b>Ph.D</b> 4:22 86:11	136:2,8	practitioner 184:24
141:25 142:4,12	<b>period</b> 8:5 10:10	pick 124:5 133:23	policymaking	<b>precise</b> 11:6 12:1
144:18 145:24	11:2 13:14 15:20	picked 71:1	166:9	12:24 20:12 28:18
149:10,16 151:21	16:1,7 17:24 18:5	picks 34:21,22	political 41:14	40:25 148:11,18
152:16 155:1	<b>perjury</b> 196:13	<b>piece</b> 173:4,4 178:1	43:15 150:8	predates 155:24
159:2,22 160:6,8	permission 32:2	<b>pill</b> 65:19	180:11 189:18,21	predators 163:19
160:24,25 161:2,5	persistent 38:16	<b>pint</b> 6:12	193:9,15 195:19	predict 112:9
		l	I	I

nnogner ev. 40.17	nuchable 14.14	nmognoma 60.14	47,7 0 0 11 66.0	122,14 124,10 22
pregnancy 48:17	probably 14:14	programs 68:14	47:7,8,9,11 66:9	133:14 134:18,23
160:19,21,22	19:20 22:3 26:17	project 25:19 110:4	66:10,12,12 72:6	166:5 167:6
pregnant 48:16	27:20,22 32:25	projects 23:16,16	72:7,8 74:17 75:8	177:15
131:24	45:15 52:24 72:18	pronouncements	83:24 91:1 121:3	publishing 187:16
preliminary 131:17	74:22 81:16 94:23	26:20 93:18	123:8 132:17	purpose 32:4
131:18 133:12	100:11,12,13	propagandizes	psychiatrics 121:20	purposes 159:14
premed 6:5	101:17 125:20	182:21	psychiatrist 47:12	<b>push</b> 64:11
prepared 22:10	132:14,22 169:17	proper 45:24	57:19 58:24 61:20	put 31:11 44:11,11
prescriptive 136:9	<b>problem</b> 19:13,19	properly 26:16	85:12 119:14	77:14 90:15 97:19
present 60:25	33:19 38:19 44:24	165:2	130:16 132:14,16	109:4 120:12
162:2	53:20,25 78:23	proposition 31:16	190:3	125:18 164:3
presentation 16:14	94:22 125:23	propositions 168:6	psychiatrists 24:21	182:20 183:16
16:16,17	126:20 145:2	179:22	66:11 108:24	putting 103:25
presentations	151:12 160:12,13	proscriptive 136:9	122:3	170:17
20:14,18	172:15 179:5	prosecuted 32:5	psychiatry 9:3	<b>Pylori</b> 167:20
presented 16:8	193:15	<b>protein</b> 168:16	12:19 15:13,17,19	<b>P-H</b> 5:19
17:11	problems 136:23	protest 105:18	15:22 16:22 24:7	<b>p.m</b> 2:5 196:3
presenting 9:17	137:8 178:20,21	<b>proud</b> 136:9	24:7 60:6 71:23	<b>P.O</b> 3:17
27:9,10 60:24	193:8	<b>prove</b> 168:1	89:22,25 90:21	0
99:16	procedure 22:7,20	proven 68:8	129:24 148:12	
president 71:9	72:24 85:7 88:1	<b>provide</b> 12:8 15:8	178:18 182:3	quackery 163:18
105:4 108:3	88:16 97:17	79:11 81:13 83:22	psychoanalysis	qualifications
195:11	101:12 170:6	84:15,17 85:1,5	10:20	157:17
prestige 191:21	174:11,13	153:7 160:3	psychological 17:2	qualified 12:14
pretender 25:15	procedures 22:16	169:20 185:23	51:3 134:20	130:23 131:4
pretenders 134:5	47:6 55:16 75:7	provided 29:24	143:10 154:6	153:17,19
<b>pretty</b> 9:9 11:22	81:8 94:5 189:1	31:22 43:25 44:23	psychologist 26:19	qualifies 6:23
prevailing 166:19	proceedings 197:3	44:24 45:9 46:1,8	153:25 154:5,17	quality 143:13
prevalence 38:4	197:5,7,13	55:3 56:12 59:18	155:10 185:1	158:20,22 172:19
65:16 175:8	process 35:23	69:19 72:21 80:23	psychology 6:2,5	172:22 173:3,12
189:10	124:4 140:13,14	81:23 85:6 95:10	86:11	173:20,21 174:21
prevent 54:3,9,9	150:5,7 168:11	111:11 118:16	<b>puberty</b> 44:11 60:3	175:1
previous 20:6	produce 110:15	153:15 159:5	170:22	<b>question</b> 19:2,9
primarily 9:4,19	182:6	163:9 173:9,18	<b>public</b> 17:8,22 18:1	20:6 27:3,13
10:1 15:16 16:12	producing 24:10	174:6 184:22	18:8 51:4 53:20	33:20,21,22 35:2
16:19 20:23 21:2	professional 7:22	191:13	71:8 135:6,11,15	35:3 37:1 40:21
89:1	7:24 154:4 189:20	providing 14:17	198:25	42:3 44:2 45:5,18
<b>primary</b> 22:4 46:23	professor 19:13	15:6 58:23 59:1,2	publication 70:16	49:15,16 50:20
85:8	110:22 153:18	71:17 73:8,24	71:6 99:4 139:20	51:11 55:9 57:2
primitive 11:25	190:5 191:20	78:8,20 117:5	183:21 187:17	59:8 63:15,17,18
126:23	195:13	<b>provision</b> 78:14,23	publications 20:20	64:16 65:3 66:25
Princeton 110:14	professors 167:2	79:3,9	65:25 80:4	67:6,12,14,22
principle 99:20	profound 11:5	provisions 79:6	publish 22:10	73:6,20 81:1,9
193:12,13	prognosis 32:21	prowess 101:5	80:19 186:5	84:16 86:20 92:11
<b>prior</b> 97:1,2 177:17	program 16:16	<b>psyche</b> 85:10	published 19:23	108:5 120:10
179:9 180:2 197:5	18:22 19:16,18	psychiatric 9:7,8	20:7,10 22:22	122:16 129:23
<b>private</b> 165:4,12	78:9	9:19 10:1 18:7,8	26:3 72:5 113:6	130:19 132:19
	l	l	l	l

133:3 147:10,15	60:22 73:19,21,21	175:21	174:15	159:20,24 160:4
147:16,25 148:2,3	75:23,24 77:21	recall 10:13,25	reducing 77:23	193:13
148:5,6,7,16	78:18 100:13	11:1,19 17:10	<b>REF</b> 198:5	rely 141:21
159:11 165:10,21	126:5 129:25	136:3 166:17	refer 149:25	relying 103:15
173:24 178:4,5,19	134:4 149:7 157:2	receive 6:10,12,21	reference 109:6	140:6 141:10
180:15 181:10	164:2,5 168:5	6:24 116:5	139:19 164:3,25	remember 11:16,17
questions 22:17	180:3 187:10	received 6:22,22	165:2 175:12	11:24 16:10,15,17
59:6 103:7 118:14	192:17,18,19	7:1 8:2	176:19	17:17,20 28:20
188:6 195:25	196:13	receiving 49:14,19	references 100:10	30:1,19 61:10
quickly 30:23	reading 62:8,10,19	Recess 56:22	122:14 164:18,19	64:24 74:23 76:11
<b>quit</b> 155:16	78:10 87:13 103:9	117:18 124:11	164:21,23 169:7	76:12 97:22
quite 6:20 8:21	151:5 175:18,20	150:11 171:4	referring 41:23	106:22 107:9
21:10 24:9 25:17	reads 61:21	185:17	94:12	111:8 127:20
31:12,15 32:11	real 21:1 38:19	recognize 113:24	reflect 13:8	164:9,10 166:15
43:7 76:4 108:9	52:9,12 145:4	recognized 35:10	Reflecting 78:13	166:15 170:4
108:11 115:19	147:10 168:14	recognizes 36:7	reflects 157:10	176:6,25 177:3
136:19 140:20	<b>really</b> 9:11 11:8	72:9 80:13	regard 120:14,25	remind 158:23
144:25 169:17	21:14 22:13 27:17	recommend 47:12	regarding 20:7	Reminds 95:22
170:5 173:11	28:3 33:4 36:10	recommendation	23:1,4,6,21,25,25	remove 151:14
<b>quote</b> 134:8	36:20 44:18 45:12	80:16 86:12	60:18 103:17	removed 93:21
	46:16 54:17 75:5	153:12	regardless 151:8	159:8 182:11
<u>R</u>	77:7 79:15 82:9	recommendations	regularly 14:16	<b>renal</b> 174:9
radio 101:2	82:10,24 86:20	83:18,19,20	regurgitating	rendering 157:17
raised 170:19,20	95:3 97:21 109:3	recommended	141:24	repeat 33:21 45:5
raising 138:8	112:3 113:18	40:22	rejuvenated 101:5	74:4 165:10 187:8
Rametti 109:12	114:16 119:10	reconfirming 146:7	relate 159:21	187:9
ramifications	123:5 143:24	reconstructing	related 18:11 20:15	repeated 187:7
55:22	168:14 169:9	146:7	23:9 29:24 32:23	repeatedly 112:17
ran 21:25 97:10	180:15,16,20	reconstruction	57:3 61:5 73:15	replacement 83:10
randomize 50:17	182:23 186:7	50:7 93:22 94:19	95:9 116:5 161:18	83:12
randomized 102:10	192:9 194:18	94:22 95:7,17	relates 39:20	report 4:8,17,21
124:6	reason 22:18 26:18	reconstructive 45:3	relating 28:25	7:17,18 30:5
range 144:9	45:8,16 72:16	46:24 94:6	relationship 13:11	42:17 71:5 87:7
rare 138:23 148:17	80:11 85:15 97:6	record 5:17,21 45:7	13:15,15 23:13	99:1,17 134:18
rate 44:15 51:4	106:2 115:1 120:3	56:23 117:17,19	153:1	136:10 139:4
53:21,21 54:11	132:24 140:19	158:8 171:2 197:6	relative 63:1	157:2 161:9
65:16 95:21	181:8 191:18	197:9	114:14 197:16	163:22 166:18
119:12 137:1	reasonable 113:17	recurrent 155:15	relatively 13:11	171:6 192:5
178:12 181:14,19	148:24 149:13	redo 159:8	relevance 58:7,9	Reported 1:23
181:20,21 192:21	reasonably 113:10	reduce 68:14 86:18	relevant 19:1	<b>Reporter</b> 2:6 35:7
rates 47:1 54:4,20	reasons 180:25	94:25 119:15,17	120:12	189:15 197:2
143:11 171:8,12	reassignment 26:12	119:17 126:22	reliability 112:11	reports 73:21
171:14 172:17	26:14 37:10 46:11	127:7 129:11	112:12	188:12 190:15
181:5	49:24 63:6 65:17	167:25 190:10	relied 139:9,11,12	report's 166:7
ratio 143:25	72:10 74:19 85:14	reduced 82:22	139:13 141:18	represent 14:5,21
read 19:19 35:20	102:9 142:15,21	175:9 181:14	religion 190:7	31:7 166:18
45:7 46:3,22,22	143:8 148:24	reduces 38:3	<b>religious</b> 159:16,19	representing
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

118:15	residency 8:16,20	reviewed 33:1	Rothman 78:2	saw 9:25 14:16
reproductive 34:9	resident 57:13	99:12 100:12	79:21	149:8,8,25,25
92:15	residents 12:21	141:14	rounded 16:22	saying 13:19 19:1
reputation 26:14	14:1,12 57:5 61:8	reviewing 25:18	17:15	25:20 35:25 37:25
191:20	resilient 119:3	62:3,17	rounding 13:4,19	38:22 39:7 54:21
requested 197:14	resistant 147:12	revolved 113:15	13:22,25 14:12	58:14 60:13 65:9
requesting 195:12	resolve 82:4 85:14	rid 39:4 183:24	15:15,16 16:7,19	68:25 69:5,18
required 64:4 73:1	130:16 133:3	187:1 190:4	17:10	70:4 72:17 73:15
86:10	respect 14:18 16:19	ridiculous 154:24	rounds 16:15,17	77:7,22 82:13,16
requires 185:10,10	26:21 34:15 38:17	163:20	153:13	82:17 85:3 89:18
research 9:12 14:5	41:20 55:3 64:17	right 6:8 11:7	row 173:18	89:21 90:22 91:25
14:6,6,21 17:8	64:18 79:18 86:13	12:20,25 16:6,6	rude 67:12	92:25 95:11
18:7 19:23 20:7	100:6 102:12	29:21 30:21 40:12	rule 111:25 138:22	100:16 107:10
20:10 21:25 22:10	104:17 108:7,21	45:6 47:11 58:6	149:10	121:4 122:11
23:16 37:8 43:16	114:22,23 121:16	64:19 70:10 78:19	rules 193:22	129:12 130:8
55:4,13 56:4	129:13 145:20	93:2 99:8 103:13	run 43:4 130:25	131:1 132:5 133:8
57:17 59:5,10,23	146:14 147:1	104:14,16 110:7	131:2,2,12 132:10	137:10 138:6
60:1,10,12,12,14	150:19 156:4,23	113:19 118:20	132:11,12 138:1	140:17 145:15,16
60:24 61:1,12,14	157:14 167:4,4	121:7 128:17	184:8	145:21,22 146:10
61:15 62:3,17	171:13 173:22	136:3,6,15,19		146:22 147:2
64:11 65:24 81:14	174:25 182:12	137:15 139:1,5	S	149:9 153:20
81:17,24 82:13,14	193:3	140:1 143:1,2,5	<b>s</b> 1:13 2:2 4:3,8,11	154:9 155:1,4
83:22 84:14,22	response 189:3	150:10 151:7	5:7 51:22 196:3	157:8 158:7,9,10
85:2 97:10 99:20	responses 145:25	153:8 154:18	196:12,23 198:5	158:11,13 159:18
99:21 107:4	rest 74:14	156:6 157:7,19,24	198:21	162:21 163:12
109:22 110:3,4	restroom 29:11	167:22 171:13,15	sack 178:7	164:14 165:17
111:4 112:4	124:9	176:6 184:25	sacks 101:4	169:19 174:2
114:12 115:18	rests 191:21	rights 195:21,22,23	safe 42:1,7,22 44:8	175:24 179:5,24
117:5 119:20	result 93:20 110:13	rigor 25:1	56:3 63:24 65:10	180:1 182:13
120:6,11,20 121:3	182:6	rigorous 121:10	65:12,20 66:14	183:9,12 184:4,9
140:17 147:15,16	results 13:5 39:21	risk 50:10 54:13	67:8,10,13,15,17	185:25 187:3
161:5,6 166:20	43:6 66:7 82:4	65:23 66:5 82:21	67:19,25 68:6,8	194:6,10
168:17 172:7	109:14 110:10,24	82:22 87:21,25	71:20,25,25 72:1	says 23:15 34:5
173:10,11,14,15	111:5,11 112:2	88:1 95:20 136:23	72:2 75:7 80:25	37:23 51:22,25
174:3 179:4 180:2	120:14,17 143:18	137:8 173:23	85:2 87:12,17,20	52:2 59:13 85:24
185:20 193:3,6,20	161:5 172:20,21	174:14,16 175:8	87:21,25 88:7	90:2 91:21 92:17
193:21 194:8	181:3,4	178:14 189:20,23	95:24 113:10	107:24 109:14
195:9	<b>Reter</b> 141:2	risks 82:5 83:15	114:13,13,15,16	113:7,22 114:2,11
researcher 59:24	reuptake 40:17	162:2	132:1 149:21	114:12,18,18
110:25 180:9	reverse 112:16	<b>RNBs</b> 97:12	151:3 172:10	142:19,20,25
182:9 189:23	162:17	road 81:9	174:4 189:9 192:7	146:13 149:11,12
researchers 46:20	review 23:11,12,24	<b>Robert</b> 30:19	safer 46:11	149:13,15 150:25
101:25 110:5	25:4,5,5 55:16	ROGER 3:4	safety 22:14 100:7	151:6,10 158:1
111:10 151:1	62:4 110:4 116:23	<b>role</b> 13:4,7 19:18	100:17 171:6,10	170:2
167:12 179:2,3,24	135:1 141:12,13	31:19 34:8 164:5	sagging 47:5	scan 60:12
185:21 189:14	168:6 169:4	<b>rotate</b> 60:20	sample 53:4 130:4	scanners 110:1
195:3,5	190:15 197:13	<b>rotated</b> 57:14 61:8	satisfaction 143:12	scanning 14:6
	I		I	l ————————————————————————————————————

	1	1	1	1
Schechter 26:5,9	112:8 124:8 141:4	self-image 50:7	194:4	120:24 129:21
65:15 85:11,11	151:11 159:10	118:10 120:14	severely 107:18	143:17 172:1,7,10
92:17 94:2 127:19	163:19 177:18	self-selection 129:7	123:22,24 124:1	174:3,18,21,24
127:25 130:14	secondary 85:8	self-surgery 53:15	sex 10:18 23:14	175:2,7 176:8,22
131:9 136:25	second-guess 77:20	selling 157:7	31:2,3 32:4 33:9	176:23 177:9
149:5,6,6,25	section 104:8,8,11	179:14	33:11,12,13,14,16	178:12 181:14
164:14,14 170:1	104:16 140:3,21	send 54:25 100:24	33:17,25 34:1,3,4	183:14 192:6
171:19	141:9	164:12 190:14	34:6,7,8,8,8,16,18	<b>showed</b> 109:18
Schechter's 46:25	see 7:25 9:21,22	sending 195:10	34:21 36:20 37:10	<b>showing</b> 7:14 30:7
scholarly 20:20	11:15 14:14,15	senior 180:7 190:3	39:15,22,22 43:18	102:25 134:16
scholars 27:14	28:3 36:19 39:6	sense 11:14,14,14	43:23 45:21 46:15	168:19,22,25
scholarship 135:25	40:11 45:8,16	13:25 22:23 36:19	47:3 48:13 49:1,4	175:25 180:21
school 6:6,16 13:24	46:2 54:17 63:5,7	71:1 85:10 88:21	51:12 52:3 69:4,5	shown 30:3
17:8,22 18:1,2,8,9	63:13 64:24 78:10	88:22 93:9 145:13	69:9,20 70:2	shows 38:2 49:22
19:12 52:1 71:8	78:16 83:24 85:7	sent 165:1 169:7	72:10 74:18 89:8	54:17 65:1 80:7
104:6 116:16	85:7,9 87:13 99:2	sentence 20:4 67:21	92:6,7,7,20 99:14	98:19 114:9
<b>Schuler</b> 1:24 2:6	102:11 103:9	67:24,25 109:13	101:9 102:8 104:3	115:12 119:11
197:24	115:11 116:8	166:12,13	111:22 112:15,19	144:4 173:21
science 33:2,4,6	122:19 126:9,11	<b>separate</b> 17:9 27:18	138:12,18 142:15	178:24,25 179:4
43:12 57:16 63:9	129:10 131:15,18	44:1 53:22 73:6	142:21 154:18,22	side 31:24 44:6,7
80:21 98:2 107:19	138:16 140:8,11	84:1 159:13	154:25 155:23,24	65:21,22,23 93:17
107:20 115:11	140:25 141:8,15	164:24	186:25	111:20 129:17
135:3 167:16,16	151:5 162:3,4	serious 42:16 43:16	sexual 11:21 32:3,3	177:15 179:18,18
168:13 179:13,14	173:5,20 180:24	50:9 89:6 99:23	32:9,10 101:5	180:12 195:23
179:20,21	181:2 183:22	102:4,5 125:8,10	110:18 136:14,15	sides 76:22 137:10
sciences 26:22	185:6 188:11,12	125:14,18 126:13	136:18 143:12	189:20
134:21 167:2	189:12 194:22	146:11 163:2,2	166:19 182:18	<b>sight</b> 160:14
scientific 23:20	seeing 9:14 13:20	175:6 178:20	191:1	signal 143:25
24:11 25:1,6 33:7	14:11 42:24 185:3	186:20	<b>sexuality</b> 4:18 71:5	<b>signed</b> 166:2,5
33:23 41:18 49:21	seeking 74:13	seriously 61:17	99:4 134:19	167:12
62:4,7,8 70:25	seen 11:2,20 14:13	70:1 95:13 125:16	166:18	significance 143:19
81:17 114:12,24	41:9,11 52:13	127:7,14 128:3,14		significant 53:20
115:18,20 121:10	53:9 63:23 71:3	128:15,16,21	178:8	77:23 112:11
122:15 166:8	76:10 78:1 80:16	seriousness 53:17	shame 43:15	125:21 143:21
scientist 26:15	98:7,12 103:3	serotonin 40:17	SHANNON 1:4	145:17 178:13
34:12,17 81:2	122:14 129:7	service 8:15,24	share 131:17	significantly 65:17
108:7 115:10,21	144:8,15 150:14	10:6 12:15 17:16	SHEET 198:1	94:25 181:1,19
115:22,24 117:10	150:14 157:11	17:16 148:25	shifted 18:1	silicone 22:5 95:22
154:21	164:2 181:16	Services 4:19 99:7	shoot 194:16	95:23
scientists 79:20	182:24 183:4	148:21 149:20	shorthand 2:6	silly 42:11 175:2
102:5,5 167:15	sees 45:22	150:18 198:1	197:1,7	similar 15:12 38:7
scrutiny 122:15	select 129:8	set 48:18 130:1	show 54:22 59:10	43:7 51:19 109:16
search 100:11	selective 40:17	151:10 197:4	65:10,15 80:24	109:20 110:2
searched 36:4,4 second 31:25 34:24	self 48:3 self-harm 53:21	setting 140:12 seven 97:12	82:19,20 83:3 85:2 95:16,18	142:23 147:7 162:1
40:1,14 48:14	self-harming	seven 97:12 severe 40:23	97:5 98:4,9,21	similarities 161:15
78:6 99:4 103:6	125:17	121:17 165:22	100:23 115:4	simple 64:25 65:3
70.0 33.4 103.0	145.17	141.1/103.44	100.45 115.4	Simple 04.23 03.3

,				I
88:9 100:19 168:6	171:9,18 175:15	45:19 49:25 54:6	37:7 61:13 68:1	<b>statement</b> 76:12,15
172:12	175:17 176:21	55:7,9 58:19,21	110:4 121:22	76:16 77:25
<b>simply</b> 14:12 93:5	196:1	63:17 69:3,4,10	139:19 166:15	112:13 114:20
191:1	sister 145:6,10	73:25 75:15 76:16	176:25 195:8	134:4 157:18
simultaneous 49:12	sisters 127:2	84:10 87:14 94:17	specifics 153:11	177:22,24 189:17
<b>sincere</b> 38:16 56:9	sit 30:1 89:15 116:9	104:15 105:7	spectrum 21:6	statements 25:11
sincerely 39:14	sites 131:17	106:10,14,17	126:24 147:6	26:23 99:22 134:4
single 49:22	sitting 75:21	108:14 109:8	spell 5:16	154:23,24 156:1
singled 31:20	situation 53:7,12	119:10 124:10,19	<b>spend</b> 8:14 19:20	157:10 177:3,21
single-blinding	53:18	133:20,20 141:1	50:18 63:12	states 1:1 32:5
96:4	situations 85:5	149:5 150:10	115:10 144:3	55:25 153:23
sir 6:4,5,9,11,14 7:6	six 19:19 123:20	152:23 153:2,2	152:9 175:4	166:6 170:1
7:16,20,25 8:1,4,8	size 86:18 130:4	157:12 159:10,18	spending 144:7	statics 42:23
8:11 9:15 12:5,7	<b>slam</b> 86:8	160:8,25 161:13	<b>spent</b> 8:12 60:11	statistic 127:21
15:9 17:3 18:6,16	<b>slate</b> 87:6	162:8 165:9,15,16	62:12,13 123:3	statistical 14:9
19:4,25 20:9,16	slightly 174:1	166:12 168:23	144:20 175:3	112:1 143:19,22
20:19 23:23 26:6	small 12:3 53:3	172:6,20 175:22	<b>split</b> 16:24 86:20	statistician 195:6
28:1 29:2,8,15,15	86:16,25 144:22	176:12 177:19	splitting 143:23	statistics 9:11
29:19,22 30:2,6,9	145:1 169:14	192:20	<b>spoken</b> 60:17 70:19	20:24 21:7 31:21
30:12 31:4 33:21	<b>Smith</b> 23:18 27:21	sort 126:25 130:24	sprays 131:25	111:25 127:18
34:7,17 35:6,13	64:3,4	131:4 148:13	spurious 109:24	129:3,8 186:14
37:6 43:19 44:19	smoking 41:13	163:25 182:18	<b>SSRIs</b> 40:17 83:6	stay 160:18 181:5
49:5,8 50:1 52:22	97:25	sorts 17:15 82:3	131:23	<b>stayed</b> 21:18 159:7
53:16 57:9 58:22	social 38:19 67:2,2	87:2 98:1 180:13	<b>St</b> 108:21	step 124:8 132:11
58:25 60:16 67:12	72:9 74:18 92:4	<b>sound</b> 114:12	stage 12:9 47:18	150:9
69:1 70:18,20	118:9 126:21,21	<b>source</b> 166:8	stages 83:5	Stephanie 4:22
71:18 72:13,15	134:21 154:21	Southeast 32:10	stand 122:15	<b>Stephen</b> 5:18,19
73:22 78:5 81:16	167:2 181:6,7,9,9	Southwest 101:1	standard 55:10	steps 48:2
103:4,10 105:3,8	<b>societies</b> 86:24,25	spank 160:15	130:3 135:2	STEVEN 3:15
105:11,13,23	87:1 126:23,23	spanking 55:18	169:19 191:2	stigma 38:19 51:23
106:4,7,14,16,23	society 37:20 44:16	spark 194:22	standards 24:11	68:14 92:4 126:21
107:17 108:1	79:25 80:5,10	speak 20:22 61:3	35:4,9,10,12 36:7	126:22 181:6,7,9
109:8 111:14,16	86:16 95:13	speaking 34:11	36:8 55:11 98:23	181:9 184:1
113:1,5,12 114:1	101:13 118:10,21	49:12	stars 31:14,17	<b>stop</b> 47:14 86:19
116:4,13,22	119:25 126:11,17	<b>special</b> 4:17 16:24	start 37:23 60:25	91:5,6
124:14,18 125:12	127:4 151:19	79:6	72:23 125:1,1,2	story 175:13
134:25 135:4,8	sociologist 188:9	specialty 62:2	140:21 173:13	strange 71:12
136:17,20 139:2	somebody 45:9	<b>specific</b> 11:1 13:7	started 25:18 26:1	167:8 169:16
140:2,5 141:20	117:5 168:11	19:3 21:8 27:16	starts 78:7 138:1,2	<b>Street</b> 3:16 198:2
142:22 143:6	Someone's 62:4	31:10 44:10 46:4	140:22 151:8	strength 21:15
148:22 149:2,16	soon 78:8 102:14	57:7,23 58:2	<b>Starzl</b> 173:13	strive 81:18
149:17 150:16,21	sophisticated 83:2	59:14 60:15 61:9	state 1:7 3:13 4:10	strokes 82:25
151:6 152:15	sore 155:15,17	64:3 98:7 109:19	6:8 28:16 73:7,9	strong 25:12 32:15
156:24 157:2	sorry 10:5 15:3	155:6 158:2 191:4	73:14,16 74:1,5,6	56:16 79:19 81:17
159:15 162:9	20:3,16 22:9	specifically 11:24	190:23 196:20	180:20
163:23 166:5,16	25:25 28:14 29:17	16:10,15 18:23	197:2 198:4	stronger 180:19
166:22 169:22,23	34:2 35:13 41:22	19:18 27:7 32:12	stated 99:17 148:23	strongly 191:17
	1	1	1	ı

struck 149:22	88:11 95:22,25,25	129:20 176:4	<b>supporter</b> 32:14,15	22:23 23:19 36:1
structure 111:12	96:1,2,17,19,25	192:6	105:12	37:10 39:11 41:7
structures 91:25	97:4,8,9,13,18	suggest 43:19	supporting 46:18	42:1,9,14 43:3
struggle 137:22,23	100:20 102:6,10	121:10,13 163:8	78:2 81:14 121:5	45:2,3 46:12,12
186:24 188:2	109:14,18 110:12	suggesting 43:17	165:13	46:24 47:1 49:19
struggling 10:15	115:4 119:11	85:6 89:10 138:7	supportive 44:11	49:23,24 50:12,23
11:4,8,21 33:14	122:6,12,12,18,20	147:17 157:8	68:13 91:13	51:2,6,7 53:9,23
36:16,20 38:12	122:21 123:13,15	173:8 194:8	120:13 123:8	53:24 62:22 63:6
40:7,11 47:22	124:15 127:12,13	suggestion 158:24	125:3 139:23	65:13,18,21 66:8
183:18 185:5,6	128:12,13,18,20	suggestions 161:16	164:7 181:17	66:14,21 67:9,15
188:1	128:24 129:2,3,13	suggestive 109:23	183:16	68:18 71:22 72:1
student 58:15	129:16,19,19,20	110:18 111:6	<b>supports</b> 38:21,22	72:2,5,10 73:1,1,3
59:17 152:5,13	130:10,17,24,25	141:17	41:6 76:7 77:13	73:8,25,25 74:6
students 13:20,25	130:25 131:2,4,12	suggests 114:3	77:15,19 80:1	74:19 79:13 80:14
19:14,21 57:2,11	131:13,14 132:6	suicidal 54:23	98:2 99:10 119:20	85:14,18 86:4,14
59:16 60:18	132:10,10,10,12	125:17 126:14	120:6	86:18,22 87:23
152:20 153:8	132:12 133:5,6,7	suicide 44:15 50:10	suppose 45:9 46:9	89:2,3,7 91:11
<b>studied</b> 44:3 55:16	133:9,19 141:2,4	51:4 53:21 54:3	86:15 87:23	93:9,22 94:8,9,18
147:9	141:6 142:12,19	54:11,13,20 137:1	124:24 144:17	94:20,24 95:5,7
studies 41:18,19	142:20,23 144:4	143:11 167:25	supposed 26:10	95:17,20 96:2,8
42:21,24 43:3,6	167:18,19 168:20	181:5,14,19,20,21	64:8 106:24	96:12,14,21,23
44:9 50:14 53:13	173:20 174:14	<b>Suite</b> 3:7 198:2	179:12	97:5,16 100:8,23
61:21 62:10,13,15	175:20,20 176:6,9	<b>sum</b> 178:9	sure 15:5 16:18	101:8 102:9
62:15 65:10,13,14	176:18,20,23	<b>summary</b> 163:25	19:9 33:17 36:5	103:12,18 113:3
66:1 80:24 84:6	177:1 178:23,25	supervise 12:20	41:23 53:8 56:21	122:22 123:18
84:14,18,20 88:9	195:16	supervised 57:5	57:2 59:3 64:5,7	124:3 126:2
89:1 95:23 96:4	studying 130:5	supervision 12:21	82:18 86:7 97:14	127:16,21 128:6,8
97:15 98:4,7,9,11	stuff 126:6 143:24	13:1,1 153:7	99:15,15,22	128:11,23 129:9
98:14 100:15,16	150:2 181:7 189:6	supervisory 13:15	102:17,22 107:3	129:21 130:11
100:18,19,22	submitted 4:9	<b>support</b> 45:15,16	118:5,13,14	131:8,10 132:22
101:14,15 111:2	subscribe 162:6	47:6,7 48:25 49:3	122:11 130:20	133:1 138:25
113:20 114:25	subscribed 197:19	49:21 50:22 51:1	133:2 137:17	142:24 143:1,16
115:19,20 121:4,9	198:22	70:3,4 76:17	138:19 153:4	143:17,20 144:4,7
121:11,13 122:9,9	subsequent 60:3	77:12 80:6 85:24	156:21 159:23	144:15,18,20
122:10,13 130:1	subspecialties	86:1 98:3 99:3	171:3,12,22 173:7	145:12,12,14
131:2,6 133:9	15:24	103:16 105:19	176:7,10 177:6	148:10,11,24
141:1,8,10 167:3	subspecialty 16:24	106:17,18 107:5	190:11 191:9	150:19 151:2
168:18 169:5,8	substance 167:7	107:12 108:2,6	192:25	152:17 153:4
174:24 175:2,11	success 46:23 47:1	111:1 120:20	surgeon 22:21	154:7 158:21
175:25 176:17	successful 80:8	126:7 135:24	26:10,18 62:1	161:10,11 164:16
177:1,9 180:20	86:3 172:14,17	139:9 140:6	101:3 132:15,25	165:14 169:1,5,21
181:13,16 188:15	suffer 44:15 85:23	141:22,25 148:19	164:11,15 184:17	169:24 170:6,15
188:18,21	suffering 51:23	151:19 166:9	<b>surgeons</b> 184:8,13	170:22,24 171:8
study 18:23 40:25	52:1 160:7 163:5	173:10 195:18	184:14,16 185:2	171:13,16,20
42:8 49:22 50:16	163:5 190:9,10	<b>supported</b> 37:6,17	surgeries 76:8,18	172:1,6,18,21
54:17,24 56:2	194:20	43:23 70:6,8	77:16 78:8 144:9	173:1,21 174:3,9
65:1,2 66:16 80:7	sufficient 81:24	81:17 97:25 99:24	surgery 4:20 22:14	174:25 176:23
	•			

177:10 178:18,21	177:2 181:22	131:5,10 136:4	terminology 26:12	93:17 100:8
180:16 182:4	185:14 193:14	137:25 140:22	33:13 34:4 38:15	103:12,17 110:7
184:9,15,17,21	194:14	144:12 152:12,13	terms 10:16 11:18	113:9,16 120:21
185:10 187:21	taken 2:2 56:22	153:11 155:8	25:2 57:22 61:13	121:5,14 123:9,18
188:4 190:16,18	117:18 124:11	164:13 165:22	96:13 135:2 141:9	123:22 124:6
surgical 21:22,24	150:11 155:14	170:7,8,11,12	147:20 152:12	125:1,2,4 126:2
22:6,12 71:17	171:4 185:17	171:7,10,11,16	153:14 161:5	127:12,16,16,17
78:20 84:25 87:11	197:3	181:20 185:2,20	168:18,22,25	127:22 128:5,5,8
87:16 114:4,19	takes 14:8 38:10	185:22 188:13	178:14 188:14	128:8,11,22,22,23
147:21 149:20	136:21	190:20 191:12	<b>Terrible</b> 160:16,16	129:9,21,22
157:23 163:8	talk 6:1 9:13 12:25	talks 104:8 136:13	terrific 144:1	130:11,12 131:8,8
165:5 169:8 172:7	13:9 15:14 32:1,2	137:13 138:24	test 179:22	131:22 144:3,7
174:13	32:20 35:22 43:11	139:8 140:4	testicle 101:4 178:7	152:17 157:22
surprise 76:13 80:4	45:2 46:4 48:20	153:22	<b>testified</b> 5:9 42:6	176:1 177:10
surprised 69:21	50:12 59:16 69:10	tarred 135:23	<b>testify</b> 191:18	188:3,4
121:3 125:25	82:6 84:9,11	task 84:4	testifying 31:19	<b>They'd</b> 182:14
127:11,11 138:19	88:14 89:3,12,13	taste 108:13	42:5 197:6	thing 64:5,6 77:3
157:12 165:19	89:15,18,20 91:6	taught 13:9,24	testimony 29:24	78:19 83:9 84:5
168:1 171:23	91:12,12,15,16,24	<b>teach</b> 184:16	56:14 117:24	101:6 103:22
172:3	109:11 115:12	teaching 12:21	196:16 197:10	114:22 119:6
surprises 64:12	116:17 119:14	159:8	<b>testing</b> 179:21	131:16 138:24
surprising 98:25	121:5,13 123:18	team 13:3 14:1,4	testosterone 108:22	140:10 148:13
178:8	123:21,24 124:6	21:15,16,25	190:25 191:2	149:4 160:14
surveys 193:8	125:6 127:15,17	teasing 83:1	tests 112:1	163:16 164:20
survive 195:12	128:5,8,9,22	teens 125:2 170:7	text 142:8	168:14 180:3
<b>suspect</b> 110:3 115:2	129:22 130:11	tell 18:12 44:4	thank 176:14	193:5
suspicious 55:21	131:8 138:3	75:10 81:2 89:17	187:10 196:1	things 15:4 22:11
111:7	151:15 153:10,18	94:13 98:1,2	theory 101:10	32:22 40:22 41:1
switching 83:25	171:6 172:13	99:15 126:4	154:18 178:9	42:20 43:10 55:17
sworn 197:6 198:22	176:8 180:13	129:25 135:16	therapeutic 37:11	56:17 57:1 70:23
symposiums 20:16	188:4 195:5	152:3 154:6	140:22	100:4 104:3
system 6:20 8:11	talked 17:21 19:10	155:11 157:5	therapies 143:9	115:13,23 119:6
22:1 34:9 97:11	29:3 30:15 47:16	158:1 162:3 164:6	,	126:25 141:24
172:25	47:21,21 57:11	175:25 180:8,17	therapy 37:10 38:2	142:7,20 153:22
systematic 141:12	59:20 60:13 62:21	192:20 195:9	41:7 42:1 43:25	154:13,19 157:9
	161:8	telling 66:1 106:3	44:22 45:25 46:8	181:18
	talking 11:19 12:15	130:21 182:10	46:13,21 47:12	think 7:8 8:1 10:14
T 191:2	13:1 18:21,25	183:22 184:25	48:25 49:3,15	11:7,13 12:1 16:5
table 130:13 tail 21:12	19:11 28:17 29:13	185:21 192:11	53:2 59:2 62:21	16:6 19:10 24:1
	29:20 33:18 39:11	tells 182:25 183:10	62:23 63:11,19,21	25:16,17 26:2,2,7
take 13:17 24:19,20 26:9 30:3 56:19	45:9 52:9,12 58:5	ten 81:8	64:22 65:5 66:14	26:17,23 27:13,17
93:19 102:15	61:6 76:22 77:2	tensor 110:12	67:9,15 68:14	27:22 28:19 30:1
113:21 115:4	79:3 91:20 92:5	tenured 190:4	72:10 73:9,25	30:4 39:13 42:19
117:13,14 135:8	97:8 99:18 100:6	191:20	74:6,18 76:8,18	43:20,21 44:16
137:6 145:24	104:1,16 107:13	term 10:23 20:13	77:16 80:1,14	45:12 47:13,17,20
171:3 172:15	108:19 117:4	67:1 69:4 94:11	81:20,21 83:10,10	47:24 51:2 54:18
1/1.5 1/2.15	119:5 128:24	94:11 193:18	91:6,11,15,16,16	56:10,15 58:9

61:7 64:16 68:11	51:18,19 52:4	15:24	151:21 152:2,23	152:22
68:12,22 70:8	99:2 100:4 107:9	track 14:22	151.21 152.2,25	transsexualism
74:22 79:4 81:1,5	107:18 136:13	trade 97:25	163:20 164:2	57:7 60:19 61:4
84:16,17 93:4,7,7	141:1,8 160:18	tradition 135:14	165:5,14 167:23	61:22 142:16
94:7,7,15,18 95:2	182:19 183:3,4,5	tragic 97:21	167:23 173:22	151:4,14,14
95:12 96:10 97:12	187:7 191:2	trained 60:6 184:23	178:2 180:24	transsexuals
106:10 107:2	throats 155:15,17	training 8:18 18:10	182:19,20 183:24	111:13
108:19 110:11	Timbuktu 48:16	18:25 19:1,3,6,12	184:5,9,22 186:6	transvestites 10:16
112:19 113:13,15	time 8:5 9:5 10:10	19:14,15,17	186:17,21,23	treat 35:22 37:5,16
115:10,16,21,22	10:14 11:2,24	tran 134:4	187:12,24 188:10	37:21,22 40:13,23
115:10,10,21,22	12:13 13:14 16:1	transcribed 197:8	192:11,13,13	43:16 44:14 51:6
117:13 119:1,3,6	16:7 17:24 18:5	transcript 196:14	transgendered	51:8 67:16 68:12
117:13 119:1,3,0	24:8 31:8 41:12	197:9,12,14	38:18 93:12 118:8	79:5 88:5 90:5
123:21,23 125:6,7	47:20 57:13 60:11	transferred 21:4	transgenderism	94:19,23 103:8
126:23 127:8,23	62:5 63:7 82:16	transferred 21.4	90:4	121:5 126:16
130:24 132:6,12	82:21 88:11 95:16	transgender 10:22	transgenders 14:7	129:13 149:20
130.24 132.0,12	97:15,16 102:6	20:1,4,8,15 23:18	35:22 51:4 53:22	151:23 154:11
144:6 147:14	112:7 117:16	28:25 29:24 30:10	58:3 85:22 89:4	160:8 161:10,11
149:4,12 153:17	122:25 123:2,3,20	30:16,18,20 35:25	95:19 96:5 119:11	169:1 184:3,24
156:14 158:4	124:24 130:18	36:2,8,11,14,15	122:6,7 126:1,4	treated 22:24 23:3
160:13 161:18,20	140:16 149:22	36:18,23 37:3,5	126:20 134:8	36:15 38:17 39:1
161:21 162:5,7,13	152:10 174:21	37:16,18 38:10,11	138:17 152:4	39:6 42:19 43:9
162:23,24 163:14	182:2 197:4	38:24 39:1,5	186:2 187:18,20	43:14 54:20 82:8
167:15 168:18,20	times 68:1 97:22	40:10 41:2,4,25	transition 36:16	82:12 89:6 114:4
173:10 177:5,8	163:8 181:21	47:15,16 48:21	39:9 57:20 72:9	114:19 126:14
173.10 177.3,8	187:7	50:13 51:16,16,21	74:18 76:7,18,21	152:1,17 180:5
184:10,18 185:19	tired 148:15	51:25 52:17,21,25	76:24,25 77:3,4,5	192:12
185:20,21 186:4	titled 104:11	53:5 54:19 58:20	77:8,13 85:25	treaters 161:7
186:13 191:11	today 5:14	58:22 59:19 63:10	126:9,10,11	treating 13:12,12
193:18 195:24	told 15:4 25:14	68:17 69:11,13	159:17 177:24,25	13:13 27:8,9
thinkers 132:23	36:6 61:11 160:18	70:1,6,7,10 78:15	178:2,3,3	35:24 36:8 39:2
thinking 195:15	160:20 183:15	78:21,24,25 79:5	transitioned 178:1	43:1 55:11 58:24
thinks 25:10	192:2 193:5	79:7,11 85:25	transitioning 35:23	62:24 64:24 66:15
third 52:2 101:7	tonsillitis 155:10,12	86:17 89:19 90:3	39:10 177:22	67:3,8 80:8 98:5
178:6	tonsils 155:11,13	101:16,20 102:3	translated 174:10	98:15,18 100:8
thought 18:21 22:9	155:14,16,17,18	106:19 107:5,11	TRANSPERFECT	103:18 122:13
24:24 28:22 37:3	155:20,22	110:2,6 111:7,21	198:1	130:22 132:17
42:4 53:19 58:20	top 107:24 143:15	117:25 118:17	transplant 172:13	130:22 132:17
58:21 61:11 69:23	176:7	117.23 118.17	172:14,23 173:2	152:16 156:10,11
70:11 82:17	topic 59:11 62:7,8,9	121:22 122:14	173:16,16,18	161:16 182:14
122:19 149:9	180:10,10,11	123:17,18 126:7	174:4,8,18	183:9 193:17
162:16 164:25	topics 21:17 57:15	132:21 133:25	transplanted 101:3	treatment 12:9
165:1 175:17,24	136:13	136:22 137:7,13	transplants 172:23	21:22,24 23:1,5,7
190:25	tossing 123:24	138:7,9 144:18	173:9,24,25	32:21 35:10,12,14
thousand 100:12	tourism 32:3,3,9	145:5,8,11,24	transsexual 4:20	35:17,18 36:1,24
thousands 82:1	tours 32:10	146:19,20 147:11	92:5 109:16,19	37:11,15 41:6
three 51:11,13,13	toxicology 15:11,17	148:13 151:17,18	150:19 151:2	42:2,12,23 44:21
	JUNICOIUSJ 13.11,17	1 10.13 131.17,10	150.17 151.2	12.2,12,23 77.21

,				
45:24 46:10,18	62:22 63:2 66:18	118:21 119:15,16	unbelievable	59:5,24,25 74:16
49:10 50:17,18	68:23 69:18 81:16	122:1 124:13	168:13	78:22 92:13
52:7 53:1,6,14	81:23 82:18,19	126:8 148:18	unbiased 156:9	114:24 133:7
54:3,10,23 55:3	87:11,17 88:12	162:20 165:23	uncertainty 74:24	157:9 163:7 172:8
58:23 59:1,14,18	114:16 120:24	189:22	unclear 84:24	188:16
60:15 63:4,19,24	164:1 181:11	trying 13:5 35:2	uncomfortable	understood 69:17
65:1,18 66:13	183:7 191:13	40:4 47:10 61:14	73:4 93:10	74:12,13 161:9
67:7 68:1,3,4,5,8	treats 58:17,19,21	62:14 69:13 89:11	unconscious	undertaking 124:4
69:6,11 71:17,20	184:4	100:14 118:13	184:10	unethical 97:7,13
72:3,18 75:8,10	tremendous 33:2	124:15 148:2	undergo 63:10	unfairly 31:20
75:12 76:1,3,8,19	44:15 189:20	150:3 151:6	undergone 143:17	unfortunate 26:23
77:16 78:20 80:1	trial 38:1 41:1	160:10,12 163:3	153:3	unfortunately
80:22,25 81:13,15	96:11 114:9 124:6	170:15 175:25	undergraduate 6:4	168:21 169:2
84:9,25 85:18	124:7	177:7 179:25	underlying 88:18	unhappy 71:15
86:3,5 87:22	trials 83:17 121:1	182:5 194:6	88:23	81:10 147:14
88:17 89:11 90:12	129:24 178:13	tucks 180:21	undersigned 197:1	uniformly 70:24
93:23 95:7 97:14	tried 46:20 121:2	tummy 180:21	understand 10:2	union 56:16,16
97:15 98:22 99:21	123:15 136:8	turn 7:21	13:8 15:5 16:18	97:25
100:17 112:24	159:8 186:2	twins 52:13 183:1	20:3 33:16 34:14	<b>United</b> 1:1 32:5
113:4,10,25	187:18 190:4,4	two 15:11 19:22	35:3,9 36:5,12	55:25
118:16,25 119:7	193:2	31:12 43:10,11	41:10 46:19 48:4	university 108:21
119:10,13,13	trip 50:19 63:13	51:12,15 57:23	48:12 52:18 54:2	195:11
120:24 121:24	96:21 122:23	58:1,2 62:12,14	54:6,8 55:9 68:17	university's 71:9
123:5 126:8,9,10	123:1 124:17	81:7 84:1 96:13	69:13 73:23 74:2	unsatisfied 145:9
127:5,10 129:22	triplets 51:11 52:13	104:2 119:5	74:11 75:11 76:4	unsuccessfully
130:9,22 140:7	182:24	122:22 129:15	80:12 85:24 86:12	46:21
142:16 143:4,5	troubled 181:1,2	146:24 147:4	86:14 87:3 91:15	updated 159:6
145:5,7 147:12,21	true 36:2 48:9	148:8 152:5,12,20	93:13 99:1 101:24	updates 18:18,20
151:3,13,15,17,22	54:16 76:14 77:13	153:3,4 157:4	105:4,14,17	19:10
152:7 153:7,10,14	77:15 81:9 84:25	158:4,5 160:19	111:10 113:15	urgent 137:11,12
153:15 154:11	114:9 127:9	167:3 172:15	114:6,18 117:11	use 10:15 14:5,7
155:3 157:23,23	143:14 160:23	179:15 182:19,25	117:20 118:4,9	20:23 21:3,4
158:16,19,21	168:16 169:17	192:19,23	122:20 123:14	25:14 29:11 51:1
159:21 160:4	182:1 194:12	two-thirds 55:17	124:15 125:10	82:3 84:7 95:4
161:7 163:9 165:5	196:17 197:9	type 22:1	132:15 133:11,20	124:9,25 143:8
165:23 167:24	truly 59:7,9,10	types 115:9	134:13 135:7,13	150:3 159:14
171:8,17,20 172:8	92:18,19 182:7	typical 23:15 55:11	136:12 143:7,14	162:5,8,10,11
172:12 174:5	187:25	typically 23:17	146:7 148:23	163:16 164:7
176:5,23 177:10	trust 1:8 191:22,23	118:19	150:2 151:16	175:21,22 180:13
178:14 179:4,12	195:15		153:20 161:12,23	193:23
181:15,25 182:11	try 14:20 23:14	U	164:13 170:16	uses 98:12
183:20 187:22	24:24 25:3 26:13	UK 188:23	179:25 184:21	usually 13:3 27:4
189:8,9,11 192:7	62:18 68:24 76:2	ultimately 144:25	190:19 192:9	32:19 94:12 125:1
192:10,15 193:21	89:13,17,18,20,23	<b>Um-hmm</b> 78:17	193:2 194:18,18	132:25 148:17
194:5	90:15,16,17,20	104:24 139:21	understanding	164:23
treatments 38:23	91:2,2,3,5,23 93:5	140:24 141:3,5	38:20 39:23 48:7	
42:22,24 59:21	93:14 109:1	143:15	48:9,10 57:22	V
12.22,2 F 37.21	73.11107.1		10.7,10 37.22	

,	I		I	I
v 1:6 198:4	want 5:21 8:20	100:22 112:18	136:4 138:21	146:25 147:11,13
vagina 91:23	11:6 12:23 13:10	118:8 126:15,15	142:7 143:23	152:12 158:16,17
vaginal 55:24	15:4,12 19:9	144:16 152:20	145:6 148:10	178:2
vague 20:2 148:12	25:10 26:12 28:18	155:12 158:7,23	161:7 170:25	women 22:16,17
164:3	32:1 33:14 40:15	160:17 161:16	174:7 179:14	57:20 58:20,22
<b>value</b> 86:25,25 87:1	45:11 46:4 48:20	169:9,15 171:11	181:20 186:19	59:7,7,9,9,18
various 131:25	51:17 56:25 57:2	180:4,4,4 181:4	we've 29:3 42:12	60:14 83:13 92:18
<b>VBAC</b> 55:24 83:18	63:13 75:10 91:17	190:2,6,8 193:11	49:9	92:18,19 119:2,22
VBACs 55:24 56:3	91:17 95:25 99:24	193:11,18	WHEREOF	131:24 142:24
verbatim 197:6	100:2 111:21	ways 17:15 90:5	197:18	146:17,19,24
version 159:4,10,13	117:10 118:14	117:8,8	white 22:17 109:18	147:8 152:5 158:5
versions 159:9,11	122:19 123:2,4	weak 16:4 157:11	110:5	158:5,9,10 160:18
versus 42:12,23	124:2,5 125:6	weakened 172:25	<b>wide</b> 11:14	162:14 192:19,23
43:1 45:3 56:2	126:9,11 127:13	weakling 162:15	wife 71:10 195:15	women's 131:22
63:2 76:3 85:8,9	131:16 132:20,22	wear 31:14,18	willing 65:15 96:10	167:3
93:8 102:9 124:21	132:23 133:18,18	website 46:25	windfall 50:21	wondered 66:10
129:9 168:2	152:21,22 154:16	week 14:15	Wisconsin 1:2,7	wonderful 97:4
181:24	155:24 156:3	weigh 77:8	3:13,18 73:7,16	132:2 194:25
viable 39:18	158:21 162:6	weighed 162:16	198:4	wondering 102:14
Vic 94:4	169:14,15 171:3	weight 160:21	wish 66:6 75:3,9	word 88:22 128:16
victims 95:13,14	176:16 177:5	163:4	79:16	135:8 146:16
videotaped 196:2	178:21 179:22,23	well-adjusted 41:4	withdrawal 67:2	words 25:14 50:5
view 24:23 41:20	181:17 182:7,7	41:5 152:4,6	88:25	183:18
41:21,23,24,25	183:8 184:1,19,20	167:24 187:17,20	witness 4:2,21 20:3	work 10:10 17:22
80:24 133:24	185:13 187:9	188:10	27:24 45:8 52:14	17:25 18:1 21:14
134:2 138:11	188:6 189:4	well-being 143:18	54:6,15 56:15	22:5 24:24 25:6,7
195:20,22	190:11 192:20	well-controlled	73:13 75:15,21	51:24 54:2 55:7
viewed 166:7	193:14 194:16	98:8	102:22 105:23	57:4 101:6 113:16
views 24:22 25:8,9	wanted 117:24	well-designed 98:8	117:22 147:24	113:17 116:2,5,11
71:2 133:24	wanting 117:14	well-known 31:12	150:9 165:9	117:2 119:2,7
141:22 194:14	144:18	188:9	197:18 198:5	120:18,24 121:14
violates 160:4	wants 29:10 180:8	well-received 25:2	witnesses 191:25	125:24 132:4
violence 97:24	183:5	went 6:6 8:11,22,23	197:5	139:11,12,13
Virginia 29:11,12	warranted 65:23	16:3 24:14 60:1	witnessing 22:11	140:9 142:6 152:8
virtually 145:7	Washington	62:13 143:20	woman 38:14,15	155:2 169:3 172:3
155:14 192:19	108:21	150:1	40:7 45:12 47:4	172:16 180:9
vitae 4:11 7:22,24	wasn't 25:14 59:4	weren't 135:18	50:12,23 51:8	181:11 183:7
159:4	61:13 104:6 137:3	156:22	59:17 69:16,16	worked 17:3,4
<b>W</b>	165:19 173:14	west 3:16 97:11	70:6,7,9,10,10,10	28:24 29:23,24
$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ 5:19	182:18	WESTERN 1:2	77:10 79:5 83:11	31:1 32:11 55:23
Wait 40:1 94:21	waste 112:7	we'll 97:17,18	89:18 91:21 92:5	57:13 58:14 85:11
wakes 170:23	way 11:18 15:10	184:3	92:12,13 93:19,23	96:23 97:15
walks 183:10	40:23 43:1,2 44:8	we're 7:8 12:15	94:19 95:8 108:10	115:16 131:23,24
185:24 186:1	44:14 47:9 66:2 67:12 75:25 76:20	40:3 45:9 47:10	117:25 118:17,23	136:10 140:9 172:14 187:1
wall 154:21	77:14 79:24 80:19	61:6 91:20 96:7,8 96:9 107:13	120:18,21 121:6,7 121:15 138:7,7,9	190:24 191:24
wandered 21:17	81:4 88:3 95:19	112:10 125:23	138:9 145:24,25	working 18:4 25:19
	01.4 00.3 93.19	114.10 143.43	130.7 143.24,23	working 10.4 23.19

,				l
57:1	67:23 100:2	191:21	<b>110</b> 143:15	<b>216</b> 198:2
works 65:19 96:24	103:23 140:20	Yesterday 168:10	<b>111</b> 141:7	<b>21911</b> 1:25 198:5
97:2,17 113:22	189:19	<b>YORK</b> 198:2,2	<b>113</b> 139:5,19	<b>22</b> 88:13,14
115:5 119:10	writer 29:21	young 44:12 47:24	<b>11949</b> 2:7 197:25	<b>222</b> 165:1
129:3,4 144:5	writing 70:16	64:14 75:1,11	<b>12</b> 112:21	<b>23</b> 88:14
177:14 179:10,11	195:11	91:10 104:1,6	<b>134</b> 4:17	<b>23175</b> 2:3
179:16,22 180:16	written 21:10,21,23	124:25 155:21	<b>14th</b> 168:10	<b>25</b> 13:17,17 15:20
180:17 183:8	50:6 71:4 108:9	167:1 180:8	<b>140.3</b> 4:20	57:5 190:3
185:11 194:21,24	129:24 136:25	195:13	<b>15</b> 1:15 2:5 5:4	<b>250</b> 162:16
194:24,25,25	137:10 138:20	<b>younger</b> 170:6,9	103:16 104:8	<b>280</b> 77:9
world 21:1 36:17	166:24 170:5		181:21 198:4	
45:10 67:11 93:10	189:2,25	Z	<b>150</b> 3:6 4:19	3
97:12 101:18,21	wrong 48:22 92:10	<b>Zucker</b> 112:22	<b>16</b> 16:6 26:3 59:22	<b>3</b> 4:14 7:12 87:10
102:1 119:18	97:21 98:2 157:3	113:2,13 114:10	109:7,10	87:16
121:23 155:9	157:19 162:24	115:8,10,17	<b>16th</b> 24:17	<b>3:51</b> 2:5 196:3
158:6 164:22	163:14 164:21	<b>Zucker's</b> 114:21	<b>17</b> 3:16	<b>30</b> 4:20 75:2,3
167:17 181:10	167:21 168:1,3		<b>17-CV-264</b> 1:6	<b>30s</b> 170:8
187:20	177:8 183:6	\$	<b>176</b> 4:21	<b>35</b> 142:12
worlds 158:16	wrote 19:22 29:18	<b>\$100</b> 110:14	<b>18</b> 44:12 104:22	<b>38</b> 176:19
worldwide 9:9	29:20 44:5 107:9	<b>\$100,000</b> 144:9,17	<b>1967</b> 6:3	
worried 10:21	135:18 170:1	<b>\$50,000</b> 42:9,13	<b>1979</b> 141:1	4
180:20		50:18 63:12 93:8		<b>4</b> 4:16 102:23 103:1
worries 44:10	X	96:8,9,11,12	2	<b>40</b> 157:11
worry 44:3,14	<b>X</b> 197:14	101:12 119:15	<b>2</b> 4:11 7:11	<b>40s</b> 47:6 73:4 170:8
184:13 194:11,11		132:22 175:3,4	<b>20</b> 17:18 39:4 61:6	<b>400-8845</b> 198:3
194:11,12	Y	#	63:6 75:2,2	<b>45</b> 50:25 117:15
worse 142:21	<b>Y</b> 1:24 2:6 197:24		150:20,21 151:7,8	131:11 191:20,21
worth 42:9,13 82:5	yeah 28:20 46:18	<b>#903</b> 198:2	160:22 170:23	<b>45th</b> 198:2
87:22,25 88:1	73:13 115:19	0	181:21 196:19	<b>45-year-old</b> 120:18
93:9 96:8,11	161:2	<b>05</b> 143:24	198:23	120:21,22 121:6
101:12 110:14,15	year 8:6,7,12,12,14	<b>US</b> 143.24	<b>20s</b> 170:8	121:14 146:17,18
110:15 132:22	9:1,22 10:4,4,8	1	<b>20th</b> 24:21	
wouldn't 14:15	12:18 16:3 25:25	<b>1</b> 4:8 7:8,10,15	<b>20-year-old</b> 170:12	5
41:12,13 53:17	50:4 78:13 104:2	21:11 87:8 139:15	<b>200</b> 100:13	<b>5</b> 4:4,17 40:18,19
62:19 70:2 86:8	159:7 172:20	139:17 148:20	<b>2000</b> 16:5	103:5 134:14,17
86:10 89:16 91:1	years 11:16 12:15	<b>10</b> 65:19 109:11	<b>2009</b> 141:6	176:13
95:18 100:18	13:17,18 15:20	189:24,25	<b>2014</b> 4:20 24:3	<b>50</b> 12:15 127:19
101:23 108:8	17:18 19:7 24:18	<b>10,000</b> 144:18	25:23 26:2 61:12	144:9,17
132:22 133:17	39:4 50:25 57:5	<b>10:00</b> 5:5	149:18	<b>50s</b> 73:4
138:21 148:14	58:18 61:6 62:12	<b>10.00</b> 5.5 <b>100</b> 155:18	<b>2015</b> 59:22	<b>500</b> 100:13 106:6
150:6,7 154:7	62:14 63:6 70:21	<b>100</b> 133.18 <b>1000</b> 141:14	<b>2016</b> 18:3 25:19	195:10
155:15 166:24	75:2,3 81:9 83:1	<b>1000</b> 141.14 <b>10017</b> 198:2	113:6 148:20	<b>53707-7857</b> 3:18
190:24	100:14 101:14	<b>1001</b> 7 138.2 <b>101</b> 178:13	<b>2017</b> 159:8	6
<b>WPATH</b> 35:4,20	102:12 131:11	<b>101</b> 176.13 <b>102</b> 4:16	<b>2018</b> 1:15 2:5 5:4	
36:7,14 43:21	157:11 159:6	<b>106</b> 139:5,19 140:3	197:20 198:4	<b>6</b> 4:19 87:10 150:12
101:24 102:2	170:23 182:19,19	<b>108</b> 140:21	<b>21</b> 103:16 197:20	<b>60s</b> 10:23
write 24:16 61:14	189:24,25 190:3	11 112:21	<b>212</b> 198:3	<b>600</b> 3:7 166:2
		AA 112,21		

		Page 228
<b>60601</b> 3:8 <b>65,000</b> 106:13 <b>69</b> 10:8		
7 7 4:8,11,14,21 88:12 139:17 176:11		
<b>70</b> 10:8 <b>7857</b> 3:17 		
8 88:13 99:6 80 137:2 80s 173:13 85 92:17		
99:17 1:15 2:4 90 156:15		
90-pound 162:15 92653 2:4 99 172:16 176:7		